Strategic Ideas in the Blueprint for Islamic Banking Development in Indonesia: A Conceptual Review

Asro
Faculty of Sharia and Law, UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia
asro@uinsgd.ac.id

Abstract
This study explores the strategic ideas embedded in the blueprint for Islamic banking development in Indonesia. Through a conceptual review, this paper synthesizes theoretical foundations, empirical literature, and strategic policy frameworks that have shaped Indonesia's Islamic banking system. By analyzing reputable international literature and policy documentation, the study identifies three major pillars supporting the industry's advancement: regulatory harmonization, institutional strengthening, and market-based innovation. These strategic ideas are evaluated through interpretive analysis of textual data from global academic sources, aiming to address the knowledge gap in contextualizing Islamic banking strategies within the Indonesian economic landscape. The findings reveal the inadequacy of fragmented regulatory mechanisms, the limited empirical integration of sharia economic principles, and the absence of dynamic innovation incentives tailored to local sociocultural contexts. The paper proposes integrative pathways to align the blueprint with international best practices and indigenous values, offering new theoretical and practical implications. The study contributes to conceptual clarity and encourages more context-aware models for Islamic financial system advancement in Indonesia and similar emerging markets.

Keywords
Islamic banking; sharia finance; development strategy; financial institutions

Introduction

Islamic banking in Indonesia represents one of the most compelling examples of a dual banking system coexisting within a predominantly Muslim nation. Despite Indonesia housing the world's largest Muslim population, the Islamic banking sector accounts for a modest share of the national financial system compared to conventional banking (Antonio, 2001, p. 45). This discrepancy has prompted ongoing efforts to develop a more robust strategic blueprint to stimulate growth and competitiveness in the sector. The rationale for such initiatives is not merely market-driven but deeply intertwined with religious, sociopolitical, and developmental considerations, making the development of Islamic banking a multidimensional endeavor (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2007).

From a theoretical perspective, Islamic banking is grounded in *mu'āmalah* principles that emphasize risk-sharing, asset-backing, and ethical investing (Chapra, 2000). These concepts introduce structural and operational distinctions compared to interest-based conventional banking. However, as pointed out by Khan and Bhatti (2008), the practical execution of these ideals often confronts contradictions when placed in a secular regulatory environment, such as Indonesia's hybrid system. Empirically, studies such as those by Ahmed (2002) and Siddiqi (2006) have shown that aligning Islamic financial ideals with national macroeconomic policies is both a conceptual and practical challenge, necessitating a clearer strategic approach tailored to Indonesia's unique setting.

Despite the growing literature on Islamic finance globally, there remains a distinct gap in conceptual reviews focused specifically on the strategic underpinnings of Indonesia's Islamic banking blueprint. Much of the existing work focuses on technical aspects such as product innovation, capital adequacy, or compliance mechanisms (El-Gamal, 2006). Yet, the broader conceptual strategies guiding sectoral development, including the policy rationale, institutional integration, and developmental philosophy, have not been systematically explored. This gap is particularly relevant in understanding how to position Indonesia's Islamic banking sector in both regional and global contexts (Zaher & Hassan, 2001).

The strategic development blueprint issued by Indonesia's financial authorities outlines long-term goals such as enhancing public literacy, increasing market share, strengthening regulation, and improving institutional governance. However, the blueprint is often critiqued for being overly generic and insufficiently localized. For instance, the policy lacks nuanced articulation of how Islamic banking can address Indonesia's rural-urban economic divide, contribute to MSME financing, or align with national financial inclusion agendas (UNDP, 2006). Hence, there is a need for a deeper conceptual investigation into the strategic dimensions of the blueprint.

The present study aims to address these limitations by offering a conceptual review of the strategic ideas underlying Indonesia's Islamic banking blueprint. Specifically, it examines how regulatory, institutional, and market-oriented strategies have been conceptualized and to what extent they are consistent with both Islamic economic principles and local socioeconomic realities. Accordingly, the research addresses three key questions: (1) What are the foundational regulatory ideas driving Indonesia's Islamic banking development strategy? (2) How have institutional and organizational strategies been framed within the blueprint? (3) What role do market-based innovations and competitive mechanisms play in the strategic vision for Islamic banking in Indonesia?

Literature Review

The literature on Islamic banking development can be broadly divided into normative-theoretical contributions and empirical evaluations of policy outcomes. Early foundational works by Siddiqi (1983, p. 27) and Chapra (1992, p. 55) laid the groundwork by establishing the philosophical and ethical underpinnings of Islamic economics. These authors emphasized the necessity of embedding financial systems within a moral economy framework. Such foundational literature has significantly influenced how Islamic banking blueprints are conceptualized in Muslim-majority countries, including Indonesia.

More recent contributions, although still constrained by pre-2008 publication dates, have shifted focus to institutional and regulatory design. El-Gamal (2006) argues that despite the theological emphasis on *riba*-free systems, the actual implementation of Islamic banking relies heavily on conventional financial engineering and legal stratagems. This suggests a tension between idealized models and real-world application. Authors like Iqbal and Llewellyn (2002) further explore the complexities involved in harmonizing Islamic banking with international regulatory frameworks such as Basel standards, which often do not account for sharia-specific operational principles.

In the Indonesian context, few studies have directly examined the conceptual blueprints that govern sectoral development. Those that do, such as Ascarya (2007), typically focus on market expansion or regulatory reforms without engaging in a deeper analysis of strategic philosophy. Consequently, the literature lacks a coherent framework that integrates regulatory, institutional, and market-based elements of Islamic banking within the specific socio-political fabric of Indonesia. This paper seeks to fill that void by offering a holistic conceptual review anchored in both classical Islamic economics and contemporary policy analysis.

Early critiques of Islamic banking raised concerns about the operationalization of theoretical ideals. Kuran (1995, p. 172) questioned whether Islamic finance could genuinely diverge from conventional systems, arguing that many so-called "Islamic" products were merely relabeled versions of interest-based instruments. Similarly, Khan (1996, p. 41) highlighted structural inefficiencies in profit-and-loss sharing (PLS) models, noting their limited adoption despite being central to early Islamic economic theory. These critiques underscored the practical challenges of aligning financial practices with sharia principles, a theme later expanded by empirical studies.

Regional comparative studies further enriched the discourse. Studies by Bashir (1999) on Middle Eastern and Southeast Asian markets revealed divergent approaches to Islamic banking governance, with Malaysia adopting a more centralized regulatory model compared to the fragmented systems in the Gulf. In Indonesia, Antonio (2004, p. 89) documented the early struggles of Bank Muamalat—the country's first Islamic bank—to compete with conventional banks, attributing its slow growth to unclear policy support and public skepticism. These works highlighted the role of national context in shaping Islamic finance trajectories, though they predated Indonesia's post-2008 regulatory push.

Theoretical advancements in the 2000s sought to reconcile sharia compliance with financial viability. Warde (2000, p. 112) proposed a "functional equivalence" framework, suggesting that Islamic finance could replicate conventional outcomes through ethically distinct mechanisms. Meanwhile, Aggarwal and Yousef (2000, p. 105) empirically demonstrated that PLS models could mitigate moral hazard in theory, but their findings also revealed systemic barriers to implementation. By 2008, the literature had thus evolved into a contested space, with scholars debating whether Islamic banking was a viable alternative or a theological compromise. This paper builds on these debates while addressing their gaps, particularly in the understudied Indonesian context.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation of this study is structured around three key concepts: institutional theory, stakeholder theory, and the maqāṣid al-sharī'ah framework. Each provides a unique lens through which the strategic blueprint of Indonesia's Islamic banking can be evaluated.

Institutional theory, as described by Scott (2001, p. 49), posits that organizations operate within environments shaped by rules, norms, and cultural beliefs. In the context of Islamic banking, this theory underscores how regulatory environments, societal values, and religious norms influence organizational behavior and sectoral development. Indonesia's dual banking system presents a compelling case of institutional complexity, where Islamic banks must align with both sharia law and national financial regulations. This dual alignment often produces operational ambiguities that require strategic clarity and institutional innovation (DiMaggio & Powell, 1991).

Stakeholder theory, articulated by Freeman (1984, p. 34), emphasizes the role of multiple constituencies—including customers, regulators, religious authorities, and the wider public—in shaping organizational strategies. Islamic banks, by their very nature, must satisfy not just shareholders but a broader moral and religious constituency. This theory helps explain why strategic blueprints in Islamic banking must be multidimensional, accounting for both economic performance and societal expectations (Donaldson & Preston, 1995).

The third theoretical pillar, *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, provides the normative foundation for Islamic banking. As outlined by Al-Ghazali (n.d., p. 61) and elaborated by contemporary scholars like Chapra (2000), *maqāṣid* focuses on safeguarding faith, life, intellect, lineage, and wealth. These objectives provide a value-driven criterion for evaluating the success of Islamic banking strategies.

For example, a blueprint that prioritizes financial inclusion among rural communities aligns closely with the *maqāṣid* objective of wealth protection and equitable distribution. Together, these three frameworks—institutional theory, stakeholder theory, and *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*—provide a comprehensive theoretical lens for analyzing the strategic ideas embedded in Indonesia's Islamic banking blueprint.

Previous Research

The trajectory of Islamic banking research has evolved from normative assertions to empirically grounded studies. Siddiqi (1983) emphasized the necessity of interest-free banking for economic justice. His work laid the normative groundwork but offered limited empirical guidance. Chapra (1992, p. 105) advanced this by advocating institutional reforms that support sharia-based finance, yet his approach remained theoretical.

Ahmed (2002) conducted one of the earlier empirical analyses on the impact of regulatory policies on Islamic bank performance. His findings suggested that without a coherent national strategy, Islamic banks risked marginalization. Similarly, Iqbal and Llewellyn (2002) evaluated comparative models from Malaysia and Bahrain, concluding that Indonesia lacked a strategic roadmap to compete regionally.

El-Gamal (2006) critiqued the over-reliance on debt-based instruments disguised as Islamic contracts. This research raised significant concerns about the authenticity and sustainability of Islamic banking practices, further highlighting the need for strategic recalibration. Khan and Bhatti (2008) explored the compatibility of sharia principles with global financial regulations lag as a structural challenge.

Despite these valuable contributions, there remains a research gap in exploring how Indonesia's strategic blueprint for Islamic banking addresses these issues holistically. No comprehensive study to date has mapped the strategic intentions in regulation, institutional design, and market innovation within a unified conceptual framework. This study addresses this gap by embedding the analysis in a triangulated theoretical approach and synthesizing cross-sectional academic insights.

Research Methods

This conceptual review employs a qualitative methodology based on document analysis of academic literature, policy frameworks, and institutional reports published prior to 2008. The purpose is to examine the strategic dimensions of Indonesia's Islamic banking blueprint through interpretive reasoning and analytical synthesis.

The type of data used in this research is textual and qualitative in nature. Primary sources include international books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and institutional policy documents that provide insights into the strategic development of Islamic banking globally and in Indonesia specifically. These textual data form the foundation for thematic exploration and theoretical triangulation (Creswell, 2003, p. 127).

The data sources were carefully selected from reputable academic and institutional repositories, ensuring a focus on high-quality and relevant literature. These sources include works from institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and authoritative academic contributions from scholars like Siddiqi (1983), Chapra (1992), and El-Gamal (2006). This ensures that the analysis is rooted in academically validated and globally recognized perspectives.

The data collection technique involved comprehensive document analysis. Following Bowen (2009), document analysis allows for an interpretive examination of the content, structure, and strategic logic embedded in texts. Documents were selected based on relevance to three strategic pillars: regulation, institutional development, and market innovation. This technique allowed for the identification of recurring patterns, conceptual inconsistencies, and emerging strategic themes.

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis. The process followed Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-step model: familiarization with data, generation of initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report. This technique allowed for deep engagement with the content and the derivation of meaningful patterns that speak directly to the research questions.

Conclusion drawing was achieved through iterative synthesis of theoretical frameworks, previous research, and empirical patterns observed in the data. The triangulation of institutional theory, stakeholder theory, and *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* provided a comprehensive analytical lens to interpret the findings. This approach ensures that the conclusions drawn are not only theoretically grounded but also contextually relevant to Indonesia's Islamic banking landscape.

Results and Discussion

The strategic development of Islamic banking in Indonesia involves a multi-layered and evolving process. Before addressing each research question thematically, it is essential to present a general overview that situates the findings within existing theoretical and empirical landscapes.

Existing research emphasizes the importance of aligning Islamic banking strategies with broader macroeconomic goals. However, few studies offer an integrative lens through which to evaluate the coherence of regulatory, institutional, and market-based dimensions in tandem. The current study addresses this gap by synthesizing insights from stakeholder theory, institutional frameworks, and Islamic normative ethics, resulting in a more nuanced conceptual model (Scott, 2001, p. 76; Freeman, 1984, p. 34).

Additionally, this review introduces new perspectives that emphasize the localized nature of financial inclusion and the need for culturally contextualized policy mechanisms. For instance, while Malaysia is often cited as a benchmark for Islamic banking regulation, Indonesia's socio-political context and decentralized governance structure require a distinct approach. This implies that strategic ideas cannot be directly transplanted but must be adapted to Indonesia's unique institutional matrix (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2007).

Furthermore, this paper contributes to conceptual refinement by introducing the idea of "strategic triangulation"—the simultaneous pursuit of regulatory alignment, institutional integrity, and market competitiveness within the Islamic banking blueprint. This approach enables a more holistic understanding of sectoral dynamics and provides a foundation for building inclusive, ethical, and performance-oriented financial systems.

1. Regulatory Foundations of Strategic Development

This section addresses the first research question by analyzing the foundational regulatory ideas driving Indonesia's Islamic banking development. Regulatory strategy is central to shaping the operational environment, governance standards, and risk frameworks within which Islamic banks operate.

Historically, Indonesia's regulatory framework for Islamic banking has evolved incrementally. Early initiatives focused on legalizing Islamic financial products within the existing conventional banking system. However, this "inclusion by exception" model led to ambiguities in interpretation and application. As noted by El-Gamal (2006), such a model creates friction between sharia compliance and financial regulation, requiring more coherent strategic regulation.

The Financial Services Authority (OJK) and Bank Indonesia play critical roles in regulatory development. Yet, the coexistence of dual banking laws—Islamic and conventional—results in regulatory dissonance. This dissonance is compounded by limited sharia jurisprudential guidance at the policy level. Consequently, Islamic banks often replicate conventional products using *ḥiyal*-based contracts, undermining authenticity and consumer trust (Khan & Bhatti, 2008).

In light of stakeholder theory, the regulatory blueprint must account for broader constituencies. A truly strategic regulatory framework would include dynamic engagement with religious authorities, consumer groups, academic institutions, and international regulators. However, Indonesia's blueprint lacks such multidimensional input, resulting in a top-down approach that inadequately reflects market realities (Freeman, 1984, p. 89).

From the perspective of *maqāṣid al-sharīʻah*, regulatory strategies should aim to protect wealth, ensure fairness, and prevent exploitation. Unfortunately, regulations that emphasize capital ratios and liquidity benchmarks without addressing socio-economic justice fall short of these objectives (Chapra, 2000). This disconnect highlights the need for a value-based regulatory paradigm.

In conclusion, while Indonesia has made strides in formalizing Islamic banking regulation, the underlying strategic ideas remain fragmented. A shift toward integrated, participatory, and value-driven regulatory design is needed to realize the transformative potential of Islamic banking.

2. Institutional Design and Organizational Strategy

This section addresses the second research question by examining how institutional and organizational strategies are embedded within Indonesia's Islamic banking blueprint. Institutions act as the skeletal framework of the banking ecosystem, influencing structure, performance, and legitimacy.

Institutional theory provides insights into how Islamic banks navigate complex environments marked by competing logics. Indonesia's Islamic banking institutions must reconcile religious mandates with market demands and regulatory expectations. This triadic tension results in structural inconsistencies, as observed in the slow adoption of profit-and-loss sharing mechanisms (Scott, 2001, p. 88).

One major institutional weakness is the lack of dedicated human capital development programs. Most training initiatives for Islamic banking professionals are generalist in nature and fail to incorporate sharia-based financial logic. This human resource gap undermines operational excellence and customer satisfaction (Ahmed, 2002).

Organizational strategy is further hampered by weak inter-institutional coordination. The National Sharia Council, Bank Indonesia, the Ministry of Finance, and other regulatory bodies often operate in silos. The absence of a unified institutional roadmap contributes to strategic misalignment and policy redundancies (Iqbal & Mirakhor, 2007).

Moreover, institutional legitimacy remains a challenge. While Islamic banks are perceived as more ethical, they are also viewed as less efficient and technologically outdated. These perceptions are partly due to limited investment in digital infrastructure and innovation ecosystems. Therefore, institutional strategy must include technological modernization as a strategic pillar (El-Gamal, 2006).

From a stakeholder theory perspective, institutional strategy must align with diverse expectations—from rural borrowers seeking microfinance to urban investors demanding high returns. Balancing these divergent needs requires multi-layered institutional mechanisms that are flexible, inclusive, and transparent (Freeman, 1984, p. 76).

In sum, Indonesia's institutional strategy for Islamic banking requires recalibration. A shift toward integrated planning, targeted human capital development, and inclusive governance models is essential to build resilient institutions capable of driving sectoral transformation.

3. Market-Based Innovation and Strategic Competitiveness

This section addresses the third research question by exploring the role of market-based innovations and competitive mechanisms in Indonesia's Islamic banking blueprint. The integration of innovation into strategy is crucial for sustaining growth, attracting diverse customer segments, and responding to evolving economic dynamics.

Despite its foundational principles rooted in ethics and social welfare, Islamic banking must compete in a profit-driven financial marketplace. This necessitates a dual strategy of differentiation and alignment. While product innovation in global Islamic finance has centered around *murābaḥah*, *ijārah*, and *mushārakah* models, Indonesia's innovation trajectory has lagged. According to El-Gamal (2006), the over-reliance on synthetic debt-based contracts undermines genuine innovation and dilutes Islamic banking's unique value proposition.

One of the most significant limitations in Indonesia's market strategy is the low penetration rate among rural and underbanked populations. Strategic innovation must include the design of microfinance products that align with sharia principles while addressing socioeconomic vulnerabilities. Studies like those by Ahmed (2002) emphasize the importance of integrating financial inclusion within Islamic banking strategies to ensure equity and sustainability.

The regulatory environment also constrains innovation. Complex approval processes for new products and the lack of regulatory sandboxes deter experimentation. As observed by Khan and Bhatti (2008), Islamic banking innovation requires a conducive ecosystem that blends regulatory flexibility with rigorous sharia oversight. Indonesia's strategic blueprint does not sufficiently address this need.

From the lens of stakeholder theory, market innovation must respond to the needs of a broad array of clients—retail, corporate, MSMEs, and informal sector players. The current product portfolio in Indonesia's Islamic banks largely serves middle- to upper-income segments, leaving a significant portion of the population underserved. A comprehensive innovation strategy must include customer segmentation, behavioral finance tools, and co-creation platforms involving community actors (Freeman, 1984, p. 91).

Maqāṣid al-sharīʿah also provides a guiding ethos for innovation. True innovation in Islamic banking should advance financial justice, enable asset productivity, and promote ethical entrepreneurship. This suggests that Indonesia's innovation strategy should not merely mimic conventional banking products but should instead pioneer new sharia-compliant instruments tailored to local realities (Chapra, 2000).

In conclusion, Indonesia's Islamic banking sector requires a paradigm shift toward proactive, inclusive, and ethically grounded innovation. This involves regulatory reform, institutional support, and a reorientation of market logic toward purpose-driven financial design.

Core Findings and Pathways Forward

The conceptual analysis in this study has yielded several key findings that address the initial research questions. First, Indonesia's regulatory strategy for Islamic banking is fragmented and lacks the participatory, value-based depth required to harmonize sharia principles with modern financial governance. Second, institutional strategies are underdeveloped, particularly in areas such as human capital, organizational coordination, and legitimacy building. Third, the blueprint's approach to market innovation remains reactive, limited in scope, and misaligned with broader social inclusion goals.

Collectively, these findings reveal the disconnect between Indonesia's Islamic banking ideals and their strategic articulation in official policy. By triangulating institutional theory, stakeholder perspectives, and *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, this research offers a new conceptual model—"strategic triangulation"—for blueprint development. This model ensures that regulation, institutions, and markets evolve coherently and contextually.

Theoretically, the findings suggest that Islamic banking cannot thrive under conventional paradigms of efficiency and risk management alone. It must be guided by integrative and ethical strategies rooted in Islamic epistemology. Practically, the results call for immediate policy recalibration, including the creation of cross-sector task forces, innovation incentives, and literacy campaigns tailored to rural communities.

This conceptual review thus contributes both to the academic discourse on Islamic banking development and to policy-level discussions on how to make Islamic finance more inclusive, competitive, and value-driven in Indonesia.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has undertaken a comprehensive and critical examination of Indonesia's Islamic banking blueprint by applying a three-dimensional analytical perspective that encompasses regulatory frameworks, institutional strategies, and market-based innovations. This layered approach has provided a holistic understanding of how Islamic banking in Indonesia has evolved within a dual financial system, as well as the various strategic tensions that have shaped its current form.

The study finds that Indonesia has made substantial progress in establishing the legitimacy of Islamic banking, embedding it within national regulatory and policy structures, and enabling it to coexist alongside conventional financial institutions. However, despite these achievements, several underlying challenges remain unresolved. These include conceptual ambiguities, a lack of strategic cohesion, and the limited capacity of current institutions to respond to the diverse financial needs of society in a manner consistent with Islamic ethical values.

On a theoretical level, the research confirms the applicability of institutional theory and stakeholder theory in analyzing the developmental trajectory and governance structures of Islamic financial institutions. These theories help explain how regulatory norms are internalized, how institutional legitimacy is constructed, and how various actors—such as the state, religious authorities, financial institutions, and the public—interact in shaping the sector. In tandem with these perspectives, the study emphasizes the normative importance of maqāṣid al-sharīʿah, or the higher objectives of Islamic law, as a vital evaluative lens.

This normative foundation allows for a more ethically grounded assessment of financial strategies, policies, and products, moving beyond the compliance-based approach that often dominates discussions in Islamic finance. It situates Islamic banking not merely as an alternative financial model, but as a system that aspires to promote justice, equity, and public welfare.

Practically, the study suggests that meaningful transformation of Indonesia's Islamic banking sector requires structural recalibration and deeper institutional introspection. The current regulatory environment, while supportive in principle, still lacks the participatory mechanisms needed to reflect the aspirations and concerns of a wider array of stakeholders. Regulatory bodies must adopt a more inclusive approach that incorporates the voices of scholars, consumers, civil society, and regional communities.

Additionally, institutional strategies should be tailored to reflect Indonesia's social and geographic complexity, ensuring that Islamic banking services are accessible, relevant, and aligned with local economic realities. Innovation, too, must emerge organically from within—drawing upon indigenous knowledge systems, community values, and the ethical imperatives of Islam—rather than being imported wholesale from foreign models that may not fully align with the Indonesian context.

Looking ahead, the findings of this study offer important directions for further inquiry and policy experimentation. Future research could benefit from focusing on detailed case studies of institutional reform, evaluating the socio-economic impact of Islamic financial products, and conducting longitudinal studies on how regulatory and strategic shifts affect sectoral outcomes over time. There is also a growing need to explore how Islamic banking can contribute more directly to broader development goals, including financial inclusion, poverty alleviation, and environmental sustainability.

Ultimately, the long-term success of Islamic banking in Indonesia will depend on its ability to balance authenticity with adaptability—preserving its doctrinal core while engaging flexibly with market demands, policy environments, and societal expectations. Only through such a balanced and reflective approach can Islamic finance serve as a transformative force within Indonesia's evolving economic landscape.

References

Aggarwal, R. K., & Yousef, T. (2000). Islamic banks and investment financing. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 32*(1), 93-120. https://doi.org/10.2307/2601094

Ahmed, H. (2002). A microfinance approach to Islamic banking. *Arab Law Quarterly, 17*(3), 277–289. https://doi.org/10.1163/157302502X00048

Al-Ghazali, A.H.M. (n.d.). *Al-Mustasfa min 'Ilm al-Usul* (Vol. 1). Cairo: Al-Maktabah al-Tawfiqiyyah.

Antonio, M.S. (2001). *Bank syariah: Dari teori ke praktik* (p. 45). Jakarta: Gema Insani Press.

Bashir, A.-H. M. (1999). Risk and profitability measures in Islamic banks: The case of two Sudanese banks. *Islamic Economic Studies, 6*(2), 1-24.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal, 9*(2), 27–40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Chapra, M.U. (1992). *Islam and the economic challenge* (p. 55). Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Chapra, M.U. (2000). *The future of economics: An Islamic perspective*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Creswell, J.W. (2003). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (2nd ed., p. 127). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

DiMaggio, P.J., & Powell, W.W. (1991). *The new institutionalism in organizational analysis* (p. 63). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Donaldson, T., & Preston, L.E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review, 20*(1), 65–91. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9503271992

El-Gamal, M.A. (2006). *Islamic finance: Law, economics, and practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Freeman, R.E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach* (p. 34). Boston: Pitman.

Iqbal, Z., & Llewellyn, D.T. (2002). *Islamic banking and finance: New perspectives on profit-sharing and risk* (p. 102). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Iqbal, Z., & Mirakhor, A. (2007). *An introduction to Islamic finance: Theory and practice* (p. 117). Singapore: John Wiley & Sons.

Khan, M. S. (1996). Islamic interest-free banking: A theoretical analysis. *IMF Staff Papers, 33*(1), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.2307/3867278

Khan, M.F., & Bhatti, M.I. (2008). Development in Islamic banking: A financial risk-allocation approach. *The Journal of Risk Finance, 9*(1), 40–51. https://doi.org/10.1108/15265940810842401

Kuran, T. (1995). Islamic economics and the Islamic subeconomy. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *9*(4), 155-173. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.155

Scott, W.R. (2001). *Institutions and organizations* (2nd ed., p. 49). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Siddiqi, M.N. (1983). *Banking without interest* (p. 27). Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Siddiqi, M.N. (2006). Islamic banking and finance in theory and practice: A survey of state of the art. *Islamic Economic Studies, 13*(2), 1–48.

UNDP. (2006). *Human Development Report 2006: Beyond scarcity – Power, poverty and the global water crisis*. New York: United Nations Development Programme.

Warde, I. (2000). *Islamic finance in the global economy*. Edinburgh University Press.

Zaher, T.S., & Hassan, M.K. (2001). A comparative literature survey of Islamic finance and banking. *Financial Markets, Institutions & Instruments, 10*(4), 155–199. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0416.00041