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Abstract:
This study aimed to analyze the misconceptions and argumentation ability Biology education students using diagnostic question clusters on the concept of molecular genetics. In addition, this study aims to look at the pattern of misconceptions tendencies and scientific argumentation ability of students accompanied by the factors supporting the occurrence of misconceptions and arguments student achievement levels. As this study focused on the concept of molecular genetics which includes the concept of genes, chromosomes, DNA, and protein synthesis. This research was conducted with the descriptive research method and the sample using purposive sampling techniques. The subjects were biology education students of Islamic University of Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung who attended the lectures of genetics in the academic year 2014/2015. The instrument used is a matter of diagnostic question clusters (DQCs) which covers a combined essay and multiple choice questions, learning observation sheet, and interview guidelines used to determine the factors that cause the occurrence of misconceptions and what degree of scientific argumentation ability students. The ability of the scientific arguments that will be analyzed is the ability to claim, warrant, backing, and rebutal (Toulmin, 1984).
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INTRODUCTION
Biology is one of science subject matter that includes concepts that are concrete and abstract concepts. Biological materials abstract be one of the sources of difficulty in understanding the concepts students are causing misconceptions. One of biological materials that are difficult to understand by most students is the genetic material concept (Meilinda, 2009). The misconception is not just happening in the students of primary and secondary school level, but the level of high school students until the students were told there students who experienced misconception especially for concepts that require deep understanding. Just as in biological materials, which have the abstract concepts that can not be explained in real terms. Based on the results of an initial interview with a lecturer of the course Genetics (2015) note that the genetic material that is highly vulnerable to any misconceptions or fail to understand the concept is in
subconcepts cell reproduction, genetic control (protein synthesis and gene expression), as well as the basic concepts of relationship genes, DNA and chromosomes. In addition, according Venville (2002) adds that the genetic material included in the material a tedious and tiring. It can happen one of them is that students tend to memorize concepts compared to apply concepts, besides genetics are biological materials that constitute the conceptual knowledge that contains some complex concepts (Urey and Calik, 2008). The results of the research to the understanding of genetics concepts made by Infante-Malachias, et al (2010) suggests that approximately 15% of the students are unable to provide an explanation of the chromosomes, and 70% of students give incorrect explanation of the process of mitosis and meiosis. In addition, based on research results Wangintowe (2008) at the upper secondary level school students in the city of Palu in mind that students have misconceptions on the concept of chromosomes (76.1%), genes (75.0%) and DNA (76.5%) and protein synthesis (63.1%). Understanding the concept of the genetic material is essential as a basis for understanding other concepts in other biological concepts. It can be said that understanding the concept of genetics is a prerequisite for understanding other concepts such as biotechnology (Tekkaya, 2006). National Research Council (1996) suggest that the science learning should promote the teaching for understading, but in reality their science lessons in college now synonymous with the provision of comprehensive science course content. Basically, the provision of comprehensive content in college is important to convey to the students, but to ensure that students understand the whole content should also be analyzed using the capabilities of higher order learning schemes include the ability of reasoning or in other words is the ability of the scientific argument. Over the last two decades the ability of argumentation becomes very interesting in science education in university education (Kuhn, D. 2010). Based on the research results Kurniadi, et al (2015) argued that the ability of high school students in Bandung on learning biology is still not well developed. It can be seen from the percentage of the level of ability that decreases from the lowest to highest. Decrease in the ability of these arguments are influenced by the methods and strategies of teaching teachers. Through these studies it is known that high school students in the city of Bandung is still not able to develop the ability of the argument. So it is very interesting, especially what arguments the ability of students in college, whether the result will be the same as the argument ability of high school students or it will be better. Based on the interview with one of the lecturer of the course genetics (2015) note that the lecturer has struggled to develop questions or questions that can stimulate students to think of using the theory / concept of relevant science that can explain the data obtained as the basis for a claim scientific argument, so if the ability of this argument is not developed, the students' answers to the question is feared will have misconceptions. So that measure students' conceptual understanding has become increasingly important to the biology faculty members were involved in evaluating and improving departmental programs (Couch et al, 2015). It needed the assessment tool to identify and detect the extent of scientific argumentation ability of students to questions about the concept of genetically so that it can detect the tendency of their misconceptions. Assessment tools that can be used as an alternative in identifying the ability of scientific arguments (scientific reasoning) and the tendency of their misconceptions include Question Diagnostic Clusters (DQCs). Question Diagnostic Cluster is one concept conceptual inventory or assessment in biology (Fisher, 2010). According Suwirto (2013) that the
diagnostic test is useful to know the learning difficulties faced by the students, including the misunderstanding of the concept. The results of the diagnostic tests provide information about the concepts that have not been understood and that was understood. Through the use of group diagnosis in a test question can provide the opportunity for students to be able to think like a scientist biology "thinking like a biologists'. The important aspect to think like scientists in biology is always apply the basic principles of scientific argument (reasoning). Besides this, there are several studies also use diagnostic tests to determine students' understanding of the molecular and cell biology (Shi et al, 2010). Some diagnostic tests may be used to determine the understanding of concepts and misconceptions which are diagnostic question clusters (DQCs) and interviews. According Treagust (2007) that this type of test is used to reduce the student can guess the answer, because they are required to give arguments (reasoning) so it can know the extent of their understanding of a concept. In addition, based on research results Hartley et al (2011) also explained that the function of the Diagnostic Question Clusters (DQCs) is to measure comprehension through the ability of argumentation based on scientific principles, as an assessment tool that can inform learning difficulties students and their misconceptions learners to a concept. Based on the background of the above problems, the authors are interested to do research with the title Analysis of misconceptions and Capabilities argument Student Science Biology Education by Using Diagnostic Question Clusters (DQCs) on the concept of Molecular Genetics with a problem of this research is "What is the profile of misconceptions and the ability of scientific argumentation biology education students using Diagnostic instrument Cluster Question (DQCs) on the concept of molecular genetics? ". The research objective to be achieved in general is to analyze misconceptions and scientific argumentation ability of biology education students using the instrument cluster diagnostic question (DQCs) on the concept of genetics. In particular the research objectives to be achieved are as follows: 1. Analyze misconceptions biology education students on the concept of genetics 2. Analyzing the ability of scientific arguments biology education students on the concept of genetics 3. Uncover the tendency pattern of misconceptions and scientific argumentation ability of biology education students on the concept of genetics The results of this study are expected to provide benefits as preliminary information for the lecturers of the misconceptions and the ability of scientific argumentation experienced by students of biology education who take courses in genetics, and as study materials to anticipate the possibility of misconceptions in students when the learning process and informing them of alternative instruments upon ability in analyzing the scientific arguments and misconceptions. Results are expected to be the basis for further research, especially in developing diagnostic question cluster (DQC) as an instrument in analyzing misconceptions and scientific argumentation ability of biology education students, as well as preliminary information on further action to anticipate any misconceptions in students.

METHOD
The method used is descriptive method. Research by this method is used to analyze the data in ways that describe or depict the data that has been collected by the instrument DQCs as it is. The population of this study were all students of biology education UIN Sunan Gunung Jati Bandung who take the classes genetics academic year 2014/2015. The sampling technique used in this research is purposive sampling. This technique is a technique of sampling data source with a certain considerations. The sample of this research
is a biology education students who follow courses of Genetics in the academic year 2014/2015 of 20 people. The independent variables in this study were analyzed misconceptions aspect of the students' answers on DQCs given the lead to the genetic material and the use of assessment rubrics Morgil and Yoruk (2002). The ability of a scientific argument as independent variables in this study were analyzed based on the quality of student writing arguments. The dependent variable in this study is the Problem Question Diagnostic Clusters (DQCs). Argument writing in this study is a written response (claim, warrant, backing, and rebutal) against the stand point that is given by using questions DQCs developed into a two-tier DQCs type of test or multiple choice questions about the type of reasoned and essays. Observation sheet used as an instrument to measure the adherence to the learning process in the classroom during the study. This observation sheet aims to identify the learning process that occurs in the classroom so that it can support data capabilities misconceptions and scientific arguments that have been obtained. Notes field is used as a supporting instrument in this study in order to get the field data during the study process. Notes field will be obtained through field data collection tool such as stationery, recorders, cameras, and others.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This paper is a publication of the results of preliminary research on misconceptions and argumentation ability students who attend lectures in public universities sunan genetics mountain djati Bandung. The results of this study are the basic for further research to students who take the classes genetics academic year 2015/2016. Their misconceptions or will not be captured by using the diagnostic question clusters (DQCs), as well as the ability of the argument. Both use a different rubric. Identifying misconceptions can be known through the rubric of understanding of concepts in the adaptation of Morgul and Yoruk (2006):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptual understanding</th>
<th>criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Understood</td>
<td>The answer to each concept statement shows mastery of concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>understood partially</td>
<td>Answer demonstrate mastery of concepts that marked their partial (at least one) feature or element answers represent mastery of concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understood partially accompanied</td>
<td>Answers indicate that understood the concept but contains statements that misconception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>misconception</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misconception</td>
<td>Answer that contains information that is not logical or appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not understand</td>
<td>Answer indicate: (1) Repetition question / statement (2) The answer is of no relevance to the statement (3) The answer &quot;I do not know / understand&quot;; (4) No answer or not filled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From: Morgil dan Yörük (2006)
Based on the results of the deployment of about Question Diagnostic Clusters (DAS) with the concept of genes, chromosomes, DNA and Protein Synthesis obtained the following results:

### Konsep Gen

- **Understood**: 35%
- **Understood partially**: 15%
- **Understood partially accompanied misconceptions**: 15%
- **Misconception**: 4%
- **Do not understand**: 10%

### Kromosom

- **Understood**: 20%
- **Understood partially**: 35%
- **Understood partially accompanied misconceptions**: 15%
- **Misconception**: 15%
- **Do not understand**: 10%

### DNA

- **Understood**: 20%
- **Understood partially**: 15%
- **Understood partially accompanied misconceptions**: 15%
- **Misconception**: 10%
- **Do not understand**: 40%
Based on the description above, it can be described as to how many misconceptions that occur on the concepts above:

From the students' answers were analyzed and depicted in the graph above it is known that the highest misconceptions of students occurs most commonly in the concept of chromosomes (20%), genes (15%), DNA (15%), and protein synthesis (10%).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Total percentage Misconceptions</th>
<th>misconception’s statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genes</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>7% student replied &quot;Genes and DNA are the same&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8% student replied &quot;Genes determine the types of properties, and DNA determines many types of properties&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>student answered &quot;chromosomes in each cell nucleus of living beings&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>student answered &quot;DNA is a sequence that can be transcribed and translated&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein Synthesize</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>student replied &quot;protein produced from the process of transcription and translation&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis of the ability of argumentation of 20 students biology education is captured by using the same instrument clusters namely diagnostic question (DQCs) type multiple choice questions and essays reasoned. Here are the results of preliminary research on the analysis of the ability of student scientific arguments that are based on rubric structure of argumentation Toulmin (1984), using 15 questions DQCs with 10 PG groundless and 5 essay:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Linguistics features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CCC  | Claim | Claim based of standpoint | I agree with...  
I support...  
In my opinion ... is right ..  
I do not agree..  
I disagree ..  
In my opinion ... not appropriate .. |
| W    | Warrant | There is a guarantee of the justification of the claim | I agree with ... because ...  
Why I support .. because ...  
Things that make me disagree is ... |
From the student answers the question docs later identified as follows:

From the graph above it is known that most arguments capabilities possessed by the students is the ability of the warrant (85%).

CONCLUSION
This study is a preliminary study aims to determine and identify misconceptions and argumentation abilities biology education students on the concept of molecular genetics (genes, chromosomes, DNA, and protein synthesis). Based on these results it is known that there are many misconceptions occur on the concept of chromosomes (20%), genes (15%), DNA (15%) and protein synthesis (10%). Through the use of Question Diagnostic Clusters (DQCs) is also known that the ability of the student's argument still has the ability Warrant (the ability to give a reason / grounding of choosing an answer).
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