OIKOS : Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, dan Bisnis
P-ISSN : 1979-4770

Strategic Integration of Islamic Ethics into Management Information Systems for *Sharī'ah*-Compliant Enterprises

Zulbaidah
Faculty of Sharia and Law, UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia
zulbaidah@uinsgd.ac.id

Abstract

Management Information Systems (MIS) are pivotal to modern organizational governance, yet often lack ethical frameworks aligned with Islamic values. This study addresses this lacuna by proposing a strategic model for integrating Islamic ethics—rooted in *taqwā* (God-consciousness), *'adl* (justice), *amānah* (trust), and *niyyah* (intention)—into MIS structures. Employing a qualitative, conceptual methodology, the research synthesizes classical sources from *'ilm al-akhlāq* and contemporary MIS theories to construct a normative framework. Key components such as data governance, algorithms, reporting systems, and decision-making are aligned with the objectives of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*. The study reveals that MIS can serve not just as technological tools but as ethical infrastructures that enhance accountability, equity, and spiritual integrity in *sharī'ah*-oriented enterprises. Findings underscore the importance of layered implementation strategies, including governance models, compliance dashboards, and lifecycle-based ethical development. This paper fills a critical research gap by offering a theoretically grounded and practically viable model for ethical MIS design. The results advocate for interdisciplinary approaches and institutional reforms to embed Islamic values into digital systems. The study contributes to the growing discourse on ethical information governance and calls for future empirical validation across various organizational settings.

Keywords

Islamic ethics; Management Information Systems; *sharī'ah* compliance; *amānah*; *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*

Introduction

The rapid advancement of information technology has revolutionized how organizations manage, process, and utilize data. Management Information Systems (MIS), which serve as the backbone of data-driven decision-making, are increasingly central to enterprise strategy and governance (Laudon & Laudon, 2006). However, while these systems optimize efficiency and coordination, they often lack a framework for ethical guidance, particularly in aligning with faith-based values such as those found in Islam. For *sharī'ah*-oriented businesses, the absence of ethical alignment can lead to conflicts between operational processes and spiritual obligations. This tension underscores the urgency for an integrated model that harmonizes technological efficiency with Islamic ethical norms.

Scholarly debates on ethics in information systems have primarily focused on general normative frameworks, such as utilitarianism or deontology (Mason, 1986; Spinello, 2003). These models, while valuable, do not sufficiently capture the metaphysical and jurisprudential dimensions of Islamic ethics, which derive from sources such as the Qur'an, Sunnah, and the classical works of *'ilm al-akhlāq* (Nasr, 1993, p. 45). As such, applying Western ethical models in Muslim-majority contexts risks cultural dissonance and ethical ambiguity. Islamic ethics, with its emphasis on accountability (*mas'ūliyyah*), justice (*'adl*), and God-consciousness (*taqwā*), offers a holistic paradigm for moral conduct in both personal and organizational life.

Despite a growing body of research on Islamic finance and corporate ethics (Chapra, 2000; Lewis, 2001), the integration of Islamic ethics into MIS remains underexplored. Most studies have addressed compliance at the macro-financial level rather than operational and digital infrastructures. Empirical studies also tend to focus on business ethics in managerial behavior rather than systemic applications in technology platforms. This presents a significant gap in the literature: how can Islamic ethical principles be operationalized within MIS to guide data handling, information governance, and decision-making processes in *sharī'ah*-compliant organizations?

In light of this gap, the present research aims to explore the integration of Islamic ethics into MIS by developing a conceptual framework informed by classical Islamic values and contemporary IS theories. The key research questions guiding this inquiry are: (1) What are the foundational ethical principles in Islam that can inform MIS design? (2) How can these principles be aligned with MIS components such as data governance, algorithms, and reporting? (3) What strategic framework can organizations adopt to ensure *sharī'ah* compliance in their information systems?

The objectives of this study are threefold: first, to construct a theoretical bridge between Islamic ethics and MIS; second, to propose a strategic model for

implementation; and third, to provide practical insights for *sharī'ah*-oriented enterprises. This investigation is not merely of academic interest but holds real-world relevance as Muslim-majority countries seek to modernize governance infrastructures while adhering to Islamic values. By addressing this intersection, the paper contributes to the evolving discourse on ethical technology within Islamic civilization.

Finally, the research holds significance in enhancing ethical pluralism within the broader MIS discourse. As information systems become increasingly globalized, incorporating diverse ethical traditions such as Islam enriches the theoretical landscape and enables culturally responsive system design. This pluralism supports the broader goals of ethical universalism while preserving contextual relevance, making the study an important step toward inclusive and morally responsible information governance.

Literature Review

The intersection between ethics and Management Information Systems (MIS) has been explored through several lenses, yet few have ventured into integrating religious moral systems like Islam into these frameworks. MIS scholars have long examined issues such as data privacy, decision support, and user behavior through Western philosophical paradigms (Mason, 1986; Laudon & Laudon, 2006). Key ethical models in the field include utilitarianism, rights-based ethics, and virtue ethics, which have guided decision-making algorithms, audit trails, and data security practices (Spinello, 2003). However, these models often lack the theological underpinning necessary for application in faith-based enterprises. In contrast, Islamic ethics—derived from the Qur'an, Sunnah, and elaborated through *'ilm al-akhlāq*—emphasize divine accountability (*ḥisāb*), justice (*'adl*), and intention (*niyyah*) as core moral parameters (Nasr, 1993, p. 48; Kamali, 1998, p. 137).

Furthermore, Islamic economic discourse has extensively dealt with *sharī'ah*-compliant financial practices, including profit-sharing, prohibition of *ribā*, and ethical investment (Chapra, 2000; Siddiqi, 1983). However, discussions on MIS have been peripheral, primarily addressing regulatory aspects rather than the internal logic and moral infrastructure of systems design. As Lewis (2001) notes, Islamic ethics is not confined to individual actions but extends to institutions and technologies. The concept of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*—the higher objectives of Islamic law—provides a normative compass for aligning MIS with goals such as the preservation of faith (*dīn*), intellect (*'aql*), and wealth (*māl*). These principles, if integrated into MIS, could provide a structured pathway for ethical data handling, risk mitigation, and strategic decision-making.

Although scholars like Al-Attas (1990, p. 62) and Rahman (1984, p. 219) have argued for the Islamization of knowledge systems, including science and technology, their arguments remain largely philosophical. There is still a paucity of applied frameworks that operationalize Islamic ethics into digital systems or organizational infrastructure. Moreover, the misalignment between MIS design methodologies and Islamic epistemology perpetuates ethical and operational dissonance in *sharī'ah*-oriented enterprises. This study contributes to this understudied area by proposing a structured ethical framework grounded in Islamic tradition but translated into practical MIS components.

Theoretical Framework

1. Islamic Ethical Theory and *'Ilm al-Akhlāq*

Islamic ethical theory is rooted in the divine sources of revelation—the Qur'an and Sunnah—and further systematized through the scholarly discipline of *'ilm al-akhlāq*. It provides a comprehensive moral philosophy that governs individual and collective behavior. Central concepts include *taqwā* (God-consciousness), *'adl* (justice), *ikhlāṣ* (sincerity), and *mas'ūliyyah* (accountability). These principles are not only personal virtues but serve as societal obligations and institutional standards (Nasr, 1993, p. 49; Kamali, 1998, p. 143). In the context of Management Information Systems (MIS), these values can inform data governance, transparency protocols, and user behavior norms. Unlike utilitarian or deontological ethics, Islamic ethical reasoning incorporates metaphysical dimensions and divine accountability, thus offering a distinct framework for system design in Muslim contexts.

2. *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* as a Systems-Oriented Ethical Compass

The theory of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*—the higher objectives of Islamic law—offers a strategic ethical lens for institutional operations. Classical scholars like Al-Ghazālī and Al-Shāṭibī proposed that *sharī'ah* aims to preserve five essentials: religion (*dīn*), life (*nafs*), intellect (*'aql*), lineage (*nasl*), and property (*māl*) (Kamali, 2008, p. 12). These objectives can be transposed onto MIS functions such as data protection (preserving *'aql* and *māl*), transparency (preserving *nasl* and *dīn*), and algorithmic fairness (ensuring *'adl*). For example, automated decision-making systems within MIS that align with *maqāṣid* will not only avoid harm (*ḍarar*) but also promote welfare (*maṣlaḥah*), thereby achieving ethical harmony between system processes and Islamic jurisprudence.

3. Socio-Technical Systems Theory and its Compatibility with Islamic Ethics

Socio-technical systems theory emphasizes the interdependence between social values and technical structures (Trist & Emery, 1960). In the MIS domain, this theory underlines the importance of designing systems that account for human behaviors, organizational culture, and ethical norms. When contextualized within an Islamic framework, the socio-technical approach facilitates the embedding of ethical controls and accountability mechanisms guided by *taqwā* and *'adl*. MIS components such as audit trails, encryption protocols, and access control systems can be ethically programmed to reflect *mas'ūliyyah* and trust (*amānah*), core Islamic values (Laudon & Laudon, 2006). This convergence of socio-technical theory and Islamic ethics provides an interdisciplinary platform for developing *sharī'ah*-compliant systems.

4. Ethical Governance and the Principle of *Amānah*

In Islamic organizational thought, the principle of *amānah* (trust) is critical to both leadership and systems design. Ethical governance under *amānah* demands transparency, confidentiality, and fairness in data handling and decision-making (Siddiqi, 1981). Within MIS, this can be operationalized through frameworks that enforce access accountability, ensure data integrity, and prevent abuse of information. The concept aligns with modern corporate governance standards yet adds a layer of divine responsibility that secular models do not account for (Lewis, 2001). Incorporating *amānah* into MIS design enhances the moral legitimacy of the system and promotes stakeholder trust, especially in religiously conscious environments.

Previous Research

1. Siddiqi (1981)

Siddiqi's foundational work, Muslim Economic Thinking, sought to establish ethical guidelines for Islamic economics by aligning economic behavior with religious duties. Using a normative methodology, the study argued that taqwā, 'adl, and amānah must guide financial and institutional decisions. Though primarily focused on economics, Siddiqi's framework laid the groundwork for extending ethical discourse to management practices. However, it lacked specific applications to MIS, thus indicating a foundational yet non-specific contribution to the field.

2. Chapra (1985)

Chapra's Towards a Just Monetary System emphasized justice and accountability as the cornerstones of Islamic financial policy. Employing a policy-analysis approach,

Chapra identified systemic injustices arising from conventional finance and advocated for Islamic ethical principles as correctives. The work is highly relevant for MIS ethics as it highlights the need for structural reform—albeit in monetary institutions—which parallels the reform needed in digital systems for ethical alignment. The absence of technological application limits its direct MIS relevance.

3. Al-Attas (1990)

In The Concept of Education in Islam, Al-Attas discussed the need to Islamize knowledge, including science and technology, by re-integrating metaphysical values into modern disciplines (p. 62). Although the study was philosophical and not empirical, it asserted the significance of ethical Islamization in preventing value-neutral knowledge from dominating Muslim societies. While not focused on MIS, the conceptual underpinning supports the theoretical thrust of this paper toward ethical integration in technology-based systems.

4. Lewis (2001)

Lewis investigated corporate ethics and Islamic business practices in Islam and Business Ethics, focusing on trust (amānah), honesty (ṣidq), and responsibility (mas'ūliyyah). Using a comparative analysis, Lewis showed that Islamic business ethics can be harmonized with modern corporate governance. His study is directly relevant to MIS, particularly in areas like data integrity and decision accountability, though he did not address digital systems or algorithmic ethics, representing a gap this study seeks to address.

5. Kamali (2008)

Kamali's Shari'ah Law: An Introduction systematized maqāṣid al-sharī'ah within legal and policy-making frameworks (p. 12). Though primarily legalistic, Kamali's work suggested that maqāṣid could guide public systems, including education and finance. This theoretical expansion supports the argument that MIS, as a policy and operational tool, should also align with maqāṣid. Kamali, however, did not explore specific MIS functionalities or their ethical dimensions.

6. Karim (2009)

Karim conducted an empirical analysis of Islamic banking software to assess its sharī'ah compliance. His findings highlighted inconsistencies between system outputs and ethical expectations, particularly in data management and transparency. This study marked a shift from theory to application, revealing practical challenges in embedding Islamic ethics into digital systems. However, Karim focused narrowly on financial software, leaving broader MIS contexts unexplored, a gap that this paper addresses by proposing a generalizable framework.

These six studies collectively affirm the richness of Islamic ethical thought and its relevance to institutional design. They provide normative and conceptual tools that can guide system development, including ethical principles like taqwā, 'adl, and amānah. However, the application of these values to MIS remains under-theorized and under-implemented. Existing literature is either conceptual without technical application (Al-Attas, 1990; Kamali, 2008), financial-focused without general MIS orientation (Chapra, 1985; Karim, 2009), or lacks a structured integration model (Lewis, 2001). This study addresses this gap by constructing a strategic, theoretically informed, and practically applicable ethical framework for integrating Islamic ethics into MIS.

Research Methods

This research employs a qualitative, conceptual methodology, focusing on textual and doctrinal sources to construct a normative framework for integrating Islamic ethics into Management Information Systems (MIS). The data type is primarily non-numerical and interpretive, consisting of religious texts, classical works of *'ilm al-akhlāq*, and scholarly literature on both Islamic ethics and MIS. The choice of conceptual data is suitable for bridging abstract moral principles with applied system functions (Straub et al., 2005). It also allows the researcher to interpret meanings embedded in Islamic ethical terminology and relate them to MIS operations, such as decision support systems and governance protocols.

The sources of data include foundational Islamic texts, authoritative works in Islamic ethical theory, and leading MIS academic literature published in reputable journals and books (e.g., Laudon & Laudon, 2006; Nasr, 1993; Kamali, 1998). Emphasis was placed on selecting sources that are widely accepted in their respective fields and that pre-date 2010 to meet the academic standard set by the research scope. The texts were chosen based on their relevance to key constructs such as *taqwā*, *'adl*, *amānah*, and *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, which were then correlated with MIS components such as data management, audit systems, and decision algorithms.

Data collection was conducted using document analysis techniques. This included close reading, thematic coding, and interpretive synthesis of scholarly literature. Classic

Islamic texts were interpreted using a hermeneutic approach to identify recurring ethical themes that could be translatable into system design principles. Academic articles in MIS were coded for themes such as data integrity, information governance, and system accountability. This dual-analysis enabled an integrated understanding of how ethical concepts intersect with system functionalities (Corbin & Strauss, 2008).

The data were analyzed using a framework synthesis method. This involved aligning themes from Islamic ethics with MIS components to construct a matrix of ethical-systemic intersections. For example, the principle of *amānah* was mapped to information security protocols, while *taqwā* was linked to audit trail transparency and decision logging. Each alignment was theoretically validated using established literature in both fields (Laudon & Laudon, 2006; Lewis, 2001; Kamali, 1998). The synthesis allowed for the construction of a strategic model that organizations can adopt to guide ethical MIS practices in *sharī'ah*-compliant environments.

Conclusions were drawn by evaluating the internal coherence of the proposed framework and its alignment with both Islamic ethical standards and MIS theory. The final model was reviewed against existing challenges identified in the literature, such as ethical ambiguity in automated systems and lack of accountability in data governance (Spinello, 2003; Karim, 2009). The framework was refined to address these challenges through actionable recommendations rooted in Islamic principles. This methodologically rigorous approach ensures that the conclusions are both conceptually sound and practically relevant for Islamic enterprises seeking ethical alignment in their information systems.

Results and Discussion

The findings of this research demonstrate that Islamic ethics can be effectively integrated into Management Information Systems (MIS) by aligning system components with foundational Islamic moral principles. Specifically, the principles of *taqwā* (God-consciousness), *'adl* (justice), and *amānah* (trust) were mapped onto MIS functions such as data governance, decision-making algorithms, and access control. These alignments were theoretically validated using both Islamic jurisprudential sources and MIS literature, reinforcing the internal coherence of the proposed framework. The results suggest that ethical design is not merely an ancillary concern but a structural imperative for *sharī'ah*-compliant enterprises. In contrast to conventional ethical models, Islamic ethics introduces metaphysical accountability through divine oversight, enhancing the moral legitimacy of information systems (Nasr, 1993; Lewis, 2001).

The integration of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* into system design further strengthens the framework by offering a holistic ethical compass. Each MIS component—data storage, reporting, analytics, and user interface—can be aligned with one or more *maqāṣid* objectives, such as the protection of intellect (*'aql*) and wealth (*māl*). This approach bridges the normative gap identified in previous research and contributes to the discourse by offering a strategic blueprint for Islamic ethical application within MIS. Additionally, the framework allows for adaptability across organizational types and technological scales, from small-scale enterprises to state-wide digital governance systems (Kamali, 2008). These contributions not only fill existing gaps in literature but also serve as a response to the increasing demand for culturally resonant ethical systems in Muslim societies.

Expert perspectives not previously covered in earlier literature, such as interdisciplinary insights from Islamic epistemology and information ethics, also bolster the model's robustness. For instance, the convergence of socio-technical systems theory with *'ilm al-akhlāq* introduces a dual-layered approach—technical feasibility combined with ethical rigor. This intersection encourages a shift from compliance-based ethics to value-driven systems architecture. The proposed model is thus both theoretically novel and operationally viable, setting a new precedent for ethical MIS design in Islamic contexts. The results advocate for a paradigmatic shift wherein technology is viewed not just as a tool but as a morally accountable actor within Islamic organizational ethics.

Research Question 1: What are the foundational ethical principles in Islam that can inform MIS design?

Theme 1: *Taqwā* and Divine Accountability in System Ethics

The principle of *taqwā* (God-consciousness) in Islamic ethics introduces a unique perspective on accountability that surpasses conventional frameworks of legal or procedural oversight. In the context of MIS, *taqwā* can be translated into design principles that cultivate internal awareness of ethical conduct among users and developers. This divine-centric accountability encourages individuals to act ethically even when unsupervised by human actors. As Nasr (1993, p. 49) articulates, *taqwā* cultivates a spiritual vigilance that aligns behavior with divine expectations. In a systemized setting, this can manifest as ethically reflective user interfaces that prompt ethical considerations prior to executing critical operations.

MIS infrastructure, when informed by *taqwā*, incorporates not only external monitoring tools but also mechanisms that engage users' moral consciousness. For instance, system prompts reminding users of ethical guidelines before accessing sensitive data

could serve as a digital form of *dhikr* (remembrance). In practice, such interfaces can reduce unethical behaviors such as data tampering or unauthorized access, not through fear of legal repercussions, but from an internalized sense of spiritual responsibility. This form of accountability is deeply rooted in Islamic tradition, wherein every action is recorded and weighed against moral values (Lewis, 2001).

From a socio-technical perspective, embedding *taqwā* into MIS aligns with the principle that systems should support both technical function and human values. Trist and Emery's (1960) socio-technical theory posits that organizational systems must reflect social norms and ethics to remain effective and legitimate. In Islamic contexts, *taqwā* becomes the epistemological anchor for this ethical dimension. Unlike secular ethical models that depend on codes of conduct or regulatory frameworks, *taqwā*-based systems appeal to the user's sense of divine duty, resulting in a more holistic integration of ethics into system operation.

Furthermore, *taqwā* enhances data stewardship practices by encouraging personal responsibility in handling information. It transforms data from being merely transactional to being sacred trusts (*amānāt*) that must be protected in alignment with divine will. The result is an MIS environment where ethical compliance is not an external requirement but a spiritual obligation. Embedding *taqwā* in MIS thus contributes to the moral architecture of the organization, ensuring that technology serves not only functional goals but also ethical and spiritual objectives.

Theme 2: *'Adl* and Structural Fairness

Justice, or *'adl*, plays a critical role in shaping MIS that are equitable, unbiased, and transparent. In Islamic jurisprudence, *'adl* is not limited to distributive fairness but extends to procedural and systemic equity (Kamali, 1998, p. 137). Within MIS, this principle mandates that systems should not only treat users equally but must be designed to prevent unjust outcomes—whether through algorithmic bias, unequal access, or lack of transparency in automated decision-making. For instance, algorithms used in recruitment or financial approval processes must be scrutinized for embedded bias and evaluated for fairness through regular audits.

Embedding *'adl* into system architecture requires proactive design strategies. MIS developers must integrate fairness modules into algorithms that flag potentially discriminatory outputs and offer corrective actions. This reflects the Qur'anic principle that justice must be upheld even against oneself or kin (Qur'an 4:135, interpreted within legal ethics). Unlike secular fairness metrics which often rely on legal compliance, *'adl* implies a higher moral threshold—ensuring equity not just in form, but in essence. This necessitates ethical review boards or *sharī'ah* advisory teams to evaluate not only technical efficiency but also moral adequacy.

Moreover, MIS interfaces should reflect *'adl* through accessible design and user parity. Access control systems that differentiate access based on roles must be transparent and ethically justified. Hidden hierarchies or covert surveillance mechanisms violate the spirit of *'adl* and may foster distrust within organizations. Justice-driven MIS would ensure all users are fully informed of their data rights and responsibilities, further operationalizing procedural fairness as advocated by Lewis (2001). The result is a system in which transparency, clarity, and non-discrimination are intrinsic design values.

Lastly, the principle of *'adl* extends to the treatment of external stakeholders affected by MIS operations. Customer data management, third-party partnerships, and algorithmic profiling must all be conducted within frameworks that uphold fairness, consent, and transparency. This broader application ensures that organizations do not exploit systemic opacity for strategic gain. Rather, they embody Islamic ethical expectations, reinforcing organizational integrity and public trust.

Theme 3: *Amānah* as Institutional Trust

The principle of *amānah* (trust) in Islam is not only an interpersonal virtue but also a foundational institutional ethic. In MIS design, *amānah* implies that information is a trust entrusted to the system and its operators, requiring safeguarding, honest usage, and appropriate sharing (Siddiqi, 1981). This expands the ethical lens from technical security to moral stewardship. It mandates that those involved in system development and operation view data not as a commodity, but as a sacred responsibility requiring integrity and confidentiality.

Practically, *amānah* translates into implementing encryption protocols, user authentication, and data access logs that ensure only authorized and trustworthy personnel interact with sensitive information. These technical safeguards mirror Islamic obligations to protect what one is entrusted with. Violating these principles—whether through negligence or intentional breach—constitutes a moral failing in addition to a technical one (Lewis, 2001). Ethical MIS frameworks must therefore embed *amānah* at every layer of the system, from database design to end-user permissions.

At the governance level, *amānah* informs policy formation and compliance mechanisms. Organizations must establish codes of digital ethics that frame data handling not just in legal terms, but through Islamic moral discourse. This could involve appointing *sharī'ah* advisors to oversee ethical compliance, or training programs that educate employees on the moral dimensions of their digital responsibilities. By doing so, *amānah* becomes a living principle, guiding daily operations and strategic decisions alike.

Furthermore, *amānah* enhances organizational reputation and stakeholder trust. In an era where data breaches and misuse of information are common, institutions that adopt trust-based ethics gain moral capital. In Islamic contexts, this trust is not merely transactional but spiritually consequential. A system built on *amānah* not only avoids breaches but fosters a culture of ethical mindfulness. This leads to systems that are not only compliant and secure but also aligned with divine accountability, fulfilling both technical and religious imperatives.

Theme 4: Ethical Intention and *Niyyah*

The role of *niyyah* (intention) in Islamic ethics provides a powerful lens through which to evaluate not only system outcomes but also the motivations that drive system design and usage. While most MIS evaluations focus on functional efficiency or compliance, an Islamic ethical approach assesses whether systems are created and operated with sincere, morally upright objectives. This focus on *niyyah* shifts MIS from being a neutral tool to a value-laden process that begins with ethically grounded purpose (Nasr, 1993, p. 52).

In practical terms, *niyyah* can be incorporated into system development lifecycles (SDLC) by requiring ethical justification at each project phase. During planning, teams should reflect on the moral objectives of the MIS project—what public good it seeks to serve and how it aligns with *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*. Documentation of *niyyah*-informed goals serves both as internal guidance and external transparency, helping stakeholders evaluate the system's moral legitimacy (Kamali, 2008, p. 12). Such documentation could be revisited during reviews and audits, ensuring continuous ethical alignment.

Beyond design, *niyyah* also influences system usage. Ethical MIS encourages users to reflect on their purpose when accessing or manipulating information. For example, a system may prompt users to state the reason for data retrieval in sensitive cases, cultivating ethical mindfulness. This acts as a deterrent to unethical conduct and reinforces internal spiritual checks. Moreover, users are reminded that their actions are recorded not only by system logs but also, in a religious sense, by divine oversight—a concept that deeply resonates within Islamic epistemology (Siddiqi, 1983).

Integrating *niyyah* also promotes organizational coherence, where all technological actions are harmonized with institutional values. MIS becomes a medium of moral culture rather than a mere tool of control. This alignment cultivates ethical resilience, allowing organizations to navigate technological innovation without compromising their spiritual integrity. It enables faith-based organizations to leverage MIS without ethical dissonance, affirming that technology, when grounded in intention, can indeed be a vessel of virtue.

Theme 5: Interdisciplinary Synthesis for Ethical System Design

The integration of Islamic ethical principles into MIS design requires an interdisciplinary approach that bridges theological doctrine and systems engineering. Traditional MIS development often relies on technical and managerial considerations, with ethics appended as an afterthought, typically in the form of external codes of conduct or user agreements (Spinello, 2003). In contrast, Islamic ethics treats moral accountability as foundational, not peripheral. Principles such as *taqwā* (God-consciousness), *'adl* (justice), *amānah* (trust), and *niyyah* (intention) are not only personal virtues but institutional obligations. This requires an epistemological shift in MIS design—one that moves beyond compartmentalizing religious ethics and technological processes, and toward an integrated, mutually reinforcing system architecture.

Socio-technical systems theory provides a suitable framework for this synthesis. Originally formulated by Trist and Emery (1960), this theory emphasizes the interdependence of human values, social structures, and technical configurations within organizational systems. Applied to Islamic ethics and MIS, socio-technical design can ensure that each technological decision reflects both operational efficiency and ethical congruence. For instance, data access protocols can be designed not only for speed and security but also to reflect *amānah*, ensuring data is accessed only by those who have both technical clearance and moral justification. Thus, socio-technical theory supports a model in which Islamic values are not constraints but active design inputs.

An interdisciplinary synthesis also enhances the adaptability and resilience of MIS in *sharī'ah*-compliant environments. By embedding Islamic values at every layer—from user interface to back-end architecture—systems can respond dynamically to evolving ethical expectations. This is especially crucial as digital systems are deployed across culturally sensitive sectors such as Islamic finance, healthcare, and governance. For example, an Islamic fintech platform might use algorithms governed by both financial logic and *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* objectives, such as protecting wealth (*māl*) and preventing exploitation (*ẓulm*). This dual alignment ensures that ethical outcomes are systematically achievable rather than incidental.

Finally, the interdisciplinary synthesis promotes ethical literacy across technical teams and religious scholars. System developers must gain basic understanding of Islamic ethical constructs, while *sharī'ah* advisors must acquire literacy in information systems logic and terminology. This mutual competence fosters deeper collaboration and more nuanced system evaluations. Institutions that encourage such cross-training are better positioned to construct MIS that do not merely comply with religious standards post-hoc, but which embody those values from conceptualization to execution. This represents a paradigm shift—one in which digital systems serve not just organizational goals, but the spiritual and moral aspirations of the Muslim community.

Research Question 2: How can these principles be aligned with MIS components such as data governance, algorithms, and reporting?

Theme 1: Aligning *Amānah* with Data Governance Structures

The concept of *amānah* (trust) lies at the heart of ethical responsibility in Islam, and its integration into MIS data governance ensures that data is handled with spiritual and moral seriousness. Data governance typically refers to the overall management of data availability, usability, integrity, and security. Embedding *amānah* into these structures transforms data from a technical asset to a trust held in moral stewardship. This ethical framing mandates that data be handled with care, confidentiality, and fidelity—not just to organizational policy, but to divine expectations (Siddiqi, 1983).

In practical terms, implementing *amānah* in MIS data governance can involve encryption, strict access controls, tamper-proof audit logs, and policies that ensure ethical consent in data collection and sharing. For instance, systems may require digital acknowledgment of data responsibilities before granting access, reflecting the Islamic legal concept of bearing witness (*shahādah*) to a trust. This formal recognition reaffirms both legal and moral obligations, positioning users as stewards rather than consumers of data (Lewis, 2001). Such mechanisms create a culture of ethical mindfulness rooted in Islamic accountability.

Further, *amānah* implies not only safeguarding data but ensuring its correct use. Inappropriate data exploitation, even if legally permitted, may violate the spirit of trust in Islamic ethics. For example, user profiling or data monetization without informed consent breaches *amānah*, even if such practices are normalized in digital business models. Islamic ethics demands that data usage contribute to the public good (*maṣlaḥah*) and not lead to harm (*ḍarar*), thereby broadening the definition of responsible data governance (Kamali, 1998, p. 143).

Institutionalizing *amānah* within data governance also reinforces trust among stakeholders, especially in Muslim-majority contexts where ethical congruence with Islamic values is vital. Trust-based systems build legitimacy not only through compliance but through moral alignment. Organizations that practice data *amānah* may include advisory boards with Islamic scholars to oversee sensitive data practices, enhancing the ethical rigor of system governance and embedding divine accountability into the heart of digital infrastructure.

Theme 2: Algorithmic Justice and *'Adl*

The rapid adoption of algorithmic systems in MIS brings with it the ethical challenge of ensuring fairness and non-discrimination. The Islamic principle of *'adl* (justice) mandates that all actions, including automated decisions, be fair, equitable, and transparent. Algorithmic justice, when viewed through the lens of *'adl*, demands that MIS algorithms avoid bias, do not disadvantage vulnerable groups, and provide clear rationale for their decisions (Kamali, 1998, p. 137). These obligations echo contemporary concerns in computer ethics, but the Islamic perspective provides a theologically grounded imperative for fairness.

MIS components such as automated performance evaluations, credit scoring, or hiring systems often rely on opaque algorithms. These systems can inadvertently reinforce social inequities or encode biases that are difficult to detect without ethical review. From an Islamic standpoint, such outcomes would violate the requirement to uphold *'adl*, even if unintended. Implementing algorithmic fairness protocols—such as regular bias audits, transparent logic paths, and appeal mechanisms—is essential for *sharī'ah*-compliant MIS. These measures reflect the Qur'anic injunction to "stand out firmly for justice," even in systems of governance and decision-making.

Moreover, fairness in Islamic ethics extends beyond equal treatment to encompass equitable consideration of context. This implies that algorithms should be flexible enough to consider the nuances of human conditions rather than applying one-size-fits-all metrics. For example, a decision-support system in finance could include parameters for evaluating financial hardship compassionately (*raḥmah*), in line with Islamic ethical mandates (Chapra, 1985). Such sensitivity humanizes technology and aligns digital systems with moral intent, reinforcing trust and ethical legitimacy.

A critical innovation in aligning *'adl* with MIS is the use of explainable AI (XAI), which offers transparency in how decisions are made. Islamic ethics supports the idea that justice must be visible and demonstrable—not only done, but seen to be done. XAI tools can thus serve as a bridge between ethical intent and technological execution, ensuring that the justice embedded in Islamic teachings finds meaningful expression in modern algorithmic systems (Spinello, 2003).

Theme 3: *Taqwā* in Reporting and Audit Mechanisms

Organizational reporting systems are essential for transparency, accountability, and strategic oversight. In Islamic ethics, these functions align closely with *taqwā*, or God-consciousness, which mandates not only truthfulness but the constant remembrance of divine accountability in one's actions. *Taqwā* transforms reporting from a technical requirement into a spiritual practice—a form of ethical *shahādah* (bearing witness) to one's stewardship over information and decisions (Nasr, 1993, p. 49).

MIS can incorporate *taqwā* by embedding values-based prompts and accountability markers into reporting workflows. For instance, users might be required to certify the accuracy and integrity of their reports with a digital signature under a *taqwā*-inspired affirmation. This practice echoes the Islamic requirement to be truthful in testimony and serves to remind users that data falsification is both a legal and spiritual offense (Lewis, 2001). In high-stakes settings—such as financial disclosures or performance dashboards—this ethical awareness may significantly reduce unethical manipulation.

Additionally, auditing tools in MIS can be configured to reflect *taqwā*-based monitoring by logging not only actions but the context of decisions. This mirrors Islamic jurisprudential methods that consider *niyyah* (intention) when evaluating behavior. MIS that track the rationale behind changes or decisions—such as notes or version histories—allow ethical reviewers to assess not just outcomes, but the values driving them. Such records uphold *ḥisāb* (accountability), a concept central to both ethical MIS and Islamic theology.

Finally, *taqwā* as an operational ethic in MIS reporting promotes a shift from reactive to proactive ethical behavior. Rather than relying solely on compliance audits after misconduct, organizations can build ethical reflexivity into their systems. Dashboards could display ethical health indicators—such as data integrity scores, error rates, or delay justifications—that reflect not just operational efficiency but moral soundness. These indicators function as organizational *taqwā*, continuously reminding users of their obligations beyond performance metrics.

Theme 4: Embedding *Niyyah* in System Design Lifecycle

Niyyah (intention) holds foundational status in Islamic ethics, often determining the moral status of an action even more than its outcome. While MIS frameworks usually assess success through efficiency, cost, and output, integrating *niyyah* shifts the evaluative focus toward ethical purpose. Embedding *niyyah* into the system development lifecycle (SDLC) requires intentional design at every phase—from planning to maintenance—with clearly articulated ethical goals rooted in Islamic values (Kamali, 2008, p. 12).

During the planning phase, stakeholders must identify how the system serves public good (*maṣlaḥah*) and avoids harm (*ḍarar*). Documenting these objectives in an ethics charter or *sharīʿah* alignment plan adds a moral dimension that guides technical decisions. Developers and managers are thereby reminded that their work is not value-neutral but contributes to a broader spiritual and social mission. This approach is especially critical in environments where information systems intersect with human welfare, such as healthcare, education, or finance.

In the design and implementation phases, *niyyah*-informed decisions might include choosing open-source tools for transparency, prioritizing user consent interfaces, or incorporating ethical AI modules. These design choices reflect intentionality that honors both user dignity and divine expectations. Ethical checkpoints—such as moral feasibility assessments or spiritual impact analysis—can be introduced as part of project milestone reviews, institutionalizing *niyyah* across the system lifecycle (Laudon & Laudon, 2006).

Furthermore, in the post-deployment phase, *niyyah* is maintained through continuous reflection and system updates that address emerging ethical concerns. Feedback loops from users and stakeholders help ensure that the system remains aligned with its original moral purpose. Just as *niyyah* must be sustained throughout an action in Islamic practice, MIS must remain ethically consistent through their operational lifespan. This creates a living system that embodies not only function, but faith.

Theme 5: *Maqāṣid al-Sharī'ah* as an Integrative Model

The concept of *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*—the higher objectives of Islamic law—offers a comprehensive and deeply structured framework for integrating Islamic ethics into Management Information Systems (MIS). These objectives include the preservation of religion (*dīn*), life (*nafs*), intellect (*'aql*), lineage (*nasl*), and property (*māl*) (Kamali, 2008, p. 12). When these principles are viewed through a systems-thinking lens, they provide a normative template for aligning every MIS component with ethical imperatives. Rather than addressing ethics as a modular add-on, *maqāṣid* encourage a design philosophy in which moral objectives are embedded into the operational core of information systems.

For example, the protection of *'aql* (intellect) can guide the ethical structuring of knowledge management systems to ensure intellectual honesty, proper attribution, and the prevention of misinformation. Similarly, the safeguarding of *māl* (property) directly corresponds to data security protocols, encryption systems, and financial MIS applications that manage assets and prevent unauthorized access or fraud. Systems designed with *maqāṣid* in mind must not only secure information but ensure that their use does not lead to injustice (*ẓulm*), misinformation, or social harm (*mafsadah*). Thus, MIS becomes a platform not only for data processing but for ethical contribution to societal well-being (*maṣlaḥah*).

This integrative approach allows Islamic organizations to align their digital operations with their religious missions. For instance, an educational institution guided by *maqāṣid* may focus on *'aql* by designing MIS platforms that encourage academic integrity, promote open access, and avoid exploitative data analytics. A healthcare organization might center on *nafs* by ensuring that patient data systems protect life,

privacy, and confidentiality. In every case, the ethical objective precedes and shapes the technical design, creating harmony between faith and functionality—something often missing in secular ethical models.

What distinguishes the *maqāṣid* model is its scalability and relevance across various types of information systems and organizational sectors. It does not offer a rigid checklist but provides a dynamic interpretive framework adaptable to emerging technologies and contexts. Whether addressing artificial intelligence, cloud computing, or mobile platforms, the underlying *maqāṣid* remain relevant by grounding innovation in ethical teleology. This ensures that as MIS continues to evolve, its spiritual and social alignment is preserved. Hence, *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* is not only a moral guidepost but a strategic architecture for sustainable and ethically accountable MIS in the modern Islamic enterprise.

Research Question 3: What strategic framework can organizations adopt to ensure sharī'ah compliance in their information systems?

Theme 1: Ethical MIS Governance Model

A foundational component of the strategic framework for *sharī'ah*-compliant MIS is the establishment of a governance model rooted in Islamic ethical principles. Governance in MIS refers to the structure and mechanisms by which information resources are directed, controlled, and held accountable. In Islamic ethics, such governance must reflect the principles of *amānah* (trust), *'adl* (justice), and *taqwā* (God-consciousness), ensuring that all actions related to information management are spiritually and morally aligned (Lewis, 2001). Ethical governance transcends formal compliance; it embeds virtue into policy, practice, and oversight.

Organizations can implement this by forming ethical oversight boards or integrating *sharī'ah* scholars into existing IT governance committees. These bodies can guide policy-making, conduct audits, and resolve ethical dilemmas using both MIS best practices and Islamic jurisprudential reasoning. By institutionalizing these advisory structures, ethical compliance is no longer reactive but proactively embedded into organizational design. This model fosters what Kamali (1998, p. 140) describes as "moral custodianship" over institutional functions, ensuring that system decisions are not only efficient but ethically justified.

Moreover, ethical governance models in Islamic settings must extend accountability beyond human oversight to divine responsibility. Regular compliance checks, for instance, can be conducted not only by internal auditors but also by third-party ethical inspectors versed in *sharī'ah* and MIS. These reviews help ensure that technologies do

not evolve in ways that compromise core Islamic values, especially as systems become increasingly automated and complex (Spinello, 2003). This type of governance reflects the Islamic ideal of *muḥāsabah*—self-accountability—and promotes ethical reflexivity in digital decision-making.

By embedding Islamic ethical principles into governance structures, MIS transitions from a neutral technological framework to a morally accountable system. This strategic shift transforms governance from a control mechanism into a values-based infrastructure that reinforces trust, legitimacy, and purpose—key requirements for institutions operating in *sharī'ah*-sensitive contexts.

Theme 2: Layered Integration of Islamic Ethics

A successful strategic framework requires a multi-layered approach that integrates Islamic ethics at technical, managerial, and cultural levels. On the technical layer, system features such as encryption, ethical access control, and transparency indicators are configured in line with *amānah* and *'adl*. This involves designing features that prevent unethical behaviors such as unauthorized access, data manipulation, or algorithmic bias. These technical measures reflect the spirit of *ḥisāb* (accountability) and protect user rights as sacred trusts (Siddiqi, 1983).

At the managerial layer, leadership must actively champion ethical values in the day-to-day operation of MIS. Managers can incorporate Islamic ethics into key performance indicators (KPIs), training protocols, and strategic planning sessions. For example, when evaluating vendor contracts for software tools, the selection criteria can include alignment with Islamic ethical standards such as transparency, justice, and benefit to society (*maṣlaḥah*). This layer also involves organizational policies that explicitly articulate *sharī'ah*-compliant expectations regarding technology usage, monitoring, and data handling (Laudon & Laudon, 2006).

The cultural layer, often neglected, is vital for long-term sustainability of ethical MIS. Organizational culture that values *taqwā*, *niyyah*, and *amānah* creates an environment where ethical technology use becomes the norm, not the exception. For example, rituals such as starting meetings with reflections on ethical values or including a "moral status" section in monthly performance dashboards reinforce a shared ethical vision. These soft cultural cues ensure that the principles discussed at the policy level are internalized by system users and developers (Nasr, 1993, p. 52).

Layered integration ensures that ethics is not siloed at the policy level or buried within code, but rather infused across the organization. This holistic model counters the common pitfall of treating ethics as an afterthought or external obligation. Instead, it aligns daily practices with spiritual commitments, thereby making MIS not just legally compliant but morally transformative.

Theme 3: Lifecycle Integration Using *Sharī'ah*-Based SDLC

A vital dimension of the strategic framework is lifecycle integration. The conventional System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) provides a phased approach to designing, implementing, and maintaining information systems. When restructured through an Islamic ethical lens, each SDLC phase becomes an opportunity for *sharī'ah* alignment. Beginning with the planning phase, stakeholders should define the ethical and social goals of the system—especially how it contributes to *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah*, such as protecting wealth (*māl*), intellect (*'aql*), and religion (*dīn*) (Kamali, 2008, p. 12).

During the design phase, Islamic ethics can guide technical decisions regarding user interface, data structures, and logic flows. Developers may incorporate opt-in consent systems, bias filters, and logic traceability mechanisms that reflect justice (*'adl*) and informed consent, essential in Islamic contracts (*'uqūd*). These design choices foster transparency and trust, particularly in systems that affect user autonomy, such as e-learning, e-health, or financial services (Lewis, 2001).

Implementation and testing phases should include not only functional testing but also ethical validation. This might involve stakeholder feedback on perceived fairness, user accessibility, and spiritual appropriateness. In Islamic ethics, it is insufficient for systems to merely function—they must function ethically. Including ethical sign-offs or *sharī'ah* audits before deployment helps institutionalize this priority. For example, a banking MIS might undergo a *fatwā*-based review to ensure all financial logic complies with Islamic contracts.

Finally, in the maintenance phase, periodic reviews must revisit ethical performance. This includes tracking new risks, user complaints, or ethical drift due to software updates. Regular recalibration of ethical objectives ensures continuity of *niyyah* (intention) and guards against value erosion. A lifecycle-based ethical framework therefore ensures that *sharī'ah* compliance is not a one-time event but a continuous process aligned with the evolving nature of digital systems and moral accountability.

Theme 4: Ethical Performance Metrics and Institutional Capacity

Quantifying ethical performance is essential for ensuring continuous alignment with Islamic values. Developing Islamic ethics-based metrics—such as data integrity scores, equity indicators, or user trust indices—can provide measurable insight into how well an MIS meets its moral objectives. These metrics, aligned with values like *'adl*, *amānah*, and *taqwā*, shift focus from mere efficiency to meaningful impact. For example, a decrease in access violations or improvement in audit transparency may reflect growth in organizational *amānah* (Lewis, 2001).

MIS dashboards can be configured to display ethical KPIs alongside traditional technical indicators. For example, a dashboard for a healthcare MIS may show "ethical compliance" rates based on transparency in diagnosis recommendations or user access logs reviewed for fairness. These tools not only support accountability but reinforce a culture of ethical mindfulness among system users and managers (Laudon & Laudon, 2006). Furthermore, visual indicators—like ethical trend lines or risk alerts—create a real-time feedback loop for ethical governance.

However, robust ethical implementation also depends on institutional capacity. Organizations must invest in building cross-functional teams skilled in both MIS and Islamic jurisprudence. This includes hiring or training *sharī'ah* compliance officers, establishing ethical review boards, and designing internal training on digital ethics. Without such infrastructure, ethical frameworks risk being symbolic or under-enforced. Kamali (1998, p. 140) emphasizes that knowledge without institutional support yields minimal impact—a warning that holds particularly true in digital ethics.

Long-term ethical resilience requires sustained investment, stakeholder engagement, and openness to interdisciplinary learning. As technology evolves, ethical complexity will increase. A proactive approach that continuously trains personnel, refines ethical metrics, and consults Islamic scholars ensures that organizations remain both technologically agile and spiritually anchored. In doing so, MIS becomes a living embodiment of Islamic values—flexible in form, yet firm in moral foundations.

Theme 5: Institutional Capacity and Training

The implementation and sustainability of any *sharī'ah*-compliant MIS framework fundamentally depend on the institution's internal capacity. This includes the availability of ethical expertise, technical proficiency, and visionary leadership committed to integrating Islamic values with digital systems. As Kamali (1998, p. 140) asserts, Islamic governance requires more than codified rules—it demands institutions equipped with the knowledge and structures to uphold ethical integrity in practice. Without this foundational capacity, even the most well-conceived frameworks risk becoming symbolic rather than operational.

Developing this capacity necessitates cross-disciplinary training initiatives that combine modern information systems education with Islamic ethical foundations such as *fiqh al-mu'āmalāt* (Islamic commercial jurisprudence) and *'ilm al-akhlāq* (moral philosophy). MIS developers, data analysts, and IT managers must understand not only the technical aspects of system architecture but also the religious and ethical implications of their design choices. For instance, a developer designing a customer profiling algorithm must be able to assess whether the logic upholds the Islamic principle of *'adl* (justice) and avoids elements of *gharar* (excessive uncertainty). This

level of awareness cannot be achieved without structured educational and professional development programs tailored to this dual competency.

In addition to training, institutions must embed ethical oversight into their organizational hierarchy by appointing *sharī'ah* compliance officers or ethics advisors within IT and governance teams. These individuals serve as internal watchdogs and consultants, ensuring that technological decisions do not conflict with religious standards. Their role is not only corrective but also proactive—they participate in the system development lifecycle, from concept planning to deployment and maintenance, to ensure that *niyyah* (intention), *taqwā* (God-consciousness), and *amānah* (trust) are preserved throughout (Lewis, 2001). By institutionalizing such roles, organizations move from ad hoc consultation to sustained ethical alignment.

Moreover, cultivating a culture of continuous ethical learning is critical. Workshops, ethical scenario simulations, and internal *sharī'ah* audit drills reinforce the importance of moral reasoning in daily operations. This also ensures that as systems evolve, institutions can adapt while preserving their Islamic identity. Ethical resilience becomes part of institutional memory rather than the responsibility of individual champions. Over time, this investment in training and capacity-building not only enhances system integrity but also strengthens the institution's reputation as a trustworthy, values-driven enterprise—an increasingly important asset in global markets sensitive to faith-based and socially responsible governance.

Core Findings and Pathways Forward

This study has established that Islamic ethical principles—such as *taqwā*, *'adl*, *amānah*, and *niyyah*—can be systematically integrated into Management Information Systems (MIS) through a strategic framework grounded in both classical Islamic scholarship and modern MIS theory. Each research question was addressed by mapping Islamic ethical values onto key MIS components, including data governance, algorithm design, and reporting protocols. The proposed model applies the *maqāṣid al-sharī'ah* as a comprehensive ethical compass, ensuring that system operations promote welfare and avoid harm in line with divine objectives. This approach not only addresses gaps in previous research but also sets a precedent for interdisciplinary dialogue between Islamic ethics and digital technology.

The theoretical contribution of this work lies in its synthesis of *'ilm al-akhlāq* with socio-technical systems theory, offering a multidimensional perspective on ethical system design. Practically, the study provides a structured implementation model that includes *sharī'ah*-compliant system development lifecycles, ethical governance structures, and performance dashboards. These insights are particularly valuable for

sharī'ah-oriented enterprises and regulatory bodies seeking to balance technological advancement with spiritual responsibility. By embedding Islamic ethical values into system infrastructure, organizations not only achieve compliance but also cultivate trust, accountability, and moral resilience in the digital age.

Conclusion

This article has explored the integration of Islamic ethics into Management Information Systems (MIS) through a strategic and conceptual framework. By drawing on foundational values such as *taqwā*, *'adl*, *amānah*, and *niyyah*, and aligning them with MIS components like data governance, algorithmic decision-making, and reporting, the study offers both theoretical and practical contributions. It bridges the epistemological divide between Islamic moral philosophy and digital systems design, responding to a clear gap in the existing literature.

The proposed framework enables organizations to construct ethically resilient and *sharī'ah*-compliant information systems. Practical recommendations include the implementation of ethics-oriented governance models, lifecycle development protocols, and internal performance metrics rooted in Islamic values. Future research should expand this model empirically, testing its adaptability across sectors and technological environments. By embedding divine accountability into MIS infrastructure, organizations can ensure that technological advancement remains aligned with spiritual and moral obligations.

References

Abdus Sattar, M. (1990). *Islamic governance: Theory, policy and contemporary issues*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Ali, A. J. (2005). *Islamic perspectives on management and organization*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Ansoff, H. I. (1987). *Corporate strategy* (Rev. ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Beekun, R. I. (2006). *Strategic planning and implementation for Islamic organizations*. Herndon: International Institute of Islamic Thought.

Beekun, R. I., & Badawi, J. A. (1999). *Leadership: An Islamic perspective*. Beltsville: Amana Publications.

Bryson, J. M. (1995). *Strategic planning for public and nonprofit organizations* (Rev. ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Chapra, M. U. (1992). *Islam and the economic challenge*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Dusuki, A. W., & Abdullah, N. I. (2007). Maqasid al-Shariah, maslahah, and corporate social responsibility. *The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences*, 24(1), 25–45.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston: Pitman.

Hassan, M. K., & Lewis, M. K. (2007). *Handbook of Islamic banking*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Jabareen, Y. (2009). Building a conceptual framework: Philosophy, definitions, and procedure. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(4), 49–62.

Kamali, M. H. (2008). *Maqasid al-Shariah made simple*. London: International Institute of Islamic Thought.

Mintzberg, H. (1994). *The rise and fall of strategic planning*. New York: Free Press.

Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B. W., & Lampel, J. (1998). *Strategy safari: A guided tour through the wilds of strategic management*. New York: Free Press.

Naqvi, S. N. H. (1981). *Ethics and economics: An Islamic synthesis*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Porter, M. E. (1980). *Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors*. New York: Free Press.

Siddiqi, M. N. (2004). *Rethinking Islamic economics*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Wheelen, T. L., & Hunger, J. D. (2008). *Strategic management and business policy* (11th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Wilson, J. A., & Liu, J. (2010). Shaping the Halal into a brand? Journal of Islamic Marketing, 1(2), 107–123.