OIKOS : Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, dan Bisnis
P-ISSN : 1979-4770

# The Dynamics of Market Mechanisms: A Comparative Analysis of Islamic and Conventional Economic Thought

**Asro**
Faculty of Sharia and Law, UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia
asro@uinsgd.ac.id

**Abstract**

Market mechanisms play a critical role in shaping modern economic systems. In conventional economics, these mechanisms are rooted in supply-demand dynamics regulated by price, while in Islamic economics, they are governed not only by market forces but also by *al-ʿadl* (justice) and *al-iḥtisāb* (market supervision) grounded in Sharia principles. The divergence in philosophical foundations has significant implications for economic practice and policy. This study aims to analyze the comparative framework of market mechanisms in Islamic and conventional economics, identifying areas of convergence and divergence. The research investigates how ethical dimensions, institutional arrangements, and regulatory instruments function differently in both systems while responding to similar economic needs. Using a qualitative approach based on textual and normative sources, including classical Islamic economic texts, economic theories, and comparative policy reviews, the study finds that Islamic market mechanisms emphasize moral filters and social welfare, while conventional perspectives prioritize allocative efficiency. The findings also reveal nuanced differences in how each system perceives interest (*ribā*), speculation (*gharar*), and monopolistic behavior. The study contributes to expanding the theoretical and practical discourse on pluralistic economic models. By integrating ethical considerations within the analytical structure of market theory, this research underscores the relevance of Islamic economics in global economic thought and its potential to enrich economic policy formulation, especially in Muslim-majority contexts.

**Keywords**
market mechanism; Islamic economics; conventional economics sharia market; principles; comparative economic systems

## INTRODUCTION

Market mechanisms, as the backbone of economic systems, function to allocate resources, determine prices, and influence production and consumption behavior. In conventional economics, these mechanisms are driven primarily by the forces of supply and demand, assuming rational agents operating within competitive markets (Mankiw, 2009, p. 31). The underlying assumptions are that market participants are self-interested and the invisible hand leads to efficient outcomes under conditions of perfect competition (Samuelson & Nordhaus, 2005, p. 47). However, critics have long argued that conventional market theory often ignores ethical considerations, resulting in market failures, inequality, and externalities.

In contrast, Islamic economics, rooted in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* (objectives of Islamic law), approaches market mechanisms from a moral and spiritual foundation. While acknowledging the role of supply and demand, Islamic markets are embedded within a value system that prioritizes justice (*ʿadl*), social welfare (*maṣlaḥah*), and accountability (*amānah*) (Chapra, 2000, p. 112). Concepts such as *ribā* (usury), *gharar* (excessive uncertainty), and *iḥtisāb* (market regulation) differentiate Islamic markets from their conventional counterparts. In this context, economic transactions are not solely contractual but also moral obligations (Nasution, 2001, p. 54).

The contrasting principles reflect a deeper divergence in epistemology and methodology. While conventional economics is largely positivist and utilitarian, Islamic economics is normative and holistic, seeking not only efficiency but also fairness and spiritual well-being (Asutay, 2007, p. 39). This duality raises critical questions about the applicability, relevance, and compatibility of Islamic market mechanisms within contemporary global economies dominated by neoliberal paradigms.

Existing literature has examined aspects of Islamic markets, such as zakat, profit-loss sharing, and ethical finance. However, there is a lack of comprehensive comparative analysis that dissects the philosophical underpinnings, structural elements, and regulatory practices of market mechanisms in both Islamic and conventional systems. Moreover, debates continue around how Islamic economics can maintain its distinctiveness while operating within global markets influenced by interest-based finance and unregulated speculation (Kuran, 2004, p. 76).

This study therefore poses the following research questions: What are the fundamental philosophical and operational differences between Islamic and conventional market mechanisms? How does Islamic economic thought conceptualize the role of the market and pricing relative to conventional economics? In what ways do Islamic regulatory mechanisms such as *ḥisbah* and market ethics function compared to conventional legal-institutional arrangements?

These questions guide a comprehensive exploration of how each system theorizes and practices market operations, helping to bridge conceptual gaps and offer constructive synthesis. This research thus aims to contribute not only to comparative economic scholarship but also to practical applications in policymaking and economic reform.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The discourse on market mechanisms in conventional economics is rooted in classical economic theory, especially the works of Adam Smith, who introduced the concept of the "invisible hand" as the self-regulating nature of the market (Smith, 1776, p. 119). Neoclassical economists further refined this model through the marginalist revolution, emphasizing utility maximization, profit optimization, and market equilibrium (Marshall, 1890, p. 210). In contemporary literature, scholars like Krugman (2000) and Stiglitz (2002) have highlighted the limitations of pure market mechanisms in addressing real-world complexities, including information asymmetry, externalities, and market imperfections.

On the Islamic economics side, the literature distinguishes between the structural market functions and the embedded ethical values that govern them. Early contributions from scholars like Al-Ghazali and Ibn Taymiyyah provided foundational views on price determination and market justice, emphasizing the prohibition of *ribā*, *gharar*, and *iḥtikār* (hoarding) (Ibn Taymiyyah, 1325/2001, p. 233). Modern scholars such as Chapra (1985) and Siddiqi (1983) argue for a value-based economic system where markets function within the parameters of Sharia to ensure both efficiency and equity. The Islamic market mechanism is thus not merely an economic tool but a reflection of moral commitment and social responsibility.

Comparative studies have emerged to analyze the practical and theoretical overlaps between Islamic and conventional systems. For example, Khan (1994) observes that while both systems utilize the market as an allocation tool, the Islamic model incorporates regulatory ethics through the institution of *ḥisbah* and the moral obligation to avoid exploitative practices. Mirakhor and Askari (2010) argue that Islamic economics provides a more comprehensive framework for equitable wealth distribution and social welfare through instruments like *zakāt*, *waqf*, and profit-loss sharing contracts.

However, critiques of Islamic economic literature often point to a lack of empirical validation and over-reliance on normative assertions. Kuran (2004) argues that Islamic economics has not evolved into a distinct or operational economic system, functioning instead as a moral critique of capitalism. On the other hand, Asutay (2007) defends the Islamic framework as an ethical alternative, capable of addressing market failures and social inequalities that conventional economics often neglects.

Thus, the literature reflects a tension between idealism and realism in the Islamic economic discourse and a growing recognition of ethical shortcomings in conventional models. This study builds upon these academic discussions to provide a structured comparison of market mechanisms in both systems, aiming to highlight areas of integration and innovation while maintaining epistemological integrity.

## Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study draws upon classical and modern economic theories that inform the functioning of market mechanisms in both Islamic and conventional paradigms. In conventional economics, the market is typically viewed through the lens of neoclassical theory, which assumes rational agents, perfect information, and competitive equilibrium (Samuelson & Nordhaus, 2005, p. 117). According to this theory, the price mechanism serves as the central coordination tool that balances supply and demand, thereby ensuring efficient resource allocation (Mankiw, 2009, p. 76). The consumer and producer surplus resulting from this equilibrium is considered indicative of optimal welfare outcomes.

Key theoretical models such as the Walrasian general equilibrium theory and Marshallian partial equilibrium analysis have historically shaped mainstream understanding of market behavior. While these models offer mathematical precision and predictive power, they often abstract away from institutional and ethical variables (Arrow & Debreu, 1954, p. 90). Moreover, critics from behavioral economics and institutional economics argue that real-world markets deviate significantly from these theoretical ideals due to bounded rationality, transaction costs, and regulatory failures (Coase, 1960, p. 8).

In contrast, Islamic economic theory integrates moral and spiritual dimensions within its analytical framework. Markets are not viewed as self-sufficient entities but as social institutions governed by divine injunctions and ethical accountability. The theory of Islamic market mechanisms is built upon concepts like *sharīʿah compliance*, *ʿadl* (justice), and *iḥtisāb* (market supervision), which function to prevent exploitative practices and promote fairness (Chapra, 2000, p. 91). Market freedom is recognized, but it is bounded by moral laws and community welfare considerations (Nasution, 2001, p. 67).

The Islamic framework is also informed by the concept of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, which prioritizes the protection of religion, life, intellect, lineage, and wealth. These objectives shape the rules governing market behavior, including the prohibition of *ribā*, *gharar*, and monopolies (*iḥtikār*) (Ibn Qayyim, 1347/2002, p. 152). Therefore, market interventions by state or collective authority are considered legitimate and necessary to preserve societal harmony and economic justice.

Furthermore, Islamic economics employs the theory of moral economy, where individual actions are interconnected with collective outcomes and divine accountability. Unlike the utilitarian foundations of conventional economics, Islamic theory does not isolate individual utility from communal welfare (Siddiqi, 1983, p. 49). This provides a normative basis for policies and institutions aimed at wealth redistribution, ethical trade, and socio-economic empowerment.

This theoretical synthesis reveals both overlap and divergence between the two paradigms. While both systems value market efficiency, Islamic economics incorporates ethical constraints and redistributive justice as inherent elements of its market mechanism. This dual focus challenges the reductionist tendencies of neoclassical theory and invites a more inclusive understanding of economic behavior.

**Previous Research**

Siddiqi (1983) explored the foundations of Islamic market systems, emphasizing that ethical norms derived from Sharia are crucial to regulating economic behavior. He highlighted the mechanisms of *iḥtisāb* and *ḥisbah* as essential institutions that provide market supervision and ensure fairness in commercial transactions. His work underscores the theoretical underpinnings but offers limited empirical engagement.

Chapra (1985) advanced the discussion by connecting Islamic market principles with development economics. He argued that the Islamic market mechanism ensures justice and economic welfare through instruments such as *zakāt*, interest prohibition, and trust-based transactions. Chapra's contribution marked a shift from purely theological discourse to policy-oriented analysis.

Khan (1994) offered a comparative study of Islamic and capitalist market structures, noting that while both rely on voluntary exchange, Islamic markets embed moral values into every transaction. He stressed the importance of integrating ethical norms into economic modeling to reflect real-life behaviors, thereby challenging the narrow rationality of neoclassical economics.

Kuran (2004) provided a critical assessment, asserting that Islamic economics lacks operational clarity and has largely remained symbolic. He questioned the distinctiveness and effectiveness of Islamic market mechanisms, arguing that they often mirror conventional practices but under Islamic terminology. His critique sparked widespread debate about the practical implementation of Islamic economics.

Asutay (2007) countered Kuran by emphasizing the embedded moral economy in Islamic systems. He argued that the failure to operationalize Islamic economics stems from systemic issues rather than theoretical limitations. Asutay advocated for a maqāṣid-oriented framework that balances economic efficiency with social justice and ethical governance.

Mirakhor and Askari (2010) synthesized various Islamic economic principles and attempted to model them using modern economic theory. They introduced Islamic behavioral economics, focusing on trust, cooperation, and social welfare as foundational to market behavior. Their study bridged theoretical gaps by incorporating institutional and behavioral insights into Islamic market analysis.

Despite these contributions, there remains a notable gap in empirical comparative studies that systematically contrast Islamic and conventional market mechanisms beyond theoretical and ideological debates. Most research tends to either defend or critique Islamic economics without a balanced analytical framework. Furthermore, there is a lack of focus on how Islamic principles can be practically integrated into contemporary market systems, especially in mixed economies. This study aims to fill that gap by offering a comprehensive and structured comparison based on normative principles, institutional structures, and ethical frameworks within both paradigms.

## RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology rooted in textual and normative analysis, suitable for exploring theoretical constructs and ethical paradigms within Islamic and conventional economic frameworks. A qualitative approach enables the researcher to interpret conceptual elements such as justice, ethics, and institutional behavior, which are central to understanding market mechanisms in both paradigms (Creswell, 2007, p. 40). The focus is not on empirical generalization but on theoretical understanding and comparative interpretation.

Data sources include primary and secondary textual materials. Primary sources involve classical Islamic economic texts by scholars such as al-Ghazālī, Ibn Taymiyyah, and Ibn Qayyim, which outline foundational ideas of Islamic market regulation. Secondary sources consist of academic journal articles, international books, and Indonesian Sinta-Garuda-accredited publications discussing conventional and Islamic economics. This blend of sources enables triangulation of perspectives and ensures depth of analysis (Bogdan & Biklen, 1992, p. 28).

The type of data collected is qualitative and normative in nature. It includes textual definitions, theoretical constructs, historical interpretations, and comparative policy perspectives. Textual materials are categorized into themes such as price determination, ethical constraints, institutional regulation, and philosophical foundations of market theory (Miles & Huberman, 1994, p. 22).

Data collection methods include purposive sampling of texts based on their relevance, historical significance, and academic credibility. Texts are selected based on their adherence to recognized scholarly standards, such as being cited in peer-reviewed journals or endorsed by reputable institutions (Patton, 2002, p. 65). Indonesian and Arabic texts are included to reflect the indigenous and classical dimensions of Islamic economics, which are often absent in Western literature.

For data analysis, the study uses content analysis to extract key themes and theoretical comparisons. The analytical process includes coding, categorization, and thematic synthesis to compare how Islamic and conventional systems address similar market functions. Patterns, contrasts, and intersections are identified to form a coherent narrative around market operations. This structured interpretative approach allows the researcher to draw theoretically grounded conclusions about the uniqueness and convergence of both market mechanisms (Strauss & Corbin, 1990, p. 47).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis reveals that while both Islamic and conventional economic systems utilize markets as tools for allocating resources and determining prices, the philosophical, regulatory, and ethical frameworks underpinning them are fundamentally distinct. Conventional markets focus on efficiency, driven by rational choice theory and governed by legal institutions. In contrast, Islamic markets incorporate moral and religious values into economic behavior, emphasizing justice (*ʿadl*), trust (*amānah*), and the avoidance of harm (*ḍarar*).

Islamic market mechanisms are not merely theoretical constructs but are derived from a well-developed jurisprudential tradition. These include prohibitions on *ribā*, *gharar*, and *iḥtikār*, all of which aim to ensure ethical trade and protect the weak. Regulatory institutions such as *ḥisbah* and the role of the *muḥtasib* (market inspector) reflect a proactive supervisory framework rooted in religious obligation rather than state coercion. Meanwhile, conventional economics relies on price signals and regulatory agencies that enforce market laws to prevent monopolistic behavior and ensure competition.

The comparative findings suggest that while conventional systems provide efficient allocation under ideal conditions, they often fail in ensuring distributive justice. Islamic economics, through instruments like *zakāt*, profit-sharing, and ethical constraints, attempts to embed justice within market interactions. This section breaks down the findings in relation to the research questions proposed earlier.

### Philosophical and Operational Differences

At the philosophical level, conventional economics is grounded in utilitarianism and positivism. The market is perceived as a neutral platform where individuals maximize utility or profits (Mankiw, 2009, p. 45). Morality and ethics are generally external to the system, often introduced only when addressing failures or externalities. The operational mechanism relies on price as a signaling device, and market failures are addressed by government interventions, often reactive and limited in scope (Stiglitz, 2002, p. 34).

Islamic economics, by contrast, embeds morality into the very structure of the market. Economic activity is a form of *ʿibādah* (worship) when conducted ethically. Thus, market actions are bound by Sharia principles such as *ḥalāl*, *ḥarām*, *ʿadl*, and *maṣlaḥah* (public interest). Unlike the neutrality assumed in conventional theory, Islamic economics assigns a moral responsibility to both buyers and sellers to avoid harm and ensure mutual benefit (Chapra, 2000, p. 86).

Operationally, conventional markets depend on competition and legal contracts. Islamic markets incorporate spiritual accountability, ethical business conduct, and enforceable moral norms. Instruments like *bayʿ bi al-thaman al-ʾājil* (deferred payment sale), *muḍārabah*, and *murābaḥah* embody risk-sharing and fairness rather than interest-based profit maximization (Siddiqi, 1983, p. 57).

One critical difference is the treatment of interest. While interest is a foundational concept in conventional finance—rewarding capital lenders for risk or opportunity cost—it is strictly prohibited in Islamic economics under the principle of *lā taʾkulū al-ribā aḍʿāfan muḍāʿafah* (do not consume usury, doubled and multiplied). Instead, Islamic systems promote equity-based financing and risk-sharing mechanisms (Ibn Qayyim, 1347/2002, p. 109).

In conclusion, the philosophical divergence shapes vastly different operational frameworks. While both systems strive for market stability and growth, the Islamic model seeks these within a framework of moral economics, ensuring that efficiency does not compromise ethical responsibility or social justice.

### Market and Pricing in Islamic vs. Conventional Thought

In conventional economics, pricing is determined by marginal utility and cost, influenced by supply-demand dynamics. Price equilibrium is the point where these two forces intersect, ensuring allocative efficiency. However, this model assumes perfect information, no transaction costs, and rational agents—assumptions that rarely hold in real markets (Marshall, 1890, p. 221).

Islamic economic thought accepts supply and demand as natural determinants but views price manipulation and injustice as violations of Sharia. For example, Ibn Taymiyyah emphasized that while the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ refrained from imposing fixed prices (*tasʿīr*), he permitted intervention when monopolistic practices distorted fairness (Ibn Taymiyyah, 1325/2001, p. 188). Thus, the Islamic market allows price to emerge freely but permits regulation when exploitation is evident.

Furthermore, Islamic pricing considers the ethical source of goods, the fairness of profit, and community benefit. Sellers are expected to disclose product defects (*ghish*) and avoid speculation (*gharar*). Such ethical constraints are rarely considered in conventional models, which often validate speculation under the principle of risk-taking and liquidity provision (Krugman, 2000, p. 102).

In Islamic systems, excessive price fluctuations due to artificial scarcity or hoarding are discouraged. Market supervision by a *muḥtasib* ensures that pricing reflects real value and social equity. This role, absent in conventional markets, represents a unique institutional approach to maintaining justice without undermining market freedom (Nasution, 2001, p. 79).

Thus, while both systems recognize the role of pricing in market efficiency, Islamic economics embeds pricing within a moral ecosystem, viewing unjust profit as a breach of both market discipline and spiritual obligation.

**Regulatory Mechanisms and Market Ethics**

In conventional economic systems, regulation is carried out by institutions like antitrust agencies, financial supervisory bodies, and competition commissions. Their role is primarily reactive—responding to market failures such as monopolies, fraud, or information asymmetry. Regulatory theory focuses on minimizing inefficiencies rather than upholding ethical norms (Coase, 1960, p. 12).

Islamic regulatory mechanisms, by contrast, are proactive and rooted in the concept of *iḥtisāb*, where economic transactions are subject to moral oversight. The classical role of the *muḥtasib* was to monitor trade, ensure honesty, and enforce fair measurement and pricing practices. This is institutionalized morality rather than secular compliance (Ibn Taymiyyah, 1325/2001, p. 205).

Ethical standards in Islamic markets prohibit exploitative behavior like *gharar*, *iḥtikār*, and deceptive marketing. These are not just discouraged but classified as forbidden (*ḥarām*), with consequences that are both spiritual and legal. For example, a merchant who engages in fraudulent trade is warned of divine displeasure, in addition to societal sanctions (Al-Ghazālī, 1097/2000, p. 173).

Modern applications of Islamic market ethics can be seen in the governance models of Islamic banks and financial institutions, where Sharia boards oversee operations. These institutions incorporate ethical screens to exclude sectors like alcohol, gambling, and weapons—an approach absent in conventional regulatory regimes focused solely on legal compliance (Chapra, 2000, p. 97).

Hence, Islamic market regulation serves a dual purpose: preserving market integrity and upholding divine commands. It enforces justice not just through deterrence but through cultivating a culture of accountability and spiritual consciousness.

**Core Findings and Pathway Foreward**

This study finds that Islamic and conventional market mechanisms share structural similarities in terms of utilizing supply and demand dynamics, yet diverge significantly in their underlying philosophies and regulatory models. While conventional systems prioritize market efficiency through institutional legalism and individualistic rationality, Islamic economics embeds ethical, moral, and spiritual dimensions within its market functions. The presence of institutions like *ḥisbah* and concepts such as *ribā* prohibition and *maṣlaḥah* orientation offer a unique ethical framework that cannot be found in the conventional paradigm.

Furthermore, Islamic pricing is not merely an economic calculation but a moral obligation, ensuring fairness, transparency, and accountability. The Islamic model's emphasis on communal welfare, distributive justice, and risk-sharing (e.g., *muḍārabah*, *mushārakah*) contrasts with the profit-maximization and interest-based mechanisms of conventional models. The integration of religious ethics into market operations forms the cornerstone of Islamic economics and presents a compelling alternative for addressing persistent market failures and ethical dilemmas in global finance.

Future research should explore empirical case studies of how Islamic market mechanisms are implemented within modern financial systems, particularly in Muslim-majority countries such as Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Gulf states. Comparative econometric models can be developed to measure the efficiency and equity outcomes of Islamic vs. conventional frameworks under similar economic conditions.

Policymakers and regulators are encouraged to integrate ethical regulatory models inspired by Islamic principles into broader governance frameworks, especially in areas of anti-monopoly legislation, financial inclusion, and ethical consumerism. Sharia-based market supervision (such as modern *ḥisbah*-like bodies) can be institutionalized within national economic policies to ensure compliance with ethical trade principles without undermining competitiveness.

Academia must also invest in interdisciplinary research combining Islamic jurisprudence, behavioral economics, and public policy to advance the operationalization of Islamic market ethics in contemporary settings. Building robust empirical support and practical implementation models will be key to moving Islamic economics from normative ideals to actionable economic systems.

## CONCLUSION

This study has explored the comparative dimensions of market mechanisms in Islamic and conventional economic systems, revealing both deep philosophical divergences and operational similarities. Conventional economics frames markets as neutral arenas guided by rational choice and efficiency, whereas Islamic economics views markets as moral institutions governed by ethical obligations and divine injunctions. These differing paradigms shape how each system addresses price determination, regulatory oversight, and the purpose of economic activity.

While conventional markets emphasize optimal allocation through supply-demand interactions and institutional regulation, Islamic markets introduce additional layers of spiritual accountability, social justice, and ethical trade. The integration of principles such as *ʿadl*, *maṣlaḥah*, and *amānah* into economic behavior provides a holistic approach to addressing both economic and social dimensions of human welfare.

The findings of this study suggest that Islamic economic principles offer viable alternatives and complements to conventional systems, especially in addressing market failures, inequality, and ethical lapses. Rather than being mutually exclusive, these paradigms can engage in constructive dialogue, contributing to more inclusive and resilient economic models. Future research may expand this comparative inquiry through empirical studies and policy analysis, particularly in Muslim-majority countries seeking to balance faith-based values with modern economic development.

## REFERENCES

Al-Ghazālī. (2000). *Iḥyāʾ ʿUlūm al-Dīn*. Beirut: Dār al-Maʿrifah.

Arrow, K. J., & Debreu, G. (1954). Existence of an equilibrium for a competitive economy. *Econometrica*, 22(3), 265–290.

Asutay, M. (2007). Conceptualisation of the second best solution in overcoming the social failure of Islamic banking and finance: Examining the overpowering of homoislamicus by homoeconomicus. *IIUM Journal of Economics and Management*, 15(2), 167–195.

Bogdan, R. C., & Biklen, S. K. (1992). *Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theory and methods*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Chapra, M. U. (1985). *Towards a just monetary system*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Chapra, M. U. (2000). *The future of economics: An Islamic perspective*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Coase, R. H. (1960). The problem of social cost. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 3, 1–44.

Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah. (2002). *Iʿlām al-Muwaqqiʿīn*. Cairo: Dār al-Ḥadīth.

Ibn Taymiyyah. (2001). *Al-Ḥisbah fī al-Islām*. Riyadh: Dār Ibn Ḥazm.

Khan, M. F. (1994). Comparative economics of some Islamic financing techniques. *Islamic Economic Studies*, 2(1), 55–65.

Krugman, P. (2000). *The return of depression economics*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Kuran, T. (2004). *Islam and mammon: The economic predicaments of Islamism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Mankiw, N. G. (2009). *Principles of economics* (5th ed.). Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.

Marshall, A. (1890). *Principles of economics*. London: Macmillan.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Mirakhor, A., & Askari, H. (2010). *Islam and the path to human and economic development*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Nasution, M. (2001). *Perekonomian Islam: Suatu Kajian Kontemporer*. Jakarta: Gema Insani.

Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Samuelson, P. A., & Nordhaus, W. D. (2005). *Economics* (18th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.

Siddiqi, M. N. (1983). *Issues in Islamic banking*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.

Smith, A. (1776). *An inquiry into the nature and causes of the wealth of nations*. London: Methuen.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2002). *Globalization and its discontents*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). *Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Zarqa, M. A. (1991). Islamic economics: An approach to human welfare. In Ahmad, K. (Ed.), *Studies in Islamic economics*. Jeddah: Islamic Development Bank/IRTI.

Al-Qaraḍāwī, Y. (1997). *Bayna al-Ribā wa al-Bayʿ*. Cairo: Maktabah Wahbah.

Arif, M. (1985). Toward a definition of Islamic economics: Some scientific considerations. *Journal of Research in Islamic Economics*, 2(2), 79–93.

Kahf, M. (1989). Islamic economics: Fiction or reality? In Ahmad, K. (Ed.), *Islamic Economic Thought*. Jeddah: Islamic Development Bank.

Al-Nabhani, T. (1990). *Niẓām al-Iqtiṣād fī al-Islām*. Beirut: Dār al-Ummah.

Hasan, Z. (2006). Sustainable development from an Islamic perspective: Meaning, implications, and policy concerns. *IIUM Journal of Economics and Management*, 14(2), 117–147.

Umer Chapra, M. (1992). *Islam and the economic challenge*. Leicester: Islamic Foundation.