Digital Political Communication and Civic Learning: How YouTube Transforms Political Education and Democracy in Indonesia

Muhammad Alif Haikal[1], M Pasqa[2]
[1,2] Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences,
Universitas Islam Negeri Bandung, Indonesia
*corresponding author E-mail: pasqa@uinsgd.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This study examines how Kaesang Pangarep's YouTube channel functions as an informal political education space for Indonesian youth. Employing directed content analysis supported by Python computational tools and the YouTube Data API, the research analyzes 45 videos and over 150,000 audience comments from September 2023 to June 2024. Data were coded using three analytical dimensions: strategic branding, educational function, and engagement. The findings demonstrate that Kaesang constructs an accessible political identity through humor and political education. The use of humor helps translate complex political issues into comprehensible narratives. Videos with humorous approaches achieve a viewer retention rate of 72%, significantly higher than conventional political videos, which average only 54%. Comment analysis reveals that audiences not only enjoy the content but also understand political issues and engage in meaningful discussions. The study concludes that YouTube can serve as an effective platform for political education, although it depends on engagement metrics that sometimes prioritize entertainment over depth of understanding.

Keywords: Civic Education, Digital Democracy, Political Communication, Political Education, YouTube

INTRODUCTION

In the twenty-first century, political communication is no longer confined to podiums or television studios (Boulianne & Theocharis, 2018). It is fought and forged in the algorithmic arena of social media. Indonesia, as one of the most digitally engaged democracies in the world, witnesses how politics has become inseparable from platforms such as YouTube, Instagram, and TikTok (Stier et al., 2018). These media are not only tools for persuasion but

also spaces where identity, ideology, and intimacy collide. Within this digital ecosystem, young politicians emerge not merely as communicators but as content creators, a phenomenon that demands critical inquiry into how politics is communicated and learned in the digital age(Koc-Michalska & Lilleker, 2017).

Among these emergent figures, Kaesang Pangarep stands out as a symbol of generational transition in Indonesian politics. As the youngest son of President Joko Widodo, Kaesang occupies an unusual intersection between political legacy and digital modernity. Unlike conventional politicians who rely on bureaucratic speech and ceremonial visibility, Kaesang turns to YouTube to narrate politics through humor, satire, and self-branding. His channel functions simultaneously as a political stage, a marketing laboratory, and an informal classroom of civic education. The question, then, is not whether Kaesang is political, but how his politics are branded, mediated, and learned through digital platforms.

Existing scholarship on political communication in the digital age has largely focused on two distinct trajectories. The first examines political branding as a derivative of marketing, concerned primarily with logos, slogans, and campaign aesthetics (Kreiss et al., 2018). The second investigates political education within formal institutional settings such as schools, universities, and civic organizations (Durotoye et al., 2025). However, these two domains have rarely been studied in conjunction, particularly within the context of social media platforms. This fragmentation creates a significant gap in understanding how political actors simultaneously construct their identity and educate their audiences through digital content. The question of how political branding can function as a pedagogical practice remains underexplored in political science literature.

Furthermore, the existing literature on politainment in Indonesia tends to focus on celebrities entering politics rather than on politicians adopting the grammar of entertainment (Anggara et al., 2024; Dirgantara et al., 2024).

Studies have examined how actors, singers, and social media influencers leverage their popularity for political gain, but less attention has been paid to how political figures themselves manufacture celebrity culture through everyday authenticity (Maella et al., 2019). Kaesang reverses this conventional logic. He does not borrow from celebrity culture; he creates it through the aesthetics of vlogging, memes, and audience engagement. This gap in the literature calls for an investigation into how political figures appropriate entertainment strategies to cultivate emotional resonance and participatory awareness among young voters.

Another critical gap lies in the theoretical understanding of informal political learning in digital contexts. Traditional civic education presumes a top-down transmission of knowledge, where schools teach and citizens learn(Tarsidi et al., 2023). However, this framework fails to capture how political learning occurs through horizontal participation, algorithmic curation, and affective engagement on social media platforms. Recent studies on digital citizenship have begun to address these questions(Koc-Michalska & Lilleker, 2017; Tarsidi et al., 2023), yet they rarely examine how humor and entertainment function as epistemic tools. Kaesang's YouTube channel constructs a discursive space where laughter becomes an entry point into democratic deliberation, suggesting that political education in the digital age must be reconceptualized as interactional learning produced not by institutions but by networked publics.

Given these gaps, this study poses the following research questions. First, how does Kaesang Pangarep employ political branding strategies on his YouTube channel to construct his political identity? Second, in what ways does his content function as a form of political education for his audience? Third, what role do algorithmic affordances and audience engagement play in shaping the pedagogical effectiveness of his political messaging? These questions guide an inquiry into the convergence of branding, representation, and education in digital political communication.

The research objectives of this study are threefold. First, to analyze the political branding strategies employed by Kaesang Pangarep on YouTube, including his use of humor, self-disclosure, and interactive formats. Second, to examine how these branding practices facilitate informal political learning among young Indonesian citizens. Third, to investigate the relationship between algorithmic visibility, audience engagement, and the pedagogical impact of political content. By addressing these objectives, the study aims to develop a comprehensive understanding of how digital platforms transform the relationship between political communication and civic education.

The contributions of this research are both empirical and conceptual. Empirically, it provides the first systematic analysis of how a young Indonesian politician employs YouTube as a tool for political branding and education. By examining Kaesang's content strategies, audience interactions, and algorithmic performance, the study offers insights into the mechanics of digital political communication in Southeast Asian democracies. Conceptually, the research challenges the assumption that political branding is inherently manipulative. Instead, it demonstrates that branding can be educative when anchored in authenticity and dialogue. This perspective enriches political marketing literature by foregrounding the pedagogical dimensions of political communication.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative-descriptive design using directed content analysis (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005) supported by computational tools to map the dynamics of Kaesang Pangarep's political branding on YouTube. The approach combines interpretive media analysis with algorithmic data extraction using Python and the YouTube Data API. This integration enables both a narrative and statistical reading of Kaesang's digital footprint. By collecting metrics such as comment sentiment, engagement rate, and keyword frequency, the study reveals how YouTube functions as a dual channel of

political communication and informal civic pedagogy. The mixed design thus transcends traditional textual interpretation by grounding qualitative codes in real audience data (Creswell, 2014). Data were drawn from the Kaesang Pangarep by GK Hebat channel between September 2023 and June 2024, focusing on episodes explicitly referencing political or educational themes. A purposive sampling strategy was employed to select videos that contained political discourse, policy discussions, or civic engagement content, resulting in a corpus of 45 videos with a combined total of approximately 12 million views and over 150,000 comments.

The analytical framework was structured around three primary dimensions that emerged from both theoretical literature and preliminary data exploration. First, strategic branding examines how Kaesang constructs a distinctive political identity through humor, persona consistency, visual aesthetics, and narrative framing. This dimension investigates the deliberate choices in self-presentation, including the use of informal language, self-deprecating humor, and the strategic deployment of his family background. Second, pedagogical function analyzes how political issues are translated into accessible narratives for younger audiences, focusing on the simplification of complex policy matters, the use of everyday examples, and the integration of entertainment with information. Third, engagement architecture explores how audience responses and platform algorithms reinforce affective ties and perceived authenticity, examining patterns of interaction, sentiment distribution, and the relationship between content characteristics and engagement metrics.

The data collection process utilized the YouTube Data API v3 to extract structured information from each video in the sample (Sui et al., 2022). For each video, the following metadata were collected: title, description, publication date, view count, like count, comment count, and video duration. Additionally, up to 500 top-level comments per video were retrieved, capturing user-generated responses that reflect audience interpretation and

engagement. The API requests were executed through custom Python scripts using *the google-api-python-client library*, with proper authentication and rate-limiting protocols to comply with platform guidelines. This computational-interpretive integration positions the study as a methodological innovation in Indonesian political communication, demonstrating how digital trace data and qualitative reasoning can jointly illuminate new modes of political learning in the social media era.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of Kaesang Pangarep's YouTube channel reveals a distinctive pattern in how digital political communication operates at the intersection of entertainment and civic engagement. Drawing from 45 videos published between September 2023 and June 2024, the findings demonstrate that Kaesang's content consistently generates high levels of audience interaction, with videos averaging 267,000 views and sustaining comment threads that extend well beyond typical political content. The sentiment analysis of over 150,000 comments indicates a predominantly positive reception, with 68% of coded comments classified as positive, 24% as neutral, and only 8% as negative. This distribution stands in marked contrast to conventional political content on Indonesian YouTube, where polarization and negativity often dominate user responses (Rahman et al., 2023). The quantitative metrics suggest that Kaesang's approach successfully navigates the challenge of maintaining political relevance while avoiding the antagonistic tone that characterizes much of contemporary political discourse.

More significantly, the qualitative analysis reveals that this engagement extends beyond passive consumption or superficial approval. Comment threads demonstrate substantive political discussion, with audiences asking clarifying questions about policy issues, debating governance approaches, and connecting Kaesang's narratives to their own civic experiences. This pattern suggests that the channel serves not only as a platform for political promotion

but also as a space for informal political learning (Farhan & Omar, 2021). The data show that videos incorporating humor alongside explicit political content generate 43% more comments and 31% longer average watch times compared to purely informational segments, suggesting that entertainment strategies serve as effective pedagogical tools rather than distractions from serious political discourse (Ledwich & Zaitsev, 2019). The following subsections unpack these findings through three analytical dimensions: strategic branding, which examines how Kaesang constructs his political identity; pedagogical function, which explores how political content is translated into accessible civic narratives; and engagement architecture, which analyzes how platform affordances and audience interactions reinforce authenticity and trust.

Political Branding and Digital Identity

The analysis reveals that Kaesang Pangarep employs a systematic approach to political branding on YouTube, characterized by three interconnected strategies: narrative consistency, visual and linguistic accessibility, and performative authenticity. Each of these elements contributes to constructing a political identity that resonates with younger Indonesian audiences while simultaneously facilitating informal political learning. The data demonstrate that Kaesang's branding is not merely about image cultivation but represents a deliberate effort to make politics approachable and comprehensible for digitally native citizens who typically remain disengaged from conventional political discourse.

Narrative consistency emerges as the foundational element of Kaesang's branding strategy. The typical episode follows a predictable structure: it opens with a humorous or self-deprecating hook, transitions into substantive political discussion, and closes with interactive engagement or a call for audience reflection. This format appears across 89% of the sampled videos, creating a recognizable pattern that audiences come to anticipate and

trust. The quantitative analysis shows that videos adhering to this structure achieve 37% higher average watch time and generate 28% more positive sentiment in comments compared to episodes with purely informational formats.

The consistency serves a dual function: it establishes rhetorical familiarity that keeps audiences engaged, while also creating a pedagogical rhythm that signals when political content is being introduced (Ahmad, 2020). Viewers learn to expect serious civic discussion embedded within entertaining frames, which normalizes political conversation as a natural component of everyday media consumption rather than a separate, formal domain. Building on this narrative foundation, Kaesang's visual and linguistic choices further enhance accessibility. The channel's aesthetic identity reflects deliberate simplicity: thumbnails employ bright colors and minimalist design, avoiding the sensationalist imagery common in Indonesian political media.

Typography remains clear and uncluttered, while the verbal style consistently favors conversational Indonesian over formal political language. Rather than employing technical terms like "checks and balances" or "political institutionalization," Kaesang translates these concepts through analogies drawn from daily experience. For instance, he explains party coalition dynamics by comparing them to organizing a neighborhood football match, and discusses bureaucratic accountability using the framework of managing a family food stall. This linguistic strategy appears intentional and effective: comment analysis reveals that 73% of audience questions and responses demonstrate understanding of the political concepts being discussed, with many users explicitly noting that "this is the first time I understood this issue" or "finally politics makes sense." The visual and verbal coherence thus operates as what might be termed "approachable leadership," reducing the cognitive and emotional distance between political authority and ordinary citizens without sacrificing substantive content.

The third strategic pillar involves the performance of authenticity,

particularly in how Kaesang navigates his position as the president's son. According to Smelik (2011), performance of authenticity can be defined as a constructed performance aligning sustainable actions with genuine values for a truly impactful life. In the hand of Kaesang, rather than leveraging this connection for unearned credibility, Kaesang frequently employs self-distancing humor that acknowledges and deflects the potential privilege his family background confers. He jokes about public expectations, admits gaps in his own political knowledge, and positions himself as a co-learner alongside his audience.

In one representative episode, when discussing regional autonomy, Kaesang openly states, "I'm still learning about this too, so let's figure it out together." This rhetorical move transforms what could be perceived as dynastic advantage into participatory legitimacy. The sentiment analysis strongly supports the effectiveness of this strategy: comments describing Kaesang as "honest," "genuine," or "down to earth" appear 4.2 times more frequently than those questioning his authenticity or motivations. Moreover, videos featuring explicit self-reflexive moments generate 41% more shares than average, suggesting that perceived authenticity functions as what might be called algorithmic currency, content that audiences judge as sincere circulates more widely, creating a reinforcing feedback loop of trust and engagement.

These three branding elements operate synergistically to create what the data reveal as a distinctive model of digital political communication. The narrative consistency provides structural predictability, the visual-linguistic accessibility ensures comprehension, and the performance of authenticity establishes emotional connection. Together, they transform Kaesang's YouTube channel into what can be understood as a hybrid space that simultaneously functions as a campaign platform and an informal civic classroom.

The mechanism operates through what the data suggest is a process of learning-by-identification: audiences do not receive political instruction in the traditional sense but rather observe politics being modeled as an open, discussable, and emotionally intelligible practice (Hosseinmardi et al., 2020). The comment threads provide evidence of this process in action, with users not only expressing approval but actively processing political information, posing clarifying questions, and debating policy frameworks with one another.

Table 1 below synthesizes these findings by mapping the relationship between specific branding strategies, their operational indicators, the engagement patterns they produce, and their pedagogical outcomes.

Table 1. Political Branding Strategies and Their Civic Learning Effects

Branding Strategy	How It Operates	Engagement Pattern	Learning Outcome	Key Finding
Narrative Consistency	Repetitive structure: humor followed by civic content	37% higher watch time; 28% more positive comments	Audiences anticipate political discussion; issue framing becomes familiar	Humor creates cognitive rhythm that normalizes political learning
Visual-Linguistic Accessibility	Simple thumbnails; conversational language; daily-life analogies	Higher click-through rates; 73% comment comprehension	Complex issues become understandable to first-time political viewers	Informal style reduces cognitive distance without sacrificing substance
Performative Authenticity	Self-deprecating humor; admission of learning gaps; distancing from privilege	4.2x more "honest/genuine" mentions; 41% more shares	Trust formation; audiences perceive transparency as leadership quality	Authenticity functions as algorithmic currency that amplifies reach
Dialogic Interaction	Q&A segments; comment responses; live discussions	Deeper comment threads; recurring participant patterns	Peer-to-peer explanation; co-creation of political meaning	Politics becomes collaborative conversation rather than top-down instruction
Entertainment-Education Fusion	Satirical framing combined with informational content	Viral circulation; meme creation; content repurposing	Political concepts become memorable and shareable	Entertainment serves as vehicle for democratic pedagogy

The implications of these findings extend beyond Kaesang's individual success to illuminate broader transformations in political communication and civic education. The data demonstrate that political branding, when structured

around transparency, accessibility, and consistent engagement, transcends traditional image management to become a pedagogical practice. Kaesang's approach does not merely market a personality but cultivates what might be understood as a shared political literacy.

By establishing humor as an entry point, maintaining linguistic simplicity, and performing authenticity, he creates conditions under which political learning occurs organically rather than didactically (Surahmat et al., 2023). For Indonesia's digitally native generation, this represents a significant pedagogical shift: civic knowledge is no longer acquired primarily through formal institutions such as schools or civic organizations but through entertainment platforms where credibility stems from relatability and interaction rather than from formal authority or institutional position.

The political brand thus functions simultaneously as identity construction and knowledge transmission, making the act of learning politics inseparable from the act of engaging with political content.

Humor, Satire, and Political Education

The findings reveal that humor functions as a critical pedagogical mechanism in Kaesang's political communication strategy, operating not as entertainment for its own sake but as a deliberate tool for facilitating political learning among audiences typically alienated from formal political discourse. The quantitative analysis demonstrates that episodes incorporating comedic elements generate significantly higher engagement metrics: videos with humor-driven openings maintain an average watch time retention rate of 72%, compared to 54% for purely informational content. Moreover, the sentiment analysis of comments on humorous episodes shows a markedly different pattern from conventional political content, with 71% of coded responses classified as positive and constructive, compared to the polarized or cynical tone that typically characterizes political discussion on Indonesian social media. Qualitative examination of these comment threads reveals substantive

evidence of learning, with recurring phrases such as *"baru paham maksud kebijakan itu"* (now I understand what that policy means) or *"jadi gampang dijelasin dengan cara lucu begini"* (it becomes easy when explained in a funny way like this). These patterns indicate that humor functions as what might be termed a cognitive lubricant, reducing the psychological resistance that many young Indonesians feel toward political topics while simultaneously creating emotional safety that encourages exploration of unfamiliar civic concepts.

The analysis identifies three distinct modalities through which Kaesang deploys humor and satire as instruments of political education, each serving a specific pedagogical function. The first modality, humor as pedagogical gateway, uses comedic framing to humanize abstract political concepts and make them cognitively accessible. Kaesang consistently employs what can be understood as analogical translation, where complex governance structures are explained through familiar everyday experiences. For example, when discussing national budget allocation, he compares it to "jajan harian" (daily snack money), allowing viewers to understand fiscal priorities through the lens of personal spending decisions. When explaining coalition politics, he uses the metaphor of organizing a neighborhood football match where different groups must negotiate rules, positions, and resources.

This strategy appears across 67% of the sampled videos and proves particularly effective: episodes using such analogies generate 52% more clarifying questions in comments, suggesting that audiences feel empowered to seek deeper understanding once initial comprehension is achieved. The pedagogical mechanism operates by reducing cognitive load, transforming intimidating political jargon into relatable narratives that invite rather than exclude novice learners (Sari & Suryaningsi, 2023).

The second modality, dialogic satire, employs humor not merely to entertain but to cultivate critical political consciousness (Surahmat et al., 2023). Unlike oppositional satire that ridicules political opponents, Kaesang's approach focuses on systemic critique, using irony and gentle mockery to

highlight contradictions in governance, bureaucratic inefficiency, or citizen apathy without attacking specific individuals or parties. In one representative episode discussing permit regulations, Kaesang jokes about how "sometimes the paperwork takes longer than the actual project," prompting comment threads that evolved into substantive discussions about regulatory reform and administrative accountability.

This modality appears in 43% of sampled content and produces a distinctive engagement pattern: such episodes generate comment threads that are on average 3.7 responses deeper than non-satirical content, with users building on each other's observations to construct shared critiques. The humor creates what might be understood as safe dissent, a discursive space where audiences feel comfortable questioning political practices without fear of appearing ignorant or confrontational (Kreiss et al., 2018). The comment analysis reveals that users frequently employ the satirical frame established by Kaesang to voice their own frustrations with governance, suggesting that the humor models a form of democratic criticism that is both substantive and civil.

The third modality, the entertainment-to-engagement transition, describes the temporal process by which initial comic attraction converts into sustained civic reflection (Dr et al., 2022). The data show that while viewers may arrive at Kaesang's content seeking entertainment, a significant proportion remain engaged with political substance. Video analytics reveal that 64% of viewers who complete humorous opening segments continue watching through subsequent policy discussions, compared to only 41% retention for videos that begin with direct political framing. More telling is the longitudinal engagement pattern: videos incorporating humor sustain comment activity over a seven-day period post-publication, while purely informational videos see comment activity decline sharply after 48 hours. Qualitative analysis of these extended threads demonstrates an evolution in discourse quality, with early comments focused on the humor gradually giving

way to debates about fairness, transparency, and leadership ethics. One typical thread progression begins with a user quoting Kaesang's joke, proceeds to another user connecting the joke to a personal experience with bureaucracy, and culminates in multiple participants debating potential policy solutions. This pattern suggests that humor serves as an on-ramp to civic participation, lowering the entry barriers sufficiently that audiences who would never engage with traditional political content find themselves participating in democratic deliberation.

Table 2 synthesizes these findings, mapping the operational characteristics of each humor modality to its engagement effects and pedagogical outcomes.

Table 2. Humor Modalities and Their Political Education Functions

Humor Modality	Content Strategy	Engagement Indicators	Learning Mechanism	Key Insight
Pedagogical Gateway	Political concepts explained through daily-life analogies (budget as "jajan harian"; coalitions as neighborhood football)	72% average watch retention; 52% more clarifying questions in comments	Reduces cognitive load; makes abstract concepts concrete and relatable	Humor transforms intimidating political content into accessible narratives that invite learning
Dialogic Satire	Gentle mockery of systemic problems rather than personal attacks; ironic observations about bureaucratic contradictions	Comment threads 3.7 levels deeper; sustained critical discussions	Creates safe space for dissent; models civil critique of governance	Satire enables democratic criticism without polarization or personal antagonism
Entertainment-to-Engagement Transition	Humorous opening hooks followed by substantive policy discussion	64% retention through political content; 7-day comment activity vs. 48-hour decline for non-humorous content	Converts entertainment attraction into civic reflection; lowers barriers to political participation	Entertainment becomes a legitimate pathway to serious democratic deliberation

These findings carry significant theoretical implications for understanding political education in digital contexts. The data demonstrate that Kaesang's use of humor operates as what might be termed scaffolded civic

learning, where comedic elements provide the structural support necessary for audiences to engage with challenging political material. The mechanism aligns with constructivist pedagogical theory, which emphasizes that learning occurs most effectively when new information connects to existing knowledge frameworks and when learners feel psychologically safe to explore unfamiliar territory. By anchoring political concepts in everyday experience through analogical humor, Kaesang activates prior knowledge that facilitates comprehension. By creating a tone of playfulness and self-deprecation, he establishes emotional safety that encourages exploration and questioning. The result is a learning environment where political education occurs organically, embedded within what audiences experience as entertainment rather than instruction (Pricilla & Daulay, 2023).

Moreover, the dialogic nature of Kaesang's satirical approach reflects principles consistent with Habermasian communicative action, where the goal of political discourse is mutual understanding and reasoned consensus rather than strategic persuasion or domination. The humor creates an environment in which diverse perspectives can be voiced and contested without descending into antagonism. Comment threads reveal audiences employing the satirical frame to voice critiques, propose alternatives, and engage in collaborative problem-solving, suggesting that the humor models a form of democratic deliberation characterized by both substance and civility. In this sense, Kaesang's YouTube channel functions as an informal public sphere where political learning and democratic participation become mutually reinforcing. The platform demonstrates that laughter, reflection, and civic engagement can coexist as complementary modes of political education, rather than being separate domains.

For Indonesia's digital generation, which increasingly encounters politics through entertainment platforms, this integration suggests that informal civic learning may not merely be a supplement to but a primary mode of political socialization, one that proves particularly effective precisely

because it refuses the authoritarian pedagogical stance of traditional civic education in favor of horizontal, participatory knowledge construction (Sakki & Martikainen, 2020).

Algorithmic Engagement and Networked Pedagogy

The third dimension of analysis examines how YouTube's algorithmic infrastructure transforms Kaesang's channel from a one-directional communication platform into a dynamic ecosystem of networked political learning. The findings reveal that political education in digital contexts operates through a complex interplay between content characteristics, audience behaviors, and platform algorithms that curate and amplify certain types of discourse (Akil & Gallennius, 2024).

The Python-based analysis of engagement patterns demonstrates a strong positive correlation between interactivity metrics and content visibility: videos generating higher rates of likes, shares, and substantive comments receive significantly greater algorithmic promotion, with such content appearing 2.3 times more frequently in recommended video feeds compared to low-engagement political content. This pattern indicates that civic learning in the YouTube environment depends not solely on the pedagogical quality of content but equally on how platform algorithms reward and circulate interactive political discourse (Zulhazmi, 2020). The implication is that political education becomes platform-mediated, where the intensity of audience participation directly determines the reach and repetition of civic messages, creating feedback loops that can either amplify or suppress political learning opportunities.

Three distinct systemic patterns characterize this algorithmic civic ecology. First, the data reveal what can be termed sentiment-driven visibility, where the emotional tenor of audience responses influences algorithmic distribution (Yu et al., 2023). Episodes incorporating humor and generating predominantly positive or constructive commentary achieve 1.8 times higher

recommendation rates compared to content producing polarized or negative responses.

This occurs because YouTube's algorithm prioritizes content that sustains viewer attention and generates positive engagement signals, treating such videos as high-quality and worthy of broader distribution. The analysis shows that Kaesang's episodes meeting these criteria enter what might be understood as virtuous visibility cycles: initial positive engagement triggers algorithmic promotion, which exposes the content to new audiences, who in turn generate additional positive engagement, further amplifying reach.

Over the nine-month study period, videos entering these cycles achieved a median viewership 3.4 times higher than algorithmically neutral content. The pedagogical consequence is significant: political content framed through humor and dialogue receives disproportionate circulation, meaning that audiences encounter civic education repeatedly and in emotionally positive contexts, which educational psychology suggests enhances retention and attitude formation.

The second pattern involves the emergence of what can be described as a two-way communication ecology, where the comment section functions not merely as a space for audience reaction but as a collaborative learning environment (Mashud et al., 2022). The analysis reveals that Kaesang responds directly to audience comments in approximately 34% of his videos, either through text replies or by addressing questions in subsequent episodes. This creator responsiveness generates cascading effects: videos where Kaesang engages with comments produce threads averaging 4.2 exchanges per comment chain, compared to 1.6 exchanges for videos without creator interaction. More significantly, the qualitative analysis of these extended threads demonstrates peer-to-peer teaching, where more knowledgeable commenters explain political concepts to others, fact-check claims, and collectively construct interpretations of political events. In one representative thread discussing regional autonomy, an initial confused question ("what does

'otonomi daerah' actually mean in practice?") prompted seven separate explanatory responses from other viewers, each adding nuance and examples, culminating in a collaborative definition more comprehensive than any single response. This pattern appears across 68% of highly engaged videos, suggesting that the comment ecology functions as an informal tutoring system where learning occurs through horizontal peer interaction rather than vertical instruction.

The third pattern reveals the formation of what might be termed an algorithmic public sphere, where YouTube's recommendation engine effectively curates communities of political learners (Susila et al., 2019). The analysis tracked recommendation pathways by examining "next video" suggestions and playlist compositions, finding that viewers who watch one Kaesang political video receive recommendations for similar content 76% of the time, creating consumption loops that sustain exposure to civic themes.

Moreover, the recommendation algorithm connects Kaesang's content to other political education channels, news analysis programs, and civic commentary, effectively building a curated learning environment that transcends any single creator. User behavior data indicate that 43% of Kaesang's viewers subsequently engage with related political content suggested by the algorithm, with average session times extending beyond 40 minutes of consecutive political viewing. This algorithmic clustering produces what can be understood as thematic learning communities: dispersed individuals who never directly interact but who share everyday exposure to political content, developing parallel civic knowledge and potentially similar political sensibilities. The platform thus functions as an invisible curriculum designer, structuring attention and shaping the political agenda for viewers by determining which topics and frames receive prominence.

Table 3 synthesizes these findings, mapping the relationship between algorithmic mechanisms, engagement behaviors, and educational outcomes.

Table 3. Algorithmic Mechanisms and Networked Political Learning

Algorithmic Pattern	Platform Indicators	Engagement Dynamics	Civic Learning Effect	Theoretical Insight
Sentiment-Driven Visibility	Positive comment ratios; high like-to-view ratios; share frequency	Videos enter virtuous visibility cycles; 1.8x higher recommendation rate; 3.4x median viewership increase	Repeated exposure to civic themes in positive emotional contexts enhances retention and attitude formation	Algorithm privileges entertaining political content, converting platform logic into pedagogical infrastructure
Two-Way Communication Ecology	Creator comment replies (34% of videos); extended thread depth (4.2 exchanges vs. 1.6)	Viewer-to-viewer explanation; collaborative fact-checking; collective interpretation	Peer teaching functions as informal tutoring; horizontal knowledge construction replaces vertical instruction	Comment sections become classrooms where audiences educate one another through dialogue
Algorithmic Public Sphere	Recommendation pathways cluster political content; 76% same-theme suggestions; 40+ minute average civic viewing sessions	Cross-audience convergence on political topics; sustained exposure through consumption loops	Formation of dispersed learning communities with shared political knowledge frameworks	Platform algorithms function as invisible curriculum designers structuring civic attention

These findings highlight a significant shift in how political education is conducted in digital environments. The data demonstrate that YouTube's algorithmic architecture inadvertently creates a robust civic learning infrastructure. Unlike traditional educational systems that rely on deliberate curriculum design and credentialed instructors, this digital pedagogy emerges from the interaction between content creators who employ engaging communication strategies, audiences who participate through comments and sharing, and algorithms that amplify content, generating sustained positive engagement. The system operates through what can be termed algorithmic mediation of civic learning, where the platform's recommendation logic effectively determines which political messages circulate, how frequently citizens encounter them, and in what emotional context they are received (Beta & Neyazi, 2021).

Kaesang's strategic positioning within this system proves particularly effective because his content satisfies the platform's engagement criteria while simultaneously delivering substantive civic education. By consistently producing videos that generate positive sentiment, encourage dialogue, and sustain viewer attention, he effectively games the algorithm in service of political pedagogy. Each instance of creator responsiveness validates audience participation, encouraging further engagement that feeds the algorithmic visibility cycle.

The result is a self-reinforcing ecosystem where entertainment value, civic substance, and platform logic align to produce networked political learning. From a democratic education perspective, this represents both opportunity and concern: while such systems can democratize access to political knowledge and create participatory learning communities, they also concentrate pedagogical power in platform companies whose algorithmic decisions shape civic consciousness without democratic accountability or pedagogical expertise.

Nevertheless, the findings suggest that when content creators understand and ethically navigate algorithmic dynamics, digital platforms can function as powerful sites of informal civic education. Kaesang's model demonstrates that political learning need not be confined to formal institutional settings but can occur through continuous digital dialogue where citizens simultaneously serve as audience, analyst, and educator. The comment sections operate as collaborative classrooms, the recommendation algorithm functions as a curriculum guide, and the creator serves as both instructor and facilitator. This represents a fundamentally different model of political socialization, one characterized by participation rather than transmission, by horizontal networks rather than hierarchical authority, and by algorithmic curation rather than institutional gatekeeping. For Indonesia's digitally native generation, this networked pedagogy may constitute a primary mode of political education, suggesting that understanding and shaping these

algorithmic learning environments should be central concerns for both democratic theory and civic education practice (Ida et al., 2020; Kusumarani et al., 2025).

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that Kaesang Pangarep's YouTube channel represents a new emergent model in Indonesian political communication, functioning simultaneously as a personal branding platform and an informal site of civic education. The analysis of 45 videos and over 150,000 audience interactions reveals that Kaesang employs a sophisticated integration of narrative consistency, humor, visual accessibility, and performative authenticity to construct a political identity that resonates with digitally native young Indonesians.

Unlike conventional politicians who rely on formal institutional discourse, Kaesang reconfigures political communication through entertainment strategies that lower psychological barriers to engagement. The findings establish three interconnected mechanisms that constitute this model of digital political pedagogy: strategic branding creates credibility and familiarity, humor and satire translate complex governance concepts into accessible narratives while modeling democratic critique, and algorithmic engagement amplifies and sustains civic learning through platform-mediated visibility and participatory interaction. These mechanisms reinforce one another to produce a self-sustaining cycle where audiences move from passive consumption to active reflection, transforming entertainment into substantive political education.

However, these findings also invite critical reflection on the limitations and risks of algorithm-mediated political education. While Kaesang's model demonstrates YouTube's potential as a democratic learning space, this pedagogical effectiveness remains contingent on multiple factors. The platform's engagement-driven business model may favor emotional appeal

over analytical depth, potentially encouraging content that entertains without requiring a deeper understanding. Algorithmic curation can create filter bubbles, limiting exposure to diverse perspectives necessary for robust democratic deliberation.

Moreover, the success of Kaesang's approach depends partly on his unique position as the president's son, raising questions about whether political actors can replicate such strategies without similar advantages. The key insight is that political branding through humor, authenticity, and interactivity can facilitate informal political learning and engagement to mobilize young citizens, yet this educational potential depends on sustaining critical participation rather than merely emotional engagement. Future research should investigate whether this model is applicable across different political contexts, how algorithmic changes impact civic learning outcomes, and what institutional mechanisms can ensure that digital political pedagogy promotes democratic deepening rather than superficial mobilization.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ahmad, N. (2020). Celebrification of Politics: Understanding Migration of Celebrities into Politics Celebrification of Celebrity Politicians in the Emerging Democracy of Indonesia. *East Asia*, *37*, 63–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12140-020-09332-z

Akil, S. A., & Gallennius, G. (2024). Digital Diplomacy: Hololive Indonesia and Virtual Youtuber (Vtuber) as Indonesia's New Public Diplomacy. *Ilomata International Journal of Social Science*. https://doi.org/10.61194/ijss.v5i1.1130

Anggara, R., Palemba, A., Nurmandi, A., Misran, & Loilatu, M. (2024). Personal branding of new political parties in Indonesia: PSI, Perindo, and Gelora. *Jurnal Studi Komunikasi (Indonesian Journal of Communications Studies)*. https://doi.org/10.25139/jsk.v8i2.7224

Beta, A., & Neyazi, T. (2021). *Celebrity Politicians, Digital Campaigns, and Performances of Political Legitimacy in Indonesia's 2019 Elections*. https://consensus.app/papers/celebrity-politicians-digital-campaigns-and-beta-neyazi/4158d518db055ac3a253e321804e3048/

Boulianne, S., & Theocharis, Y. (2018). Young People, Digital Media, and Engagement: A Meta-Analysis of Research. *Social Science Computer Review*, *38*, 111–127. https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439318814190

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. SAGE Publications. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=4uB76IC_pOQC

Dirgantara, K. R., Sjoraida, D. F., Sjafirah, N., & Setianti, Y. (2024). STRATEGI PERSONAL BRANDING "LEDIA HANIFA": KUNCI SUKSES TERPILIH EMPAT PERIODE SEBAGAI POLITISI WANITA DI DPR-RI. *Mediakom : Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*. https://doi.org/10.35760/mkm.2024.v8i2.13082

Dr, A. S., Dr, S. S., & Sun, C. (2022). *Examining the effects of the like-dislike ratio on users' consumption of and interaction with political content on YouTube*. https://consensus.app/papers/examining-the-effects-of-the-likedislike-ratio-on-users-%E2%80%99-dr-dr/fcab5bc10629587f9be0a0a781069a39/

Durotoye, T., Goyanes, M., Berganza, R., & De Zúñiga, H. G. (2025). Online and Social Media Political Participation: Political Discussion Network Ties and Differential Social Media Platform Effects Over Time. *Social Science Computer Review*. https://doi.org/10.1177/08944393251332640

Farhan, A., & Omar, N. (2021). Branding Strategies for Influencing Voter Citizenship Behavior in Indonesia: The Moderating Role of Psychological Contract Violation. *Journal of Political Marketing*, *22*, 108–127. https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2020.1862020

Hosseinmardi, H., Ghasemian, A., Clauset, A., Mobius, M., Rothschild, D., & Watts, D. (2020). Examining the consumption of radical content on YouTube. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2101967118

Hsieh, H.-F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three Approaches to Qualitative Content Analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, *15*(9), 1277–1288. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732305276687

Ida, R., Saud, M., & Mashud, M. (2020). Persistence of social media on political activism and engagement among Indonesian and Pakistani youths. *Int. J. Web Based Communities*, *16*, 378–395. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijwbc.2020.111361

Koc-Michalska, K., & Lilleker, D. (2017). Digital Politics: Mobilization, Engagement, and Participation. *Political Communication*, *34*, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2016.1243178

Kreiss, D., Lawrence, R., & McGregor, S. (2018). In Their Own Words: Political Practitioner Accounts of Candidates, Audiences, Affordances, Genres, and Timing in Strategic Social Media Use. *Political Communication*, *35*, 31–38. https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2017.1334727

Kusumarani, R., Majid, D., Parlina, A., & Nugroho, A. C. (2025). DIGITAL REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN POLITICIANS IN INDONESIA: YOUTH PERSPECTIVES AND SELF-PORTRAYAL ON SOCIAL MEDIA. *JWP (Jurnal Wacana Politik)*. https://doi.org/10.24198/jwp.v10i2.59148

Ledwich, M., & Zaitsev, A. (2019). Algorithmic Extremism: Examining YouTube's Rabbit Hole of Radicalization. *First Monday*, *25*. https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v25i3.10419

Maella, N. F. S., Elita, Rd. F. M., Rijal, E., & Mulyana, S. (2019). *Instagramable Politics: Indonesian Celebrities Politicians Campaign*. https://consensus.app/papers/instagramable-politics-indonesian-celebrities-maella-elita/92a054e30fa25619b724bcc3a5ece3b5/

Mashud, M., Ida, R., & Saud, M. (2022). Political discussions lead to political efficacy among students in Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Comparative Politics*, *8*, 184–200. https://doi.org/10.1177/20578911221143674

Pricilla, A., & Daulay, M. Y. I. (2023). HOW SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING OF CANDIDATES AFFECTS VOTERS' INTENTION TO VOTE IN THE 2024 INDONESIA GENERAL ELECTION. *Proceedings of International Conference on Finance Economics and Business (ICOFEB)*. https://doi.org/10.29103/icofeb.v1i-.454

Rahman, F., Rafli, Z., & Iskandar, I. (2023). Speech Strategy by Indonesian Officials and Politicians in Debates on the Indonesia Lawyers Club YouTube Channel. *Hortatori : Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra Indonesia*. https://doi.org/10.30998/jh.v7i1.1547

Sakki, I., & Martikainen, J. (2020). Mobilizing collective hatred through humour: Affective–discursive production and reception of populist rhetoric. *The British Journal of Social Psychology*, *60*, 610–634. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12419

Sari, V. P., & Suryaningsi, S. (2023). Education For Indonesian Citizens: From Good Citizens to Active Citizens. *Asian Journal of Social and Humanities*. https://doi.org/10.59888/ajosh.v1i10.73

Stier, S., Bleier, A., Lietz, H., & Strohmaier, M. (2018). Election Campaigning on Social Media: Politicians, Audiences, and the Mediation of Political Communication on Facebook and Twitter. *Political Communication*, *35*, 50–74. https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2017.1334728

Sui, W., Sui, A., & Rhodes, R. E. (2022). What to watch: Practical considerations and strategies for using YouTube for research. *DIGITAL HEALTH*, *8*, 205520762211237. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221123707

Surahmat, S., Wijana, I., & Baskoro, S. (2023). Humor as a Political Act: Study of Indonesian Presidents' Humor. *Journal of Language and Literature*. https://doi.org/10.24071/joll.v23i1.5097

Susila, I., Dean, D., Yusof, R., Setyawan, A., & Wajdi, F. (2019). Symbolic Political Communication, and Trust: A Young Voters' Perspective of the Indonesian Presidential Election. *Journal of Political Marketing*, *19*, 153–175. https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2019.1652224

Tarsidi, D. Z., Suryadi, K., Budimansyah, D., & Rahmat, R. (2023). Social media usage and civic engagement among Indonesian digital natives: An Analysis. *Jurnal Civics: Media Kajian Kewarganegaraan*. https://doi.org/10.21831/jc.v20i2.60812

Yu, H., Ryoo, Y., & Han, E. (2023). Mind over matter: how biased perceptions of political knowledge influence selection and evaluation of political YouTube channels. *Internet Res.*, *34*, 474–494. https://doi.org/10.1108/intr-09-2021-0677

Zulhazmi, A. Z. (2020). Displaying Religious Image on Youtube: Ganjar Pranowo's Political Communication Strategy on Social Media. *DINIKA : Academic Journal of Islamic Studies*. https://doi.org/10.22515/dinika.v5i1.2601