INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF NUSANTARA ISLAM
ISSN : 2355-651X

Education Financing Management in Improving the Quality of Financial Management Accountability

Heriyanto,[1*] Iim Wasliman,[2] Waska Warta,[3] Yoyok Prasetyo[4]

[1,2,3,4]Universitas Islam Nusantara Bandung, Indonesia

Corresponding Author, Email: heriyanto@uninus.ac.id

Received: August 12, 2025, *Revised*: December 30, 2025, *Accepted*: December 30, 2025, *Published*: January 5, 2026

Abstract: This study aims to analyze education financing management in improving the quality of financial governance accountability in private higher education institutions, using Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA) as a case study. The key concepts examined include education financing management, financial accountability, transparency, and university governance. This research employs a qualitative approach with a case study design. Data were collected through observation, in-depth interviews, document analysis, and data triangulation. The scope of the study focuses on the processes of planning, organizing, implementing, supervising, and ensuring accountability of education financing at UNISBA. The findings reveal that UNISBA has implemented relatively effective education financing management through data-driven budget planning, a well-defined financial organizational structure, disciplined budget implementation, systematic internal supervision, and transparent financial reporting. However, the study also identifies several challenges, including revenue uncertainty, external policy dynamics, and increasing demands for educational quality improvement. The study recommends strengthening internal control systems, optimizing financial information technology, and enhancing human resource capacity as key strategies to improve financial governance accountability in private universities. This study contributes to the literature on education financing management by providing a comprehensive analytical framework that integrates financial planning, implementation, supervision, and accountability within the context of private higher education institutions in Indonesia.

Keywords: education financing management, financial accountability, financial governance, private universities, transparency.

Introduction

Effective and efficient education financing management is crucial in efforts to improve the quality of financial governance accountability. Private higher education institutions (PTS) often face various issues related to funding sources, budget allocation, and financial transparency. These issues can impact the quality of education provided and public trust in the institution. Some private universities in Indonesia face challenges in ensuring transparency and accountability in their financial management (Akhyar, 2024). This phenomenon is often seen in the form of a lack of clear and open financial reporting to stakeholders, including students, parents, and the government. This can lead to mistrust from various parties, including students, parents, and the community, and damage the reputation of the institution. The budgeting process in some private universities is still carried out conventionally, without considering in-depth needs analysis and strategic priorities (Bamorovat et al., 2024).

Many private universities experience imbalances in their budget allocation. For example, disproportionate allocation of funds between academic and non-academic activities can be a problem. Some institutions may allocate more funds to less essential activities while vital academic activities receive a smaller share (O'Dwyer et al., 2023). This phenomenon highlights the need for better planning and budgeting to ensure efficient and effective use of funds.

Weak internal oversight and control are common phenomena in many private universities. Many institutions lack effective systems to monitor and control the use of funds, which can lead to misuse or inefficiency. For example, the absence of regular internal audits or adequate oversight mechanisms can enable corrupt practices or inappropriate use of funds. Some private universities face challenges in preparing accurate and timely financial reports in accordance with applicable accounting standards (Sityata et al., 2021). Poor financial reporting can hinder data-driven evaluation and decision-making processes. The lack of systematic evaluation of financial performance and budget adjustments based on evaluation results often hinders continuous improvement in financial management (Megah S & Valiant S, 2025).

Without adequate evaluation, institutions find it difficult to identify weaknesses and implement the necessary changes to improve efficiency and effectiveness. Many private universities have not optimally utilised information technology in their financial management (Gyamera et al., 2023). Technology can play an important role in improving the efficiency, transparency, and accountability of financial management (Friday et al., 2022). However, there is often a lack of investment in information technology systems or a lack of skills in operating such technology, which hinders institutions' ability to manage their finances effectively (K et al., 2023). Inconsistent or unclear regulations and policies also affect financial management in private universities. For example, changes in government policies related to education subsidies or accounting rules can affect how universities manage and report their finances.

This regulatory uncertainty can make it difficult for universities to plan and manage their finances in the long term. Some private universities may not yet fully comply with applicable regulations and accounting standards, both in terms of financial reporting and fund management (Ibrahim & Al-Matari, 2022). Low compliance with regulations and standards can result in sanctions from relevant authorities and damage the institution's reputation.

Financial risk management that has not been fully implemented in some private universities may result in an inability to cope with financial crises (Mhlanga, 2021). Effective risk management implementation can help private universities to be better prepared to deal with uncertainty and maintain financial stability. External factors such as economic changes, changes in student demographics, and competition with other institutions also affect the financial stability of private universities (Ovchinnikova et al., 2022). For example, in the event of an economic recession, parents may find it difficult to pay for their children's education, which will affect the university's income. This phenomenon highlights the importance of universities having flexible financial strategies that are adaptable to external changes.

Financial management accountability is an important aspect to ensure that available funds are used properly and on target. Accountability includes not only transparent financial reporting, but also careful planning, strict supervision, and continuous evaluation (Al-Shaer et al., 2022). Universities with accountable financial management systems will be more trusted by stakeholders and able to achieve their educational goals more effectively. Higher education institutions with good financial management systems will be able to improve operational efficiency, provide adequate facilities, and support quality academic and non-academic programmes (Vettriselvan et al., 2025).

An overview of financial management in private higher education institutions in Indonesia shows that there is still a lack of transparency in the financial administration and accounting systems, making it difficult to account for all foundation transactions and produce timely financial reports (Dahlan et al., 2024). The openness of information regarding the management of private university funds remains an ongoing problem without a clear solution (Khan et al., 2022). Financial management principles, particularly transparency, accountability, effectiveness, and efficiency, are closely related to financial management issues. Students, on the other hand, continue to press for their demands to be met through various means. This issue remains unresolved, as evidenced by the reluctance of private higher education institutions (PTS) management to be honest and transparent with students regarding campus revenue management (Ariani, 2017).

Bandung Islamic University is one of the private universities in the LLDIKTI IV region that has implemented educational financing management using an integrated information system. Furthermore, the location (Bandung Islamic University) is considered suitable for use in a study because it has characteristics, relevance, and a close relationship with the main issues to be studied. Based on the above description, the researcher is interested in conducting research on Education Financing Management in Improving the Quality of Financial Management Accountability, with a case study conducted at Bandung Islamic University (Dwangu & Mahlangu, 2021).

Effective management of education financing plays a critical role in ensuring financial accountability and enhancing the quality of financial governance in higher education institutions. According to George Terry's management theory, the principles of planning, organizing, and supervising are essential in managing resources efficiently (Pratama et al., 2024). In the context of private universities, these elements are crucial to achieving transparency and accountability in financial operations. Fattah (2012) further expands on educational financing management, emphasizing that it encompasses the entire process of managing educational funds, from planning to evaluation, with a focus on efficiency, transparency, and accountability (Han et al., 2024).

Private higher education institutions (PTS) in Indonesia, including Bandung Islamic University, face various challenges in managing their finances. One significant issue is the lack of transparency in financial management, which leads to a breakdown in trust among stakeholders. This can manifest as unclear financial reporting and a lack of accountability, which hinders the university's ability to make informed decisions and improve its educational quality (Ariani, 2017). In particular, the allocation of funds often lacks balance, with more funds directed towards non-academic activities while critical academic programs receive less attention (Sari et al., 2020).

Furthermore, weak internal control systems and a lack of effective supervision are prevalent in many private universities. This can result in financial mismanagement and inefficiency, which undermine the institution’s financial stability and hinder its overall educational objectives (Zickafoose et al., 2024). To address these challenges, integrating information technology systems has been proposed as a solution to streamline financial reporting, increase accuracy, and improve financial transparency (Nofel et al., 2024).

By implementing a structured and participatory budget planning process, universities can ensure more effective financial management. Involving various stakeholders in decision-making fosters a sense of shared responsibility, ultimately contributing to more accountable financial practices. Therefore, this study aims to explore how Bandung Islamic University, through the application of educational financing management, has enhanced its financial accountability and governance, overcoming challenges such as budget planning inaccuracies and inadequate financial supervision.

Method

This study employs a qualitative research approach with a case study design, which is appropriate for exploring complex social and organizational phenomena in their real-life context (Creswell, 2014). The case selected for this research is Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA), chosen due to its institutional characteristics as a private higher education institution and its relevance to issues of educational financing and financial accountability.

Data collection was conducted using multiple techniques to ensure depth and richness of information, including direct observation, in-depth interviews, and document analysis. Observations focused on key stages of financial management processes, namely planning, organizing, implementation, supervision, and accountability mechanisms, in order to capture actual practices within the institution (Gunawan, 2017). In-depth interviews were carried out with key informants such as financial managers, administrative staff, and other stakeholders directly involved in educational financing management, enabling the researcher to obtain nuanced insights into institutional challenges and decision-making processes (Creswell, 2014).

Document analysis complemented observational and interview data by examining institutional financial reports, internal regulations, and policy documents related to financial governance and accountability. This technique allowed for cross-verification between normative frameworks and empirical practices (Miles et al., 2014).

Data analysis was conducted using a thematic analysis technique, whereby data obtained from observations, interviews, and documents were systematically coded, categorized, and interpreted to identify recurring themes related to financial accountability, best practices, constraints, and improvement strategies in educational financing management (Braun & Clarke, 2006). To enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of the findings, data triangulation was employed by comparing information derived from different sources and methods (Denzin, 2012).

Through this methodological framework, the study is able to capture the depth and complexity of financial management practices at UNISBA and to formulate evidence-based recommendations aimed at strengthening financial accountability in private higher education institutions.

Results And Discussion

Planning Education Financing in Improving the Quality of Financial Management Accountability at Bandung Islamic University

Planning education financing constitutes the strategic foundation for strengthening financial management accountability in private higher education institutions, including Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA). In the contemporary context of higher education governance, financial planning is no longer perceived merely as a technical budgeting exercise but as a normative and managerial instrument that determines institutional credibility, sustainability, and public trust (Bertheussen, 2025). At UNISBA, financial planning is positioned as an integrative process that links institutional vision, academic priorities, regulatory compliance, and accountability mechanisms into a coherent financial governance framework. This approach reflects the growing recognition that effective financial planning is inseparable from the pursuit of quality assurance and good university governance in private universities (Clark, 2021).

The planning of education financing at UNISBA begins with a comprehensive assessment of both internal and external factors that influence the institution's financial capacity. Internally, this includes evaluating academic program needs, human resource development, infrastructure maintenance, and operational sustainability, while externally it encompasses regulatory requirements, tuition affordability, economic conditions, and stakeholder expectations (Jones, 2019). Such multidimensional analysis enables the university to design financial plans that are adaptive rather than reactive, allowing for anticipation of fiscal risks and opportunities (Hidayat & Rusdiana, 2022). In this sense, planning functions as a preventive governance mechanism that minimizes budgetary shocks and enhances institutional resilience (Norton, 2020)

A central component of financial planning at UNISBA is the formulation of a transparent and performance-oriented budget. Budget preparation is conducted through participatory mechanisms involving academic units, administrative divisions, and financial management authorities to ensure that allocated resources reflect real institutional needs and priorities. Participatory budgeting has been widely acknowledged as an effective strategy for enhancing transparency and accountability in higher education financial management, as it reduces information asymmetry and strengthens collective ownership of financial decisions (Amaliah et al., 2021). At UNISBA, this participatory approach also serves as a platform for aligning budget proposals with strategic academic objectives, thereby reinforcing the principle that financial resources must directly support educational quality improvement.

Alignment between budget allocation and institutional vision and mission represents a critical normative dimension of financial planning at UNISBA. Financial planning is explicitly guided by the university’s long-term strategic plan, ensuring that funding priorities emphasize core academic functions such as teaching quality, research development, and community engagement. This strategic alignment is consistent with contemporary governance models that emphasize results-based and mission-driven budgeting in higher education (Alesani, 2023). By prioritizing programs that contribute directly to academic excellence and institutional identity, UNISBA ensures that every financial decision carries strategic value rather than serving short-term operational convenience.

Financial planning at UNISBA is also reinforced by the formulation of clear and structured financial policies that regulate the use of funds from diverse sources, including tuition fees, grants, and institutional revenues. These policies define procedures for budget approval, expenditure authorization, financial reporting, and internal control, thereby establishing a normative framework for accountability (Mediaty et al., 2025). Clear financial policies play a crucial role in preventing misallocation of funds and reinforcing ethical standards in financial governance, particularly in private universities where public scrutiny is often higher due to funding structures (Hudon et al., 2025).

Another essential dimension of financial planning at UNISBA involves systematic forecasting of income and expenditure. Revenue forecasting is conducted by analyzing student enrollment trends, tuition policies, and potential external funding sources, while expenditure forecasting considers both routine operational costs and long-term investment needs. Accurate forecasting enables the university to anticipate potential budget deficits and design corrective strategies before financial imbalances occur (Norton, 2020). This proactive approach reflects best practices in higher education financial management, where forecasting functions as a tool for risk management and fiscal sustainability rather than merely a predictive exercise.

The integration of accountability principles into financial planning is further strengthened through the use of performance indicators and monitoring benchmarks. Budget allocations at UNISBA are increasingly linked to measurable outputs and outcomes, such as program accreditation results, research productivity, and service quality indicators. Performance-based planning enhances accountability by enabling stakeholders to assess whether financial resources are used effectively and efficiently to achieve institutional goals (Suykens et al., 2022). This approach also supports continuous improvement by providing empirical feedback for subsequent planning cycles.

Transparency constitutes another critical pillar of financial planning at UNISBA. Financial plans, budget summaries, and accountability reports are communicated internally through formal reporting mechanisms and, where appropriate, to external stakeholders. Transparency not only fulfills regulatory obligations but also strengthens institutional legitimacy and trust among students, parents, and the wider community (Nurdin & Zuhdi, 2020). In the context of private higher education, transparency in financial planning is particularly significant, as it demonstrates the institution’s commitment to responsible stewardship of educational resources.

Moreover, financial planning at UNISBA is increasingly supported by the utilization of information technology systems that enhance accuracy, efficiency, and traceability. Technology-based financial planning tools facilitate real-time data integration, scenario analysis, and budget monitoring, thereby reducing the risk of human error and increasing managerial control (Gu, 2024). The adoption of digital financial systems also strengthens accountability by ensuring that financial data are systematically recorded and readily auditable.

The organizational dimension of financial planning is equally important in improving accountability. Effective planning requires a clear division of roles and responsibilities among financial managers, academic leaders, and administrative units. At UNISBA, financial planning processes are supported by an organizational structure that enables coordination and oversight across institutional levels. Clear role delineation enhances accountability by ensuring that each unit understands its responsibilities in the planning and implementation of financial decisions (Nadeem, 2024).

Human resource capacity plays a decisive role in the effectiveness of financial planning at UNISBA. Financial planning processes rely heavily on the competence, integrity, and professionalism of administrative and financial staff. Continuous training and capacity-building initiatives are therefore essential to ensure that personnel are equipped with up-to-date knowledge of financial regulations, accounting standards, and governance principles (Buthelezi, 2024). Strengthening human resources in financial planning directly contributes to improved accountability by reducing procedural errors and enhancing compliance.

Institutional culture also influences the quality of financial planning and accountability at UNISBA. A culture that values integrity, transparency, and collective responsibility encourages compliance with financial plans and discourages opportunistic behavior. Embedding accountability values into institutional culture transforms financial planning from a formal obligation into a shared ethical commitment (Narayan & Oru, 2024). In this regard, leadership commitment plays a crucial role in setting standards and expectations for accountable financial behavior.

Ultimately, planning education financing at UNISBA demonstrates that accountability in financial management is achieved not through isolated technical procedures but through an integrated governance approach that combines strategic alignment, participatory budgeting, policy clarity, forecasting accuracy, technological support, and human resource development. This comprehensive planning framework enables UNISBA to optimize resource utilization, enhance transparency, and strengthen public trust, thereby contributing to sustainable quality improvement in private higher education. In this sense, effective financial planning functions as both a managerial instrument and a moral commitment to responsible educational governance (Hill, 2020).

Organization of Education Financing in Improving the Quality of Financial Management Accountability at the Bandung Islamic University Private University

The organization of education financing constitutes a crucial managerial dimension in strengthening the quality of financial management accountability at private higher education institutions, including Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA). While financial planning provides strategic direction, organizational arrangements determine how those plans are operationalized through institutional structures, coordination mechanisms, and administrative practices. Contemporary higher education governance emphasizes that accountability in financial management cannot be achieved solely through sound budgeting, but must be supported by an organizational system that ensures clarity of authority, effective coordination, professional human resources, technological integration, and coherent internal regulations (Kallio et al., 2022). At UNISBA, the organization of education financing reflects an effort to institutionalize accountability as an embedded governance principle rather than a procedural obligation.

A clear and efficient organizational structure serves as the backbone of effective financial management at UNISBA. The distribution of authority and responsibility within the financial governance system is designed to ensure that each organizational unit understands its specific role in budget management, expenditure control, and reporting processes. Clear structural arrangements facilitate systematic monitoring of cash flow and budget realization while minimizing overlapping authority and administrative ambiguity (Onyango, 2024). In the context of private universities, such clarity is particularly important to prevent discretionary practices that may undermine transparency and accountability (Norton, 2020). By structuring financial units in alignment with academic and administrative hierarchies, UNISBA strengthens internal control and reinforces accountability mechanisms.

Coordination among academic units, administrative divisions, and financial offices represents another critical organizational element in improving financial accountability. Effective coordination ensures that budgetary needs articulated by academic units are aligned with institutional priorities and financial capacity. At UNISBA, coordination mechanisms are implemented through formal communication channels, routine coordination meetings, and integrated budgeting procedures that allow information sharing across units. This collaborative approach enhances consistency between academic planning and financial execution, reducing the risk of misaligned resource allocation (Jones, 2019). Effective inter-unit coordination also supports collective accountability by fostering shared responsibility for financial outcomes.

Human resource capacity is a determining factor in the success of organizing education financing at UNISBA. Financial accountability depends not only on systems and procedures but also on the competence, integrity, and professionalism of financial and administrative personnel. Skilled human resources are better equipped to manage complex budgeting processes, comply with regulatory requirements, and produce accurate financial reports (Suwarno et al., 2023). UNISBA emphasizes continuous capacity building through training programs, technical workshops, and professional development initiatives aimed at enhancing financial literacy and governance awareness among staff. Strengthening human resource capacity contributes directly to accountability by reducing procedural errors and reinforcing ethical standards in financial management (Boufounou et al., 2024).

The integration of information technology has significantly transformed the organization of education financing at UNISBA. Technology-based financial management systems enable automated transaction recording, real-time budget monitoring, and systematic financial reporting. The use of digital platforms enhances efficiency and accuracy while improving traceability and auditability of financial data (Nurdin & Zuhdi, 2020). In organizational terms, technology functions as an accountability enabler by providing timely and reliable information to decision-makers and oversight bodies. The adoption of integrated financial information systems also supports cross-unit coordination by enabling data sharing and reducing information silos.

Internal regulations constitute the normative framework that governs the organization of education financing at UNISBA. Clear and consistent internal policies regulate all aspects of financial management, from budget preparation and fund utilization to reporting and internal control. These regulations provide operational guidelines for all members of the academic community and ensure compliance with external standards and institutional values (Kayyali, 2024). Strong internal regulations reinforce accountability by limiting discretionary authority and establishing standardized procedures that are transparent and enforceable.

Collectively, these organizational elements create an integrated financial governance system that supports accountability and institutional sustainability. By aligning organizational structure, coordination, human resource capacity, technology, and regulations, UNISBA institutionalizes financial accountability as a core governance principle. This organizational approach ensures that financial resources are managed responsibly and contribute meaningfully to the university's educational mission.

Implementation of Education Financing in Improving the Quality of Financial Management Accountability at the Bandung Islamic University

The implementation of education financing represents the practical realization of financial planning and organizational arrangements within private higher education institutions such as UNISBA. Implementation translates strategic intentions and organizational frameworks into concrete financial actions that directly affect academic operations, service quality, and institutional credibility. In contemporary higher education governance, effective implementation is widely recognized as a critical determinant of financial accountability and educational quality (Clark, 2021). At UNISBA, the implementation of education financing is guided by principles of efficiency, effectiveness, transparency, and compliance.

Budget realization constitutes a central indicator of effective financial implementation at UNISBA. Timely and accurate realization of budgets in accordance with predetermined allocations reflects mature planning and disciplined financial management. Budget realization serves as an empirical measure of how well financial plans are translated into operational outcomes (Budding et al., 2022). At UNISBA, optimal budget realization supports operational continuity and ensures that academic programs, research activities, and support services function effectively. Consistency between planned and realized expenditures also enhances accountability by demonstrating responsible stewardship of institutional resources.

The management of revenue sources plays a crucial role in the implementation of education financing at UNISBA. All income sources, including tuition fees and institutional revenues, are managed professionally by a dedicated financial team. This team is responsible for optimizing resource utilization while ensuring compliance with institutional policies and regulatory standards (Padmapriya & Jayamala, 2025). Professional revenue management enhances accountability by ensuring that financial inflows are recorded accurately and allocated transparently to support institutional priorities.

Expenditure control is another key dimension of financial implementation at UNISBA. Clear and structured expenditure procedures regulate fund utilization to prevent misuse and inefficiency. These procedures define authorization mechanisms, documentation requirements, and approval hierarchies that reinforce internal control (Koeswayo et al., 2024). By institutionalizing expenditure control, UNISBA minimizes financial risks and strengthens accountability across organizational units.

Monitoring and evaluation constitute integral components of financial implementation at UNISBA. Regular monitoring activities enable the institution to assess budget performance, identify deviations, and detect potential irregularities at an early stage. Evaluation processes generate feedback that informs corrective actions and continuous improvement in financial management practices (Rintakoski, 2021). Monitoring and evaluation enhance accountability by ensuring that financial implementation remains aligned with institutional objectives and governance standards.

Transparent and accurate financial reporting represents a cornerstone of financial accountability at UNISBA. Financial reports are prepared in accordance with applicable Financial Accounting Standards and are subject to regular audits by independent external auditors. Comprehensive financial reporting provides stakeholders with a clear and credible picture of the university's financial condition and performance (Norton, 2020). Transparency in reporting not only fulfills regulatory obligations but also strengthens trust among students, parents, and the wider public.

The integration of implementation practices with accountability principles demonstrates that financial accountability at UNISBA is not an abstract concept but a lived governance practice. Through disciplined budget realization, professional revenue management, controlled expenditure, systematic monitoring, and transparent reporting, UNISBA operationalizes accountability as a continuous process. This implementation framework ensures that financial resources are used optimally to support educational quality and institutional sustainability (Jamil et al., 2023).

The organization and implementation of education financing at UNISBA illustrate a comprehensive governance approach that links structural arrangements, managerial practices, and accountability principles. By institutionalizing accountability across organizational and operational dimensions, UNISBA strengthens its financial governance and reinforces its commitment to quality education within the private higher education sector (Clark, 2021).

Supervision of Education Financing in Improving the Quality of Financial Governance Accountability at Bandung Islamic University

Supervision of education financing constitutes a central pillar in strengthening the quality of financial governance accountability at Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA). In contemporary higher education governance, supervision is not merely understood as post hoc inspection but as an integrated control mechanism that ensures consistency between financial planning, organizational arrangements, and implementation practices. At UNISBA, financial supervision is designed as a comprehensive system that integrates internal audits, internal control systems, performance monitoring, regulatory compliance, and structured supervisory reporting. This integrative approach reflects the evolving paradigm of financial governance in private universities, where accountability is constructed through continuous oversight rather than episodic evaluation (Clark, 2021).

Internal audits occupy a central position within UNISBA's financial supervision framework. Through systematic internal audit processes, the university assesses the conformity of financial management practices with established policies, accounting standards, and accountability principles. Internal audits serve a dual function by identifying procedural compliance while also detecting potential risks, inefficiencies, and control weaknesses within the financial system (Fitriani, 2019). At UNISBA, audit findings are treated not as punitive instruments but as managerial feedback that informs corrective actions and institutional learning. This approach aligns with contemporary audit governance models that emphasize improvement-oriented supervision rather than mere fault-finding (Chigonga, 2013).

The internal control system represents a foundational element of financial supervision at UNISBA. An effective internal control system incorporates clear authorization procedures, segregation of duties, standardized documentation, and continuous verification mechanisms. These components collectively function to safeguard institutional assets, ensure the reliability of financial information, and promote compliance with established regulations (Mulyono & Suharto, 2023). By strengthening internal control structures, UNISBA reduces the risk of financial mismanagement and enhances the integrity of decision-making processes (Hill, 2020). In the context of private higher education institutions, a robust internal control system is particularly critical in reinforcing stakeholder confidence and institutional legitimacy.

Performance monitoring constitutes another essential dimension of financial supervision at UNISBA. Monitoring activities are conducted regularly to ensure that education financing aligns with predetermined objectives and performance indicators. The use of information technology enables real-time monitoring of budget realization and expenditure patterns, allowing deviations to be identified and addressed promptly (Nurdin & Zuhdi, 2020). Performance monitoring not only supports financial efficiency but also strengthens accountability by linking financial inputs to measurable educational outcomes. Through systematic monitoring, UNISBA ensures that financial supervision operates as a proactive governance tool rather than a reactive corrective mechanism (Hill, 2020).

Regulatory compliance forms a normative foundation for financial supervision at UNISBA. The university is committed to adhering to government regulations, higher education policies, and applicable financial accounting standards. Compliance is ensured through formal procedures, routine reporting, and continuous internal review processes. Regulatory compliance functions as both a legal obligation and a moral commitment to responsible financial governance. In private universities, where financial autonomy is often accompanied by heightened accountability demands, consistent regulatory compliance reinforces institutional credibility and public trust (Mizrahi, 2021).

Supervisory reporting represents the culmination of UNISBA's financial supervision process. The results of audits, monitoring activities, and compliance reviews are compiled into comprehensive reports, which are submitted to university leadership and relevant stakeholders. These reports provide a transparent overview of financial performance, audit findings, identified risks, and recommended improvements (Herghiligiu et al., 2023). Supervisory reporting enhances accountability by ensuring that financial information is communicated clearly and responsibly, enabling informed decision-making at both managerial and governance levels.

In the broader context of private higher education, supervision of education financing plays a strategic role in preventing budget misuse and reinforcing accountability norms. At UNISBA, supervision operates as a multi-layered system that integrates internal oversight mechanisms with external supervision by relevant authorities. This layered approach enhances financial transparency and fosters public trust by demonstrating that financial governance is subject to ongoing scrutiny and improvement.

Accountability for Education Financing in Improving the Quality of Financial Management Accountability at Bandung Islamic University

Accountability for education financing represents the normative culmination of financial governance practices at Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA). Accountability is conceptualized not merely as financial disclosure but as a comprehensive process that encompasses transparent reporting, stakeholder engagement, performance evaluation, and systematic follow-up on audit recommendations (Li & Goel, 2025). Within this framework, UNISBA positions accountability as an ethical and institutional commitment to responsible stewardship of educational resources entrusted by students, parents, and society.

Financial reporting constitutes the core mechanism of accountability at UNISBA. Financial reports provide a comprehensive and structured overview of the university's financial condition, resource utilization, and budget performance. By adhering to applicable financial accounting standards and ensuring accuracy and clarity, UNISBA enables stakeholders to understand how financial resources are managed and allocated. Transparent financial reporting serves as a tangible manifestation of accountability, transforming abstract governance principles into verifiable institutional practices (Johri, 2024).

Public accountability represents an integral dimension of UNISBA's financial governance framework. By disclosing relevant financial information and creating channels for stakeholder feedback, the university fosters a culture of openness and responsiveness. Public accountability mechanisms enable students, parents, and the wider community to evaluate financial management practices and provide constructive input (Stafford & Stapleton, 2022). In the context of private universities, public accountability plays a critical role in sustaining institutional legitimacy and social trust, particularly where funding is closely linked to stakeholder confidence.

Performance assessment constitutes another essential component of financial accountability at UNISBA. Through systematic evaluation of financial outcomes against planned objectives, the university assesses the effectiveness and efficiency of fund utilization. Performance assessment results are used to identify strengths, address weaknesses, and inform future financial planning and implementation strategies (Pageler & Palma, 2022). Communicating performance assessment outcomes to stakeholders reinforces accountability by demonstrating that financial management decisions are evidence-based and oriented toward continuous improvement.

The consistent implementation of audit recommendations represents a critical indicator of genuine accountability at UNISBA. Audit follow-up processes ensure that identified issues are addressed through corrective actions and policy adjustments. By institutionalizing follow-up mechanisms, UNISBA ensures that audits function as catalysts for organizational learning rather than symbolic compliance exercises. This commitment to follow-up underscores the university's dedication to continuous enhancement of financial governance quality (Gautam et al., 2025).

Accountability for education financing at UNISBA thus operates as a cyclical and integrative process. Financial reporting, public disclosure, performance assessment, and audit follow-up are interconnected components that collectively reinforce accountability and transparency. Through this comprehensive accountability framework, UNISBA demonstrates responsible financial stewardship and strengthens stakeholder trust. Ultimately, accountability functions not only as an administrative requirement but as a strategic governance asset that supports the quality and sustainability of education in private higher education institutions (Cosenz, 2022).

Obstacles and Constraints in Education Financing Management in Improving the Quality of Financial Governance Accountability at Bandung Islamic University

Education financing management at private higher education institutions such as Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA) is confronted with multifaceted obstacles that significantly affect the realization of financial governance accountability. These challenges emerge across the entire financial management cycle, encompassing planning, organization, implementation, supervision, and accountability mechanisms. In the planning stage, one of the most persistent constraints faced by private universities lies in the uncertainty of revenue sources. Unlike public universities that benefit from relatively stable government funding, private institutions depend heavily on tuition fees, institutional revenues, and limited external funding, all of which are highly sensitive to economic fluctuations and changes in student enrollment patterns (Jaafar et al., 2023). At UNISBA, this uncertainty complicates the formulation of accurate and sustainable financial plans, potentially undermining budget credibility and accountability.

Financial organization also presents significant challenges in the context of private higher education. The need to manage diverse financial transactions, ranging from operational expenditures to long-term investments, requires a highly coordinated and professional organizational system (Wang, 2024). However, limitations in administrative capacity, overlapping responsibilities, and coordination gaps between academic and financial units often hinder organizational efficiency (Amaral et al., 2023). These organizational constraints can weaken internal control mechanisms and create vulnerabilities in financial governance, particularly when institutional growth is not matched by proportional strengthening of financial management structures.

Budget implementation constitutes another critical area where obstacles frequently arise. Even well-designed financial plans may face implementation difficulties due to unexpected changes in institutional needs, delayed fund disbursement, or external shocks such as economic downturns. In private universities, budget rigidity combined with limited financial buffers often exacerbates these challenges, resulting in deviations between planned and realized expenditures (Bukh et al., 2025). At UNISBA, such deviations pose risks to financial accountability, as they may necessitate ad hoc adjustments that are difficult to justify within formal accountability frameworks.

Supervision and monitoring of budget implementation also encounter structural and contextual challenges. Effective supervision requires timely access to accurate financial data, competent supervisory personnel, and integrated monitoring systems. However, constraints related to technological infrastructure, human resource capacity, and coordination between supervisory units can reduce the effectiveness of oversight mechanisms (Deussom et al., 2022). In complex organizational environments like universities, delayed detection of deviations may result in accumulated inefficiencies or increased exposure to financial risk, thereby weakening accountability outcomes (Hu et al., 2025).

Accountability mechanisms themselves are not immune to constraints. The preparation of transparent and timely financial reports often depends on the availability of reliable data and standardized reporting procedures. In private universities, inconsistencies in documentation, limited audit capacity, and varying levels of financial literacy among stakeholders can undermine the quality of accountability reporting (Abubakr et al., 2025). These challenges are compounded by external pressures, including regulatory changes, evolving accounting standards, and heightened public scrutiny, all of which demand continuous institutional adaptation (Xi, 2024).

External factors further intensify the complexity of education financing management at UNISBA. Changes in government policy related to higher education financing, accreditation requirements, and financial reporting standards require constant adjustment of institutional practices. Economic instability and increasing competition among higher education institutions also exert pressure on private universities to balance affordability, quality, and financial sustainability (Purcell & Lumbreras, 2021). When not managed strategically, these external challenges may hinder the university's ability to achieve its long-term objectives in education quality, research development, and community service.

Solutions for Education Financing Management in Improving the Quality of Financial Management Accountability at Bandung Islamic University

In response to the multifaceted obstacles faced in education financing management, Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA) must adopt comprehensive and strategic solutions aimed at strengthening financial governance accountability. One of the most critical solutions lies in improving the accuracy and reliability of budget planning through data-driven approaches (Pantović et al., 2024). By utilizing historical financial data, enrollment trends, and expenditure patterns, UNISBA can develop more realistic and sustainable budget projections that reduce uncertainty and enhance accountability. Data-based planning enables the institution to align financial resources more effectively with strategic priorities and anticipated risks.

Strengthening the organizational structure of financial management represents another essential solution. Clear delineation of roles and responsibilities, supported by effective coordination mechanisms, enhances efficiency and reduces the risk of overlapping authority. At UNISBA, reinforcing financial governance structures by integrating academic, administrative, and financial units can improve decision-making quality and accountability outcomes (Uzzaman et al., 2023). Organizational clarity also facilitates stronger internal control and supervision by ensuring that accountability lines are well-defined and enforceable.

Optimizing budget implementation through continuous monitoring and evaluation constitutes a vital component of effective financial management reform. By establishing systematic monitoring mechanisms, UNISBA can ensure that allocated funds are utilized in accordance with approved plans and institutional objectives. Regular evaluation enables early identification of deviations and supports timely corrective action, thereby preventing inefficiencies from escalating into systemic accountability issues (Mahmoud Saleh & Karia, 2024). Monitoring and evaluation also generate valuable feedback for improving future planning and implementation cycles.

The implementation of a robust internal control system supported by information technology is another strategic solution to enhance financial accountability. Integrated financial information systems facilitate real-time transaction recording, automated reporting, and data traceability, reducing the risk of errors and fraud (Ajoke Bankole & Lateefat, 2023). At UNISBA, leveraging technology not only improves operational efficiency but also strengthens transparency and audit readiness, which are essential components of accountable financial governance.

Strengthening financial supervision through internal audits and compliance mechanisms further contributes to accountability improvement. Internal audits should be positioned as instruments of organizational learning rather than mere compliance checks. By systematically following up on audit findings and recommendations, UNISBA can institutionalize continuous improvement in financial management practices. Effective supervision also reinforces stakeholder confidence by demonstrating the university's commitment to responsible financial stewardship (Hajjar, 2024).

Improving the quality of financial reporting constitutes a crucial solution in reinforcing accountability. Accurate, timely, and transparent financial reports enable stakeholders to assess financial performance and resource utilization objectively (Seele, 2016). By adhering consistently to applicable accounting standards and enhancing reporting clarity, UNISBA can strengthen public trust and meet regulatory expectations. Transparent reporting also supports informed decision-making and facilitates constructive stakeholder engagement.

Participatory budgeting and inclusive decision-making processes represent additional strategic solutions (Schugurensky & Mook, 2024). By actively involving academic units and relevant stakeholders in financial planning and evaluation, UNISBA can ensure that budgetary decisions reflect the diverse needs and priorities of the institution. Participatory approaches enhance ownership and shared responsibility, thereby reinforcing accountability across organizational levels (Tong et al., 2024).

Scenario analysis and budget simulation also offer practical solutions for managing financial uncertainty. By testing various financial scenarios, UNISBA can proactively anticipate potential risks and design effective mitigation strategies. Scenario-based planning strengthens institutional resilience and supports more adaptive financial governance in dynamic environments (Mannucci, 2024). This approach enables the university to respond effectively to external shocks without compromising accountability standards.

Through the integrated implementation of these strategic solutions, UNISBA can develop a more efficient, transparent, and accountable education financing system. Addressing obstacles in a comprehensive and systematic manner ensures that financial governance accountability is not treated as a reactive obligation but as a proactive institutional commitment. Ultimately, effective solutions in education financing management contribute directly to the achievement of UNISBA's vision and mission by ensuring that financial resources are managed responsibly to support sustainable quality improvement in higher education (Clark, 2021).

Conclusion

This study is grounded in a fundamental inquiry into how education financing management is implemented to enhance the quality of financial governance accountability in private higher education institutions, with Bandung Islamic University (UNISBA) serving as the locus of analysis. The findings demonstrate that financial accountability in private universities is not merely a function of administrative compliance with regulatory frameworks, but rather the outcome of an integrated, sustainable, and governance-based managerial process. At UNISBA, financial accountability is constructed through a close interrelationship between rational and data-driven budget planning, clearly coordinated financial organization, disciplined budget implementation, systematic internal supervision, and transparent, auditable accountability mechanisms.

Substantively, the study reveals that the effectiveness of education financing management at UNISBA lies in its capacity to integrate structural, procedural, and cultural dimensions of financial governance. A clearly defined financial organizational structure strengthens the distribution of authority and responsibility, while well-documented budget management procedures ensure consistency and compliance with accounting standards. Simultaneously, an institutional culture that emphasizes transparency, prudence, and public responsibility emerges as a decisive factor in sustaining financial accountability. These findings address the research question by demonstrating that improvements in financial accountability can only be achieved when the entire cycle of education financing management operates coherently and in a mutually reinforcing manner.

From a theoretical perspective, this study enriches the scholarship on education financing management by affirming that financial accountability in private higher education institutions should be understood as a dynamic institutional process rather than merely as an end product in the form of financial statements. The study extends the discourse on education financial governance by positioning accountability as a relational mechanism that connects institutions with internal stakeholders and the broader public. In doing so, this research contributes to the strengthening of a conceptual framework that integrates governance theory, public accountability theory, and educational management within a unified analytical structure.

The practical implications of this study indicate that private higher education institutions should prioritize the reinforcement of data-driven budget planning, the development of effective internal control systems, and the integrated utilization of financial information technology. Practices implemented at UNISBA illustrate that internal audits oriented toward continuous improvement, rather than formal compliance alone, can significantly enhance the quality of financial decision-making and strengthen public trust. These findings offer strategic guidance for university leaders, financial managers, and higher education policymakers in designing more accountable and sustainable education financing governance models.

Nevertheless, this study is subject to several limitations that warrant critical consideration. The focus on a single private university limits the generalizability of the findings to institutions with different organizational and financial characteristics. Furthermore, the qualitative approach employed emphasizes depth of processual understanding rather than quantitative measurement of financial performance. The study also does not fully explore the perceptions of external stakeholders, such as students, parents, and the wider community, in assessing institutional financial accountability.

In light of these limitations, future research is encouraged to pursue comparative studies across private and public universities by integrating qualitative and quantitative approaches. Further investigations should also examine the role of digital transformation, integrated financial information systems, and artificial intelligence in strengthening accountability and transparency in higher education financing amid increasing global complexity and public demands.

References

Abubakr, A. A. M., Khan, F., Alomari, K. M., Sahal, M. S. G., Yousif, N. A. I., & Yousif, H. K. (2025). The role of the internal auditor, stakeholders, and external auditor in discovering and reducing creative accounting practices in UAE companies. *Security Journal*, *38*(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41284-025-00478-0

Ajoke Bankole, F., & Lateefat, T. (2023). Data-Driven Financial Reporting Accuracy Improvements Through Cross-Departmental Systems Integration in Investment Firms. *International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies*, *3*(6), 2080–2097. https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.6.4729

Akhyar, Y. (2024). Public Accountability in Financial Management Practice at Islamic Education Institutions: A Survey Research. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, *16*(3). https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v16i3.4372

Al-Shaer, H., Malik, M. F., & Zaman, M. (2022). What do audit committees do? Transparency and impression management. *Journal of Management and Governance*, *26*(4), 1443–1468. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-021-09591-9

Alesani, D. (2023). Results-based management. In *Management of International Institutions and NGOs* (pp. 271–305). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003289852-12

Amaliah, N., Zulkarnain, S., & Hidayat, T. (2021). Akuntabilitas Keuangan Perguruan Tinggi Swasta: Studi Kasus di Universitas XYZ [Financial Accountability of Private Universities: A Case Study at XYZ University]. *Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan*, *14*(2), 123–135.

Amaral, A. R., Rodrigues, E., Gaspar, A. R., & Gomes, Á. (2023). How organizational constraints undermine sustainability actions in a university's campuses: A case study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *411*, 137270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137270

Ariani, D. (2017). Pengelolaan Keuangan Perguruan Tinggi [University Financial Management]. *Journal Pendidikan*, *3*(1), 1–8.

Bamorovat, M., Sharifi, I., Khosravi, A., Aflatoonian, M. R., Agha Kuchak Afshari, S., Salarkia, E., Sharifi, F., Aflatoonian, B., Gharachorloo, F., Khamesipour, A., Mohebali, M., Zamani, O., Shirzadi, M. R., & Gouya, M. M. (2024). Global Dilemma and Needs Assessment Toward Achieving Sustainable Development Goals in Controlling Leishmaniasis. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*, *14*(1), 22–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44197-024-00190-z

Bertheussen, B. A. (2025). Institutional logics and soft budget constraints: a study of financial management practices at a public university faculty. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, *37*(6), 129–155. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-06-2024-0093

Boufounou, P., Eriotis, N., Kounadeas, T., Argyropoulos, P., & Poulopoulos, J. (2024). Enhancing Internal Control Mechanisms in Local Government Organizations: A Crucial Step towards Mitigating Corruption and Ensuring Economic Development. *Economies*, *12*(4), 78. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies12040078

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/0.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Budding, T., Faber, B., & Schoute, M. (2022). Integrating non-financial performance indicators in budget documents: the continuing search of Dutch municipalities. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, *34*(1), 52–66. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-02-2020-0009

Bukh, P. N., Ringgaard, A., & Sandalgaard, N. (2025). Moving beyond Beyond Budgeting: A Case Study of the Dynamic Interrelationships between Budgets and Forecasts. *European Accounting Review*, *34*(3), 1221–1247. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2024.2362681

Buthelezi, M. (2024). Spending reviews' capacity-building initiatives: Reflections on the experience and methodologies employed. *Development Southern Africa*, *41*(5), 929–948. https://doi.org/10.1080/0376835X.2024.2302496

Chigonga, B. (2013). *Implementing the National Curriculum Statement: How is Instructional Capacity in the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics Constructed, Organised and Replenished in Secondary Schools?* University of South Africa (South Africa).

Clark, R. P. (2021). Financial Accountability in Public Universities. *Journal of Higher Education Finance*, *45*(3), 245–259.

Cosenz, F. (2022). *Managing Sustainable Performance and Governance in Higher Education Institutions* (Vol. 5). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-99317-7

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed.)*. SAGE Publications.

Dahlan, M. Anas, Listiana Sri Mulatsih, Alfiana, & Abdul Kadir Ahmad. (2024). Financial management audits for school quality improvement in Indonesia: A comprehensive literature review. *Journal of Educational Management and Instruction (JEMIN)*, *4*(1), 174–184. https://doi.org/10.22515/jemin.v4i1.9556

Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, *6*(2), 80–88. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689812437186

Deussom, R., Mwarey, D., Bayu, M., Abdullah, S. S., & Marcus, R. (2022). Systematic review of performance-enhancing health worker supervision approaches in low- and middle-income countries. *Human Resources for Health*, *20*(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-021-00692-y

Dwangu, A. M., & Mahlangu, V. P. (2021). Accountability in the financial management practices of school principals. *International Journal of Educational Management*, *35*(7), 1504–1524. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-06-2021-0243

Fitriani, M. (2019). Tata Kelola Keuangan Perguruan Tinggi: Studi Kasus pada Perguruan Tinggi Swasta [Financial Governance in Higher Education: A Case Study]. *Jurnal Tata Kelola Pendidikan*, *15*(2), 45–57.

Friday, S. C., Lawal, C. I., Ayodeji, D. C., & Sobowale, A. (2022). Advances in Digital Technologies for Ensuring Compliance, Risk Management, and Transparency in Development Finance Operations. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, *3*(1), 955–966. https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.1.955-966

Gautam, T. P., Mishra, A. K., & Shailashri, V. T. (2025). Toward Quality Culture in Nepalese Higher Education: A Systematic Review of QMSS in Affiliated Colleges. *Intellectual Journal of Academic Research*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.3126/ijar.v3i1.83630

Gu, R. (2024). Computer intelligent simulation based on wireless sensor networks application in big data financial management. *Measurement: Sensors*, *32*, 101050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measen.2024.101050

Gunawan, I. (2017). *Manajemen Pendidikan: Suatu Pengantar Praktik [Educational Management: A Practical Introduction]*. CV Alfabeta.

Gyamera, E., Abayaawien Atuilik, W., Eklemet, I., Henry Matey, A., Tetteh, L. A., & Kwasi Apreku-Djan, P. (2023). An analysis of the effects of management accounting services on the financial performance of SME: The moderating role of information technology. *Cogent Business & Management*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2183559

Hajjar, E. (2024). *Automation in financial reporting, processes, and risk mitigation*.

Han, X., Xiao, S., Sheng, J., & Zhang, G. (2024). RETRACTED ARTICLE: Enhancing Efficiency and Decision-Making in Higher Education Through Intelligent Commercial Integration: Leveraging Artificial Intelligence. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *16*(1), 1546–1582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-01868-2

Herghiligiu, I. V., Robu, I.-B., Istrate, M., Grosu, M., Mihalciuc, C. C., & Vilcu, A. (2023). Sustainable Corporate Performance Based on Audit Report Influence: An Empirical Approach through Financial Transparency and Gender Equality Dimensions. *Sustainability*, *15*(18), 14033. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151814033

Hidayat, A., & Rusdiana, H. (2022). *Manajemen Pembiayaan Pendidikan: Konsep dan Prinsip Tata Kelola Biaya Pendidikan [Educational Financing Management: Concepts and Principles]*. CV Pustaka Setia.

Hill, L. C. (2020). Financial Management and Educational Governance in Developing Countries. *International Review of Education*, *66*(2), 145–160.

Hu, J., Wang, D., Zeng, H., & Ma, S. (2025). From disclosure to decision: how climate risk shapes firms' human capital strategies in China. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, *156*(10), 556. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-025-05767-7

Hudon, M., Nyarko, S. A., & Szafarz, A. (2025). Ethical Funding and Good Governance: Does the Design of Funding Matter? *Journal of Alternative Finance*, *2*(2), 84–110. https://doi.org/10.1177/27533743251319539

Ibrahim, T. K. T., & Al-Matari, E. M. (2022). The effect of governance rules application controls and the accrual basis application controls on quality of financial reporting: Applying to Jouf University. *Sustainability*, *14*(5), 2831. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052831

Jaafar, J. A., Latiff, A. R. A., Daud, Z. M., & Osman, M. N. H. (2023). Does Revenue Diversification Strategy Affect the Financial Sustainability of Malaysian Public Universities? A Panel Data Analysis. *Higher Education Policy*, *36*(1), 116–143. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-021-00247-9

Jamil, S., Zaman, S. I., Kayikci, Y., & Khan, S. A. (2023). The Role of Green Recruitment on Organizational Sustainability Performance: A Study within the Context of Green Human Resource Management. *Sustainability*, *15*(21), 15567. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152115567

Johri, A. (2024). Examining the Impact of International Financial Reporting Standards Adoption on Financial Reporting Quality of Multinational Companies. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, *12*(4), 96. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs12040096

Jones, P. A. (2019). Education Funding and Its Impact on Quality Assurance in Universities. *Higher Education Policy*, *32*(3), 289–303.

K, V. K. E., K, S., Kandasamy, J., Venkat, V., & Mani, R. S. (2023). Barriers to the adoption of digital technologies in a functional circular economy network. *Operations Management Research*, *16*(3), 1541–1561. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-023-00375-y

Kallio, T. J., Kallio, K.-M., Huusko, M., Pyykkö, R., & Kivistö, J. (2022). Balancing between accountability and autonomy: the impact and relevance of public steering mechanisms within higher education. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, *34*(6), 46–68. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-10-2020-0177

Kayyali, M. (2024). Quality Enhancement Frameworks in Higher Education. In *Quality Assurance and Accreditation in Higher Education* (pp. 61–141). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-66623-0_2

Khan, P. A., Johl, S. K., Akhtar, S., Asif, M., Salameh, A. A., & Kanesan, T. (2022). Open Innovation of Institutional Investors and Higher Education System in Creating Open Approach for SDG-4 Quality Education: A Conceptual Review. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *8*(1), 49. https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8010049

Koeswayo, P. S., Haryanto, H., & Handoyo, S. (2024). The impact of corporate governance, internal control and corporate reputation on employee engagement: a moderating role of leadership style. *Cogent Business & Management*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2296698

Li, Y., & Goel, S. (2025). Making It Possible for the Auditing of AI: A Systematic Review of AI Audits and AI Auditability. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *27*(3), 1121–1151. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-024-10508-8

Mahmoud Saleh, F. I., & Karia, N. (2024). Management of Monitoring, Evaluation, Accountability, and Learning. In *Value-driven Management for International Development and Aid Projects* (pp. 73–91). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-3666-9_7

Mannucci, S. (2024). *Navigating Futures: Scenario Planning in Urban Resilience and Climate Adaptation* (pp. 55–82). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-4106-9_4

Mediaty, Pontoh, G. T., Nagu, N., HS, R., Mas'ud, A. A., & Aziz, R. H. A. (2025). Human Competencies: Amplifying Financial Reporting Quality in Indonesian Local Government. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *18*(8), 424. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18080424

Megah S, K. I., & Valiant S, R. (2025). Sytematic Literature Review : Assessing the Effectiveness of Performance-Based Budgeting in Government Financial Management. *Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan : Kajian Ilmu Pemerintahan Dan Politik Daerah*, *10*(1), 52–74. https://doi.org/10.24905/jip.10.1.2025.52-74

Mhlanga, D. (2021). Financial Inclusion in Emerging Economies: The Application of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence in Credit Risk Assessment. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, *9*(3), 39. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs9030039

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). Sage.

Mizrahi, S. (2021). Performance Funding and Management in Higher Education: The Autonomy Paradox and Failures in Accountability. *Public Performance & Management Review*, *44*(2), 294–320. https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2020.1806087

Mulyono, H., & Suharto, T. (2023). Implementation of Terry's management functions in Islamic education context. *Journal of Islamic Educational Management*, *14*(2), 67–83.

Nadeem, M. (2024). Distributed leadership in educational contexts: A catalyst for school improvement. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *9*, 100835. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.100835

Narayan, A. K., & Oru, M. (2024). Accounting as a social and moral practice: bridging cultures, balancing Indigenous factors, and fostering social accountability. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, *32*(5), 1734–1757. https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-06-2023-2044

Nofel, M., Marzouk, M., Elbardan, H., Saleh, R., & Mogahed, A. (2024). From sensors to standardized financial reports: A proposed automated accounting system integrating IoT, Blockchain, and XBRL. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *17*(10), 445. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17100445

Norton, W. T. (2020). The Impact of Financial Governance on the Quality of Education in Universities. *Journal of Financial Management in Education*, *38*(1), 78–96.

Nurdin, F., & Zuhdi, M. (2020). Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi dalam Mendukung Transparansi Keuangan Perguruan Tinggi [Utilization of Information Technology in Supporting Financial Transparency in Universities]. *Jurnal Teknologi Dan Pendidikan*, *8*(3), 89–100.

O'Dwyer, M., Filieri, R., & O'Malley, L. (2023). Establishing successful university–industry collaborations: barriers and enablers deconstructed. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *48*(3), 900–931. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-022-09932-2

Onyango, G. (2024). Social Processes of Public Sector Collaborations in Kenya: Unpacking Challenges of Realising Joint Actions in Public Administration. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *16*(2), 8141–8171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-02176-5

Ovchinnikova, O., Kokuytseva, T., & Butrova, E. (2022). Financial Stability of University as Factor Improving the Competitive Ability of the National Economy. *Proceeding of the International Science and Technology Conference" FarEastCon 2021" October 2021, Vladivostok, Russian Federation, Far Eastern Federal University*, 583–589. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-8829-4_56

Padmapriya, S. S., & Jayamala, C. (2025). *Strategic Policy Recommendations and Implications for Effective Management in Organizational and Regulatory Frameworks* (pp. 195–214). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-84909-1_12

Pageler, N. M., & Palma, J. P. (2022). Strategic and Financial Planning. In *Clinical Informatics Study Guide* (pp. 307–318). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-93765-2_21

Pantović, V., Vidojević, D., Vujičić, S., Sofijanić, S., & Jovanović-Milenković, M. (2024). Data-Driven Decision Making for Sustainable IT Project Management Excellence. *Sustainability*, *16*(7), 3014. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16073014

Pratama, A. W., Nurhidayati, Mahliatussikhah, H., Wargadinata, W., & Huda, I. S. (2024). Arabic Language Learning Management in the Qur'ani Cendikia Program (PCQ) Based on George R. Terry's Theory at the Asy-Syadzili 2 Salafiyah Qur'ani Islamic Boarding School. *Mantiqu Tayr: Journal of Arabic Language*, *4*(2), 689–702. https://doi.org/10.25217/mantiqutayr.v4i2.4646

Purcell, W. M., & Lumbreras, J. (2021). Higher education and the COVID-19 pandemic: navigating disruption using the sustainable development goals. *Discover Sustainability*, *2*(1), 6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-021-00013-2

Rintakoski, A. (2021). *Controlling continuous improvement in financial control processes: A case study*.

Sari, D., Yuminah, Y., & Herlena, B. (2020). The Dynamics of Sunni and Shia Relationship: Majority and Minority Conflicts, in Psychological Perspective. *Proceedings of the 2nd International*

Colloquium on Interdisciplinary Islamic Studies (ICIIS) in Conjunction with the 3rd International Conference on Quran and Hadith Studies (ICONQUHAS).

Schugurensky, D., & Mook, L. (2024). Participatory budgeting and local development: Impacts, challenges, and prospects. *Local Development & Society*, *5*(3), 433–445. https://doi.org/10.1080/26883597.2024.2391664

Seele, P. (2016). Digitally unified reporting: how XBRL-based real-time transparency helps in combining integrated sustainability reporting and performance control. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *136*, 65–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.01.102

Sityata, I., Botha, L., & Dubihlela, J. (2021). Risk Management Practices by South African Universities: An Annual Report Disclosure Analysis. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *14*(5), 195. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14050195

Stafford, A., & Stapleton, P. (2022). The impact of hybridity on PPP governance and related accountability mechanisms: the case of UK education PPPs. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *35*(3), 950–980. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-12-2019-4324

Suwarno, S., Fitria, F., & Azhar, R. (2023). Optimizing Budget Allocation: A Strategic Framework for Aligning Human Resource Investments with Financial Objectives and Business Goals. *Atestasi : Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, *6*(2), 835–855. https://doi.org/10.57178/atestasi.v6i2.880

Suykens, B., Meyfroodt, K., Desmidt, S., & Verschuere, B. (2022). Does performance-based accountability impact how non-profit directors perceive organizational performance? Insights from rational planning. *Public Management Review*, *24*(9), 1355–1382. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1900349

Tong, J., Li, Y., & Yang, Y. (2024). System Construction, Tourism Empowerment, and Community Participation: The Sustainable Way of Rural Tourism Development. *Sustainability*, *16*(1), 422. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16010422

Uzzaman, A., Akhter, T., Rahman, M. M., & Waliullah, M. (2023). Impact Of Gfmis-Driven Financial Transparency On Strategic Marketing Decisions In Government Agencies. *Review of Applied Science and Technology*, *02*(01), 85–112. https://doi.org/10.63125/8nqhhm56

Vettriselvan, R., Rajesh, D., Swadhi, R., Velmurugan, P. R., & Arunkumar, S. (2025). Enhancing Efficiency and Accountability: Innovative Approaches to Public Financial Management in Higher Education. In *Challenges of Public Administration Management for Higher Education* (pp. 81–112). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-4346-3.ch005

Wang, R. (2024). RETRACTED ARTICLE: Safeguarding Enterprise Prosperity: An In-depth Analysis of Financial Management Strategies. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *15*(4), 17676–17704. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-024-01752-z

Xi, W. (2024). Regulatory Changes and Compliance Challenges. In *Strategic Financial Management: A Managerial Approach* (pp. 119–134). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83608-106-720241008

Zickafoose, A., Ilesanmi, O., Diaz-Manrique, M., Adeyemi, A. E., Walumbe, B., Strong, R., Wingenbach, G., Rodriguez, M. T., & Dooley, K. (2024). Barriers and Challenges Affecting Quality Education (Sustainable Development Goal #4) in Sub-Saharan Africa by 2030. *Sustainability*, *16*(7), 2657. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072657