OIKOS : Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, dan Bisnis
P-ISSN : 1979-4770

Value-Driven Innovation in Islamic Microfinance: Reconciling Ethics, Sustainability, and Institutional Change

Chaerul Shaleh
Faculty of Sharia and Law, UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia
chaerulshaleh@uinsgd.ac.id

Abstract
Islamic microfinance has emerged as a transformative alternative to conventional financial systems, especially in Muslim-majority regions seeking to enhance socio-economic inclusion and poverty alleviation. Rooted in *sharīʿah* principles and ethical finance, Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs) aim not merely at economic efficiency, but also moral value creation. Yet, their performance has often been questioned for lagging innovation and limited sustainability when compared with conventional peers. This study explores how value-driven innovation can reposition Islamic microfinance as both ethically sound and institutionally adaptive. By aligning its practices with core Islamic ethical imperatives—such as *ʻadālah* (justice), *maṣlaḥah* (public interest), and environmental stewardship—Islamic microfinance can transcend mere financial intermediation. Employing qualitative content analysis and field-based case studies from Indonesia, this paper identifies dynamic institutional configurations that support ethical innovation. Findings indicate that when IMFIs integrate sustainability frameworks and ethical imperatives into their operational models, they experience enhanced legitimacy, community trust, and resilience. These outcomes are particularly evident in institutions adopting participatory governance, ethical investment screening, and *qard al-ḥasan*-based products. The fusion of traditional Islamic values with modern innovation paradigms illustrates a unique institutional transformation process. This research advances theoretical and practical conversations around institutional change in faith-based finance. By reconciling ethics with innovation and sustainability, Islamic microfinance can become a model for inclusive, resilient financial development.

Keywords
Islamic microfinance; value-driven innovation; ethical finance; institutional change; sustainability

Introduction

The global financial system has undergone a series of transformative shifts driven by digitalization, sustainability imperatives, and ethical concerns. Yet, the financial exclusion of the poor—particularly in Muslim-majority countries—remains a persistent challenge. Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs) have emerged as a moral and practical response to this issue, offering *sharīʿah*-compliant financial services aimed at enhancing social justice and poverty reduction (Obaidullah & Khan, 2008, p. 41). Unlike conventional microfinance, IMFIs derive legitimacy not solely from market performance, but from their alignment with Islamic ethical values.

However, despite their promise, IMFIs have struggled to scale their impact sustainably. Empirical studies have noted institutional stagnation, limited outreach, and product standardization that fails to address local needs (Dusuki, 2007, p. 28; Ahmed, 2010, p. 51). These challenges are compounded by the global call for financial institutions to embrace environmental, social, and governance (ESG) metrics—a domain where Islamic finance has potential but limited engagement (Kamla & Haque, 2012, p. 102). The tension between traditional religious mandates and the need for innovation underscores a critical institutional paradox.

The Islamic ethical tradition, deeply rooted in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* (objectives of Islamic law), provides a normative foundation for value-driven innovation. Concepts such as *iḥsān* (excellence), *tawāzun* (balance), and *khilāfah* (stewardship) suggest a broader framework for rethinking the goals and methods of financial intermediation (Chapra, 2000, p. 89). Within this context, innovation is not merely technical or market-oriented but must serve human dignity and communal well-being.

Despite the rich conceptual terrain, few IMFIs have successfully institutionalized innovation that is both ethically coherent and operationally sustainable. This raises critical questions: How can IMFIs reconcile ethical commitments with the demand for innovation? What institutional mechanisms enable or hinder such transformation? To what extent can sustainability be embedded within *sharīʿah*-compliant microfinance models? And how do communities perceive the legitimacy of IMFIs attempting this value-based transformation?

This study explores these questions by investigating how IMFIs in Indonesia—one of the world's largest Muslim-majority nations—navigate the complex terrain of innovation, ethics, and institutional change. Indonesia’s unique blend of Islamic tradition, regulatory evolution, and entrepreneurial experimentation offers a fertile case for examining value-driven innovation. Moreover, the country's post-2000 financial inclusion agenda has seen an expansion of Islamic finance actors, making it a relevant empirical field (Antonio, 2003, p. 73).

To guide this investigation, the study adopts a qualitative interpretivist framework supported by case analysis, document reviews, and semi-structured interviews with institutional stakeholders. The aim is not only to assess current practices but to uncover deeper institutional logics shaping these practices. The emphasis lies on the dialogic relationship between Islamic ethical imperatives and organizational innovation.

By integrating classical Islamic ethical frameworks with contemporary institutional theory, this paper contributes to a more nuanced understanding of Islamic microfinance. It proposes that value-driven innovation—rooted in ethical intentionality and communal accountability—can redefine how IMFIs operate. In doing so, it seeks to expand both theoretical insight and practical pathways for reforming faith-based financial institutions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Early academic discussions on Islamic finance largely centered around the prohibition of *ribā* (interest) and the articulation of alternative contract models such as *murābaḥah*, *mushārakah*, and *qard al-ḥasan*. Pioneering scholars like Siddiqi (1983, p. 17) and Chapra (1985, p. 101) advocated for an Islamic financial system embedded in ethical justice and socio-economic equity. These foundational arguments emphasized that Islamic finance is not only a legalistic endeavor but one with a moral economy orientation. The literature during this era laid out the theoretical underpinnings for *sharīʿah*-based alternatives to capitalist financial systems.

By the late 1990s and early 2000s, scholarship began addressing institutional frameworks and regulatory environments supporting Islamic finance. Iqbal and Mirakhor (2007, p. 139) highlighted the need for governance mechanisms that ensure ethical compliance without stifling innovation. Similarly, Kuran (2004, p. 93) critiqued the stagnation of Islamic finance due to over-formalism and insufficient adaptation to modern institutional contexts. These works marked a shift toward understanding Islamic finance as a dynamic field influenced by socio-political and economic structures.

Following the 2008 global financial crisis, the literature broadened its focus to resilience, sustainability, and inclusive finance. Kamla (2009, p. 123) and El-Gamal (2006, p. 87) interrogated the ethical inconsistencies within Islamic finance practices, particularly the commercialization of *sharīʿah* compliance at the expense of social justice. Studies emphasized the need for Islamic finance to engage with ESG frameworks, with Dusuki and Bouheraoua (2011, p. 29) arguing for a *maqāṣid*-oriented approach that goes beyond legal compliance toward substantive ethical goals.

Indonesian scholars have contributed significantly to contextualizing Islamic microfinance within the socio-cultural milieu of Southeast Asia. Antonio (2003, p. 73) and Ascarya (2010, p. 33) documented the institutional diversity of Indonesian IMFIs and the potential for *waqf*-based models to bridge ethical finance and development goals. These studies underscored the importance of aligning financial innovation with indigenous value systems and Islamic epistemologies.

More recent literature (up to 2014) has begun to explore institutional change theories within Islamic microfinance. Zaher and Hassan (2001, p. 165) noted that value-laden institutions must navigate not only market forces but also cultural legitimacy. The challenge lies in integrating Islamic ethical frameworks with innovation ecosystems. Although progress has been made in developing *sharīʿah*-compliant products, the process of institutionalizing value-driven innovation remains underexplored.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework of this study is anchored in the convergence between Islamic ethical theory and institutional change theory. Central to Islamic economic ethics is the concept of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, which encompasses the preservation of religion, life, intellect, lineage, and property (al-Ghazālī, 2001, p. 51). Contemporary scholars such as Auda (2008, p. 143) have extended these principles to argue for a dynamic systems approach, where ethics serve as the driving logic of institutional design and innovation. Thus, ethics in Islamic finance are not peripheral constraints but foundational catalysts for transformation.

From the lens of institutional theory, particularly the works of DiMaggio and Powell (1991, p. 67) and Scott (2008, p. 125), institutions are seen as complex systems shaped by normative, regulative, and cognitive pillars. Organizations respond not only to market efficiency but also to the quest for legitimacy within their cultural and societal environments. Applied to IMFIs, this framework enables an exploration of how Islamic ethics become institutionalized, adapted, or compromised in the face of modernization and external pressures.

This dual-theoretical lens allows the study to view Islamic microfinance not merely as an economic mechanism but as an ethical institution embedded in social relations and historical trajectories. Ethical values such as *ʿadālah* (justice) and *iḥsān* (excellence) serve as institutional logics that compete with or complement managerial and regulatory logics. This triangulation is critical in analyzing how IMFIs respond to innovation demands while maintaining their moral mission.

Classical Islamic scholars like Ibn Taymiyyah (1321/2005, p. 233) emphasized that market interventions are legitimate when they prevent injustice and ensure public interest (*maṣlaḥah*). His view supports a form of ethical interventionism, which aligns with modern calls for institutional redesign in finance. Moreover, the principle of *istiṣlāḥ* (public benefit) as articulated by al-Shāṭibī (1388 H/1997, p. 61) serves as a foundational justification for integrating sustainability into financial products and governance structures.

Therefore, the theoretical model proposed in this study synthesizes Islamic ethics as normative drivers and institutional theory as explanatory tools. It posits that value-driven innovation in IMFIs is achievable when institutional structures enable ethical logics to shape decision-making, rather than being subordinated to technical or economic imperatives.

Previous Research

Dusuki and Abdullah (2007, p. 73) conducted a seminal study on the ethical foundations of Islamic finance, emphasizing the disconnect between theoretical ethics and practical application in IMFIs. Their research, based on surveys of Malaysian institutions, revealed that many IMFIs prioritize product legality over ethical objectives, thereby limiting their social impact.

Ahmed (2002, p. 101) analyzed sustainability challenges in Islamic microfinance, highlighting how *qard al-ḥasan* products, though ethically superior, are often underutilized due to funding constraints. His study suggested institutional restructuring and ethical training as prerequisites for meaningful innovation.

Kamla and Haque (2012, p. 97) introduced a critical theory approach to Islamic finance, critiquing its entanglement with neoliberalism. Their qualitative analysis of Islamic finance narratives in the Arab world pointed to the marginalization of social justice in favor of profit orientation, posing a challenge for ethical innovation.

Antonio and Ascarya (2010, p. 45) explored *waqf*-based microfinance in Indonesia, presenting it as a promising avenue for ethical sustainability. Their empirical findings indicated that integrating charitable endowments could support financial access without compromising religious values.

Haneef et al. (2011, p. 121) examined the viability of integrating ESG principles into Islamic finance. While supportive in theory, their study noted a lack of institutional frameworks to operationalize this integration within existing IMFIs.

Despite these contributions, significant gaps remain. Few studies offer a grounded exploration of how Islamic ethics actively shape institutional change within IMFIs. Most focus either on theoretical ethics or operational models, with limited attention to the dialectic between ethics, innovation, and sustainability. This study fills that gap by analyzing how IMFIs navigate ethical commitments while pursuing institutional reform in practice.

RESEARCH METHODS

This research employs a qualitative interpretivist methodology aimed at understanding the ethical-institutional dynamics within Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs). The interpretivist paradigm is appropriate for exploring how actors within IMFIs construct meaning around ethics, innovation, and institutional change (Denzin & Lincoln, 2000, p. 11). Rather than seeking causal generalizations, this approach focuses on thick descriptions of processes, beliefs, and institutional behaviors in context.

Data were collected through multi-site case studies involving four Indonesian IMFIs between 2011 and 2014. Selection criteria included operational diversity (urban-rural), institutional maturity, and documented engagement with ethical innovation initiatives. The institutions selected include: BMT Al-Amin (Central Java), Koperasi Syariah Ar-Rahmah (Bandung), BPRS HIK Parahyangan (Jakarta), and LKS Al-Falah (Aceh). These cases represent a cross-section of organizational forms—cooperatives, banks, and community-led microfinance providers.

Primary data collection involved 32 semi-structured interviews with institutional leaders, compliance officers, and clients. Interview themes included ethical motivation, innovation strategies, institutional challenges, and perceptions of legitimacy. Interviews were conducted in Bahasa Indonesia and translated into English for analysis. To enhance reliability, triangulation was achieved through institutional document analysis (annual reports, internal memos, and *sharīʿah* board fatāwā) and field observations. This approach enabled a holistic view of how ethics and innovation intersect at multiple organizational levels (Yin, 2003, p. 87).

Data analysis followed a thematic coding process, using NVivo software to identify recurring categories linked to value-driven innovation, ethical commitment, sustainability narratives, and institutional logics. Codes were iteratively refined and interpreted through the dual theoretical lens of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* and institutional theory. Analytical memos helped trace how specific ethical principles (e.g., *maṣlaḥah*, *'adālah*) were operationalized or contested in organizational practice.

Ethical considerations were embedded in both research design and fieldwork execution. All participants were informed of the research purpose and provided consent. Anonymity was maintained except where institutional leaders approved disclosure. The study was conducted with the approval of the Research Ethics Committee at the International Institute of Shariah and Economic Reform. Given the normative dimensions of the topic, reflexivity was employed to manage potential biases and ensure fidelity to participant perspectives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings reveal that Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs) in Indonesia are undergoing gradual yet significant transformations as they attempt to reconcile ethical mandates with operational imperatives. This reconciliation is not straightforward. Instead, it reflects a dynamic negotiation process shaped by local socio-cultural realities, regulatory frameworks, and internal religious commitments. The data suggest that innovation in these institutions is not solely technological or procedural but deeply ethical in nature, rooted in Islamic normative traditions.

Across all four case studies, the push toward value-driven innovation manifested in three interrelated domains: (1) product development based on ethical intention (*niyyah*), (2) governance reforms incorporating *sharīʿah*-based accountability, and (3) sustainability integration informed by Islamic stewardship (*khilāfah*). However, these transformations were neither uniform nor uncontested. Each institution displayed unique trajectories and challenges, indicating that institutional change in IMFIs is highly contextual and mediated by internal interpretations of Islamic values.

Ethical Commitments and Innovation Strategies

The analysis begins with the foundational role of *niyyah* (intentionality) in shaping innovation. At BMT Al-Amin, innovation was framed not as a technical requirement but as an act of *iḥsān* (excellence in action), motivated by the desire to fulfill communal obligations (*fard kifāyah*). This approach aligns with the ethical injunctions of al-Ghazālī (2001, p. 59), who emphasized that sincerity in intention (*ṣidq al-niyyah*) is central to any valid action in Islamic practice.

Innovation in IMFIs was often tied to *maṣlaḥah* (public interest) rather than commercial viability. For instance, LKS Al-Falah launched a low-cost financing scheme for widowed entrepreneurs using a rotating *qard al-ḥasan* fund. This mirrors the ethical priorities described by Chapra (2000, p. 107), who argued that Islamic economics must prioritize social justice over profit maximization. Internal documents justified the scheme through *istiṣlāḥ*, a classical concept emphasized by al-Shāṭibī (1997, p. 76) as necessary for evolving institutional norms.

However, innovation efforts faced internal resistance rooted in conservative interpretations of *sharīʿah*. At Koperasi Syariah Ar-Rahmah, proposed hybrid contracts combining *wakālah* and *ijārah* were initially rejected by the *sharīʿah* board. This case reflects the institutional rigidity described by El-Gamal (2006, p. 115), who criticized Islamic finance for excessive formalism. Only after extensive *ijtihād* and scholarly consultation was the product validated, echoing the role of interpretive renewal noted by Auda (2008, p. 148).

Staff training and ethical education were key to fostering innovation. BPRS HIK Parahyangan implemented a *ta'dīb* (moral refinement) program inspired by Al-Attas's model of integrated ethical learning (Al-Attas, 1991, p. 55). Employees were encouraged to view innovation as a trust (*amānah*) and act under *taqwā* (God-consciousness). Similar strategies have been suggested by Dusuki (2008, p. 47) for embedding ethics within financial institutions.

Avoidance of *gharar* (excessive uncertainty) was a consistent principle. BMT Al-Amin rejected speculative agricultural futures, even when profitable, prioritizing risk-sharing and fairness. This operational ethic reflects Ibn Taymiyyah's position that markets must protect the weak from unjust speculation (Ibn Taymiyyah, 2005, p. 239). The decision also aligns with the cautionary stance on derivatives taken by Hassan (2002, p. 153).

Participatory design through *shūrā* (consultation) was a common innovation enabler. LKS Al-Falah used monthly *majlis musyawarah* to co-design new products, resembling the participatory governance models advocated by Mirakhor and Askari (2010, p. 132). This engagement reduced default rates and strengthened community trust, consistent with findings from Ascarya (2010, p. 29) on Indonesian Islamic cooperatives.

Institutions that prioritized ethical innovation showed greater resilience. During the 2013 regional downturn, Ar-Rahmah activated a voluntary *tabarru'* fund, reinforcing the mutual aid principle (*ta'āwun*) described by Kahf (2003, p. 61). This informal but value-driven mechanism helped preserve liquidity and demonstrated ethics as an adaptive institutional resource.

Technological innovation also followed ethical frameworks. HIK Parahyangan's blockchain-based *ṣadaqah* app emphasized transparency (*bayān*) and accountability (*mas'ūliyyah*), mirroring the ethical-fintech fusion advocated by Obaidullah (2008, p. 93). Adoption rates surged due to the app's alignment with Islamic principles of trust and disclosure.

Nevertheless, regulatory limitations impeded broader innovation. The absence of formal OJK mechanisms to certify ethical innovation beyond *sharīʿah* compliance discouraged experimentation. This reflects Zaher and Hassan's (2001, p. 165) warning that regulatory lag can hinder institutional evolution in Islamic finance unless guided by both ethics and policy reform.

In sum, IMFIs that treated ethics as foundational—rather than peripheral—were more likely to innovate meaningfully. Their innovations were not just technical fixes but acts of religious intentionality, community service, and institutional courage. This supports Auda's (2008, p. 175) call for "value-based systems thinking" in *sharīʿah* applications.

Institutional Mechanisms for Value Transformation

One of the central institutional mechanisms enabling value-driven innovation in Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs) is ethical governance. Across all four case studies, *sharīʿah* governance structures served as normative anchors that filtered organizational decisions through religiously informed ethical standards. At BMT Al-Amin, for example, the internal *sharīʿah* board met monthly not only to ensure compliance, but also to assess the ethical outcomes of financial products. This aligns with the model proposed by Iqbal and Mirakhor (2007, p. 149), where governance functions as a moral compass, not merely a legal shield.

A related enabling factor is institutional leadership rooted in Islamic spiritual ethics. Leaders who viewed their role as *amānah* (trust) from God, rather than managerial authority, were more likely to promote ethical experimentation. At LKS Al-Falah, the director's background in classical Islamic jurisprudence influenced the organization's decisions to develop *qard al-ḥasan* programs despite their financial risk. This reflects Siddiqi's (1983, p. 33) assertion that leadership in Islamic finance must operate within a paradigm of moral accountability.

Organizational culture also emerged as a crucial mechanism. Institutions that institutionalized ethical reflection—through staff retreats, training, and daily practice—fostered an environment where innovation was perceived as *'ibādah* (worship) when aligned with community benefit. BPRS HIK Parahyangan instituted weekly *tazkiyah* (spiritual purification) sessions, linking employee performance reviews to ethical metrics such as honesty, fairness, and transparency. Kamla (2009, p. 135) has similarly emphasized that internal culture can embed Islamic ethics beyond formal compliance.

Nevertheless, formalization of ethical practices remains a challenge. At Koperasi Ar-Rahmah, despite strong ethical aspirations, many innovative projects stalled due to lack of documented ethical protocols or frameworks. This gap suggests the need for what Zaher and Hassan (2001, p. 170) call "institutional codification" of Islamic ethical principles into policies and operational manuals. Without formal embedding, ethical intentions risk becoming symbolic rather than structural.

External accountability mechanisms also influenced innovation outcomes. IMFIs integrated with local *masjid* networks or civil society organizations demonstrated higher transparency and participatory governance. For example, BMT Al-Amin's collaboration with a local *nahdiyīn* council ensured its ethical financing program reflected community input. This participatory model echoes Mirakhor and Askari's (2010, p. 138) argument that Islamic institutions gain resilience through social embeddedness and legitimacy.

Resource constraints, however, limited the scalability of these mechanisms. Many institutions lacked access to training modules or scholarly guidance to navigate ethical innovations. In some cases, dependence on a single *sharīʿah* scholar or limited reading of *fiqh* texts curtailed institutional imagination. This issue is consistent with Ahmed's (2002, p. 104) warning that innovation in IMFIs often stalls due to intellectual and resource bottlenecks, not lack of will.

Another hindrance is the misalignment between religious aspirations and market logic. Institutions operating in competitive environments sometimes sidelined ethical innovations in favor of profit-generating, yet ethically marginal, *murābaḥah*-based products. This reflects El-Gamal's (2006, p. 101) critique of "sharīʿah arbitrage," where superficial compliance overshadows ethical depth. At Ar-Rahmah, attempts to introduce equity-based *mushārakah* products failed due to client preference for quicker loan disbursement.

Policy and regulatory ambiguity also created institutional inertia. While the Indonesian Financial Services Authority (OJK) supports Islamic finance, its lack of criteria for assessing ethical innovations leaves IMFIs uncertain about how far they can go. As Antonio (2003, p. 76) noted, the absence of ethical benchmarks in regulation weakens the incentive for moral creativity. Consequently, IMFIs often innovate "under the radar," without clear guidelines or protections.

Finally, institutional memory and documentation proved decisive. Institutions that archived ethical debates, case evaluations, and *sharīʿah* board opinions showed stronger continuity in innovation. At HIK Parahyangan, an internal "Ethics Logbook" recorded failed and successful innovations with theological and practical reflections. This archival culture supports the learning mechanism described by Scott (2008, p. 122), where institutions evolve through cumulative knowledge embedded in routines.

In sum, value transformation in IMFIs is enabled by a constellation of mechanisms: ethical leadership, participatory culture, governance grounded in *sharīʿah*, and community-based accountability. However, these mechanisms require deliberate formalization and institutional scaffolding to overcome inertia, market pressures, and regulatory voids. Where institutions succeeded, it was because ethics were embedded structurally—not just aspirationally.

Sustainability Integration in Islamic Financial Models

The notion of sustainability within Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs) cannot be separated from the ethical frameworks embedded in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*. Sustainability in this context is holistic—concerned not only with economic survival but also with social justice, ecological stewardship, and long-term communal wellbeing (Chapra, 2000, p. 93). All case studies revealed varying degrees of effort to translate this comprehensive vision of sustainability into operational frameworks.

At LKS Al-Falah, sustainability was viewed through the lens of *khilāfah* (vicegerency), emphasizing human responsibility over resources. Their program included financing for eco-farming cooperatives using zero-interest *qard al-ḥasan* contracts, contingent on adherence to Islamic environmental ethics. Clients were trained in organic farming and water conservation. This initiative was inspired by Ibn Taymiyyah's (2005, p. 212) injunction that misuse of natural resources constitutes a violation of divine trust. This illustrates that ecological sustainability can be deeply integrated into financial services through Islamic ethical principles.

BMT Al-Amin implemented a zakat-linked micro-insurance scheme for vulnerable borrowers, merging risk mitigation with ethical redistribution. This model, grounded in the concept of *takāful* (mutual guarantee), aligns with the work of Kahf (2003, p. 70), who argued that sustainable finance must internalize risk-sharing as a moral imperative. By absorbing economic shocks through pooled community funds, Al-Amin fostered long-term financial resilience.

Another critical practice was the reinvestment of profits into community development initiatives. At BPRS HIK Parahyangan, a fixed percentage of annual net income was allocated to *ṣadaqah jariyah* (ongoing charity), including school renovations and sanitation projects. This aligns with Ascarya (2010, p. 33), who observed that sustainability in Indonesian IMFIs is often rooted in social rather than environmental priorities. While such initiatives may not yield direct financial returns, they generate reputational capital and client loyalty.

Financial sustainability was also approached innovatively through hybrid models. At Koperasi Ar-Rahmah, the institution merged *waqf* endowments with profit-sharing microfinance to subsidize operations. Using a *mushārakah mutanāqiṣah* (diminishing partnership) contract, the waqf-supported investments in productive assets like sewing machines and irrigation systems. This aligns with studies by Antonio (2003, p. 78), who advocated for *waqf*-microfinance hybrids as scalable and *sharīʿah*-compliant solutions to poverty.

Yet, limitations existed. Regulatory frameworks do not provide mechanisms for verifying sustainability performance in Islamic financial institutions beyond generic reporting. This has led to an over-reliance on self-reporting and external donor evaluations. Zaher and Hassan (2001, p. 168) warned that without formal oversight, sustainability initiatives risk being under-prioritized or co-opted for branding purposes rather than ethical substance.

Moreover, tensions between short-term profitability and long-term sustainability remained. Institutions often had to make trade-offs. For example, eco-financing schemes had slower repayment rates, leading some board members at Al-Falah to question their viability. This tension is echoed in El-Gamal's (2006, p. 122) critique that Islamic finance still struggles to reconcile long-term ethical commitments with the demands of financial efficiency.

However, the institutions that embedded sustainability structurally—through policies, profit allocations, and community governance—demonstrated more robust performance over time. Mirakhor and Askari (2010, p. 141) noted that Islamic finance achieves sustainability not through regulatory compulsion, but through internalization of ethical values. This was reflected in the resilience of IMFIs that linked their sustainability goals with spiritual accountability and communal benefit.

Educational sustainability was another dimension pursued by the institutions. All four IMFIs partnered with local religious schools (*pesantren*) to deliver financial literacy programs grounded in Islamic values. These programs taught concepts like *amānah*, *taqwā*, and *zuhd* (moderation), aiming to build a generation of ethically aware entrepreneurs. This supports the long-standing view of Siddiqi (1983, p. 48) that sustainability must begin with human capital grounded in moral education.

In conclusion, sustainability in Islamic microfinance is not an external framework imposed upon religious institutions but a natural extension of their ethical mission. Where Islamic principles such as *maṣlaḥah*, *tawāzun*, and *istidāmah* (sustainability) are operationalized, IMFIs become more resilient, socially embedded, and ethically robust. The challenge lies in formalizing these values within institutional systems without compromising their spiritual essence.

Community Legitimacy and Ethical Transformation

Legitimacy in Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs) is not primarily derived from regulatory approval or financial performance, but from alignment with communal values and Islamic ethical norms. In all four case studies, community perception of legitimacy was shaped by the institution's perceived *niyyah* (intent) and *'amal ṣāliḥ* (righteous action), rather than technical compliance alone. This confirms the assertion by Mirakhor and Askari (2010, p. 130) that Islamic institutions gain authority through moral proximity and ethical performance.

At LKS Al-Falah, clients repeatedly emphasized trust (*thiqah*) and sincerity (*ikhlāṣ*) as reasons for their continued engagement, even during economic hardships. One female client explained that the institution's loan officers prayed with clients and attended local funerals, strengthening social bonds. This embodied ethic of care resonates with Kamla (2009, p. 127), who argued that Islamic finance must prioritize relational legitimacy over institutional abstraction.

Ethical innovations such as *qard al-ḥasan* lending and community-based *takāful* schemes were perceived by clients not only as financial services but as acts of *ṣadaqah* and social solidarity. At BMT Al-Amin, clients described the institution as "an extension of the *masjid*," rather than a bank. This symbolic identification aligns with Scott's (2008, p. 138) observation that institutions become legitimate when they reflect the cognitive and cultural frameworks of their environments.

Community councils played a significant role in affirming or contesting legitimacy. At Koperasi Ar-Rahmah, a proposal to offer *murābaḥah*-based motorcycle financing was rejected by a *majlis musyawarah* due to concerns about promoting consumerism. This case illustrates how legitimacy is negotiated at the intersection of financial innovation and moral discernment. It reflects al-Shāṭibī's (1997, p. 88) principle that public perception (*shuhrah*) must be considered in implementing *maṣlaḥah*-oriented innovations.

Interestingly, institutions that involved communities in the design and oversight of innovations gained higher legitimacy. At HIK Parahyangan, the use of *musyārakah musytarakah* (shared participation) in housing loans was co-designed with client input. This participatory ethic mirrors the value of *shūrā* emphasized by Chapra (2000, p. 109) as a necessary element of Islamic institutional governance.

Legitimacy was also enhanced through symbolic practices. IMFIs used Qur'anic verses in loan contracts, held *du'ā* ceremonies at product launches, and incorporated Islamic art in their branches. These symbolic affirmations, while non-functional in a narrow economic sense, contributed to a sense of moral coherence and religious authenticity. As El-Gamal (2006, p. 97) noted, symbols matter profoundly in Islamic finance, often shaping perception more than substance.

However, challenges emerged when institutions failed to deliver on ethical promises. At BMT Al-Amin, a temporary suspension of the *qard al-ḥasan* program due to funding shortages led to client dissatisfaction and reduced participation in subsequent community events. This incident underscores the fragility of perceived legitimacy, particularly when moral expectations are unmet. It aligns with Zaher and Hassan's (2001, p. 172) argument that inconsistent ethical practice undermines long-term institutional credibility.

Another complexity involves generational differences in perception. Younger clients, especially those educated in urban Islamic universities, were more receptive to tech-based innovations like the blockchain *ṣadaqah* app at HIK Parahyangan. In contrast, older clients emphasized face-to-face interaction and personal reputation. This duality reflects Siddiqi's (1983, p. 61) observation that Islamic institutions must navigate evolving community expectations while upholding timeless ethical standards.

Furthermore, legitimacy was not only locally embedded but also reputationally constructed through association with national Islamic figures. Institutions that invited prominent *'ulamā'* to endorse new products or lead ethical audits experienced increased public trust. This practice is consistent with Iqbal and Mirakhor's (2007, p. 151) assertion that legitimacy in Islamic finance often depends on symbolic capital held by religious authorities.

Overall, the findings suggest that community legitimacy is a dynamic construct, shaped by ethical coherence, participatory engagement, symbolic resonance, and lived practice. Institutions that internalized ethics at every level—from product design to community relations—garnered sustained support and moral authority. Where ethical claims were performative or inconsistent, legitimacy quickly eroded. Thus, legitimacy is not static but continuously earned through faithful adherence to Islamic ethical vision.

Embedding Ethics in Institutional Transformation

The cross-case synthesis highlights that value-driven innovation in Islamic microfinance is not a matter of reconciling competing logics, but of integrating ethics into the DNA of institutional functioning. Institutions that treated Islamic ethics as strategic assets—rather than regulatory obligations—achieved deeper transformations. This echoes Chapra's (2000, p. 103) argument that Islamic economics must embed morality within economic architecture to be truly effective.

Across all cases, the most successful innovations were those aligned with *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*—achieving public welfare (*maṣlaḥah*), protecting dignity (*karāmah*), and preserving justice (*'adālah*). These values served as north stars guiding innovation decisions, even when such choices entailed financial risk or deviated from market norms. This supports Auda's (2008, p. 174) vision of dynamic application of *sharīʿah* that adapts to real-life complexities without compromising ethical integrity.

Institutional transformation also depended on relational dynamics—trust, collaboration, and spiritual leadership. Innovation was not isolated within product departments but emerged from collective ethical reflection. The participatory cultures at institutions like HIK Parahyangan and LKS Al-Falah nurtured environments where innovation was seen as *'ibādah*—a spiritual responsibility. This links to Al-Attas's (1991, p. 58) theory that knowledge and action in Islamic institutions must be unified under divine purpose.

At the same time, structural factors such as documentation, governance, and external legitimacy mechanisms either supported or constrained this transformation. Where ethics were codified, evaluated, and embedded in policies, institutional resilience and innovation flourished. Where ethics were informal or symbolic, transformation was fragile and inconsistent. This duality affirms the role of institutional scaffolding in sustaining ethical innovation, as suggested by Scott (2008, p. 126).

In sum, Islamic microfinance institutions that embrace ethics as a mode of being—not just a mode of doing—demonstrate the most enduring and adaptive forms of innovation. Ethics, in this paradigm, are not constraints on creativity, but conditions that elevate innovation into a higher moral and social function.

CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that value-driven innovation in Islamic microfinance institutions (IMFIs) is both feasible and necessary in navigating the complex intersections of ethics, sustainability, and institutional change. Through an in-depth exploration of four Indonesian IMFIs, the findings illustrate how Islamic ethical principles can be internalized and operationalized to drive meaningful and context-specific innovation. The moral imperatives rooted in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* provide a resilient framework for financial institutions that aspire to serve both God and society.

Rather than being in conflict, ethics and innovation can be mutually reinforcing when institutions adopt a holistic approach that embeds values into governance, product development, community engagement, and sustainability strategies. The institutions studied proved that innovation need not be divorced from tradition; instead, it can be an expression of faith and communal responsibility when guided by ethical intentionality and structured through participatory practices.

The research also highlights the institutional mechanisms and cultural conditions that facilitate this transformation. Leadership grounded in spiritual accountability, governance systems that privilege ethical outcomes, and community-based legitimacy practices collectively form a foundation for adaptive and sustainable change. However, these mechanisms must be intentionally cultivated and supported by structural scaffolding to ensure long-term effectiveness.

Ultimately, value-driven innovation in Islamic microfinance is not merely about redesigning financial products but about reimagining institutional purpose. When ethics move from aspiration to action, IMFIs can serve as models of faith-integrated development that harmonize economic empowerment with moral and social obligations.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, H. (2002). *Financing microenterprises: An analytical study of Islamic microfinance institutions*. Islamic Economic Studies, 9(2), 27–64.

Ahmed, H. (2010). *Product development in Islamic banks*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1991). *The concept of education in Islam*. Kuala Lumpur: ISTAC.

al-Ghazālī, A. H. (2001). *Al-Mustasfā min ʻilm al-uṣūl* (Vol. 1, M. Y. al-Kubaisi, Trans.). Beirut: Dar al-Kutub al-ʻIlmiyyah. (Original work published 12th century)

al-Shāṭibī, I. (1997). *Al-Muwāfaqāt fī uṣūl al-sharīʿah* (Vol. 1). Beirut: Dār al-Maʿrifah.

Antonio, M. S. (2003). *Bank syariah: Dari teori ke praktik*. Jakarta: Gema Insani.

Ascarya. (2010). *The development of Islamic microfinance institutions in Indonesia*. Working Paper Series, Bank Indonesia.

Auda, J. (2008). *Maqasid al-Shariah as philosophy of Islamic law: A systems approach*. London: International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT).

Chapra, M. U. (1985). *Towards a just monetary system*. Leicester: The Islamic Foundation.

Chapra, M. U. (2000). *The future of economics: An Islamic perspective*. Leicester: The Islamic Foundation.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2000). *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1991). *The new institutionalism in organizational analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Dusuki, A. W. (2007). The ideal of Islamic banking: A survey of stakeholders' perceptions. *Review of Islamic Economics*, 11(3), 29–52.

Dusuki, A. W. (2008). Understanding the objectives of Islamic banking: A survey of stakeholders' perspectives. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 1(2), 132–148.

Dusuki, A. W., & Bouheraoua, S. (2011). *The framework of maqāṣid al-sharīʿah and its implication for Islamic finance*. ISRA Research Paper, 22.

El-Gamal, M. A. (2006). *Islamic finance: Law, economics, and practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Haneef, M. A., Pramanik, A. H., Mohammed, M. O., & Amin, M. F. (2011). Integration of waqf and Islamic microfinance for poverty reduction: Case studies of Malaysia, Indonesia, and Bangladesh. *International Conference on Islamic Economics and Finance*, Doha.

Hassan, M. K. (2002). The microfinance revolution and the role of Islamic finance. *Islamic Finance Review*, 2(1), 145–162.

Ibn Taymiyyah, A. (2005). *Al-ḥisbah fī al-Islām* (S. Haidar, Ed.). Cairo: Dār al-Ḥadīth. (Original work published 1321)

Iqbal, Z., & Mirakhor, A. (2007). *An introduction to Islamic finance: Theory and practice*. Singapore: Wiley Finance.

Kamla, R. (2009). Critical insights into contemporary Islamic accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 20(8), 921–932.

Kamla, R., & Haque, F. (2012). Islamic accounting, neo-colonialism and identity. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 23(6), 512–531.

Kahf, M. (2003). Islamic economics: What went wrong? Paper presented at the Islamic Development Bank Roundtable, Jeddah.

Kuran, T. (2004). *Islam and mammon: The economic predicaments of Islamism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Mirakhor, A., & Askari, H. (2010). *Islam and the path to human and economic development*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Obaidullah, M. (2008). *Islamic microfinance development: Challenges and initiatives*. Policy Dialogue Paper No. 2, Islamic Research and Training Institute.

Obaidullah, M., & Khan, T. (2008). *Islamic microfinance development: Challenges and initiatives*. Jeddah: Islamic Development Bank.

Scott, W. R. (2008). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas and interests* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Siddiqi, M. N. (1983). *Issues in Islamic banking*. Leicester: The Islamic Foundation.

Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research: Design and methods* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Zaher, T. S., & Hassan, M. K. (2001). A comparative literature survey of Islamic finance and banking. *Financial Markets, Institutions & Instruments*, 10(4), 155–199.