OIKOS : Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, dan Bisnis
P-ISSN : 1979-4770

# Multidimensional Strategies for Eradicating Poverty: Localizing SDG 1 in Indonesia

**Muhammad Mas'ud**
Universitas Islam Syekh Yusuf Tangerang, Indonesia
mmasud@unis.ac.id

**Abstract**

This study explores multidimensional strategies for eradicating poverty across Indonesia in pursuit of Sustainable Development Goal 1 (No Poverty). Using a qualitative framework grounded in the Capability Approach and Islamic economic principles, the research analyzes regional development policies, sharia-based microfinance, and digital governance innovations. Findings reveal that while national programs have reduced poverty in aggregate, multidimensional and localized deprivations persist, especially in rural and eastern provinces. Sharia-compliant microfinance institutions offer ethical and socially integrated financial services that enhance inclusion. Additionally, digital platforms—particularly for zakat distribution and poverty mapping—enable real-time, transparent governance that supports decentralization. The study proposes an integrative model combining capabilities, ethics, and technology to effectively localize SDG 1 in Indonesia. The findings inform both theoretical frameworks and practical policy design for more inclusive and sustainable poverty eradication strategies.

**Keywords**

SDG 1 Indonesia; multidimensional poverty; Islamic microfinance; digital governance; poverty policy

## INTRODUCTION

Poverty remains one of the most pressing development challenges in Indonesia, despite decades of policy interventions and significant economic growth. As the fourth most populous country in the world with over 270 million citizens, Indonesia exhibits stark contrasts between urban affluence and rural deprivation (World Bank, 2016). While aggregate indicators such as GDP growth and national income suggest upward trends, these metrics mask underlying disparities.

The persistence of poverty, particularly in eastern provinces and among marginalized communities, underscores the need for a more nuanced and localized approach to Sustainable Development Goal 1 (No Poverty) (UNDP, 2016). This research aims to understand the multidimensional nature of poverty in Indonesia and evaluate strategies that align with both global development targets and local socio-cultural contexts.

The challenge of eradicating poverty is inherently complex, involving structural inequalities, limited access to basic services, and systemic governance issues. According to Alkire and Santos (2014), multidimensional poverty encompasses deprivations in education, health, and living standards, extending far beyond income-based definitions.

This perspective is particularly relevant for Indonesia, where regional disparities in infrastructure, education access, and healthcare provision remain stark (BPS–Statistics Indonesia, 2016). Previous interventions often focused on income subsidies or social safety nets, which, while important, may fail to address the root causes of long-term poverty. Therefore, a more integrative approach is required—one that captures both macroeconomic policies and micro-level socio-cultural dynamics (Sen, 1999, p. 87).

Theoretically, this research draws upon Amartya Sen's Capability Approach, which emphasizes individual agency, freedom, and access to opportunity as core dimensions of poverty (Sen, 1999, p. 75). This framework shifts the lens from mere income measurement to broader social and human development indicators. Empirically, Indonesia provides a compelling case due to its decentralized governance structure, diverse ethnic and religious communities, and widespread informal economies.

Studies such as Ravallion (2016) and Bourguignon (2015) have pointed out that national averages often misrepresent sub-national inequalities. This reinforces the need to tailor policy strategies to specific regional realities, informed by robust qualitative and quantitative data.

Despite a wealth of literature on poverty reduction, there remains a critical research gap in the Indonesian context: the limited integration of faith-based financial models, digital governance mechanisms, and culturally embedded community resilience strategies. Sharia-compliant microfinance, for instance, has shown promise in promoting ethical lending and financial inclusion but is underexplored in mainstream poverty discourse (Obaidullah & Khan, 2008, p. 103).

Moreover, the intersection of Islamic philanthropy (e.g., zakat) and digital financial technology opens new pathways for grassroots empowerment (Hasan, 2015, p. 122). There is a paucity of interdisciplinary studies that link these elements to the broader SDG agenda, especially in Indonesia's rural heartlands and conflict-prone regions.

Against this backdrop, the current study formulates three research questions. First, how do macroeconomic and regional development policies contribute to the eradication of multidimensional poverty in Indonesia? Second, in what ways can sharia-based microfinance institutions support poverty alleviation among rural and marginalized populations? Third, how can digital innovations and decentralized governance be leveraged to localize and operationalize SDG 1 effectively across diverse regions? The objectives of this research are to (1) evaluate the theoretical and practical implications of poverty eradication strategies in Indonesia, (2) explore the role of faith-based and digital financial mechanisms, and (3) contribute to the formulation of inclusive, locally grounded development policies. These aims are intended to inform not only academic discourse but also real-world policy interventions tailored to the Indonesian context.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The discourse surrounding poverty eradication has evolved significantly over the past decades, shifting from narrow income-based approaches to more comprehensive frameworks that incorporate multidimensional deprivation. Scholars such as Sen (1999, p. 78) and Alkire and Foster (2011) have emphasized that poverty encompasses not only economic hardship but also deficiencies in education, healthcare, and political participation.

In the Indonesian context, multidimensional poverty analysis has become increasingly relevant, particularly as national averages obscure deep disparities between Java and peripheral islands like Papua and Nusa Tenggara (World Bank, 2016). These discrepancies are further exacerbated by structural inequalities in infrastructure, governance capacity, and access to social services, necessitating a regionally nuanced approach to poverty reduction (BPS–Statistics Indonesia, 2016).

A review of Indonesian policy literature reveals a historical reliance on social protection programs such as the Program Keluarga Harapan (PKH) and the National Program for Community Empowerment (PNPM Mandiri). While these initiatives have provided temporary relief, critiques point out that they often fail to achieve sustainable, long-term impacts (Sumarto & Bazzi, 2011).

In contrast, development economists have called for asset-building, financial inclusion, and empowerment-based strategies that address poverty's root causes (Banerjee & Duflo, 2011). Islamic finance literature adds another dimension by advocating for *zakat*, *waqf*, and *qard al-hasan* as socially embedded financial tools capable of redistributing wealth ethically and efficiently (Obaidullah & Khan, 2008, p. 106). These mechanisms resonate strongly within Indonesia's socio-religious landscape, yet they remain underutilized in national poverty alleviation strategies.

Further complicating the landscape is the impact of globalization and technological change. Studies by Castells (2010, p. 94) and Mansell (2012, p. 101) show that digital inclusion has become a key determinant of poverty and opportunity in the modern era. In Indonesia, where mobile penetration is high but digital literacy remains uneven, digital financial services offer both risks and rewards.

Initiatives like digital banking and fintech have the potential to bring unbanked populations into formal financial systems (UNCTAD, 2016). However, without robust regulatory and educational frameworks, such technologies may deepen existing inequalities. Thus, the integration of sharia principles, community development, and digital innovation represents a promising but underexplored frontier in the fight against poverty.

## Theoretical Framework

The theoretical foundation of this study is anchored in Amartya Sen's Capability Approach, which redefines poverty not merely as a lack of income but as a deprivation of basic capabilities that enable individuals to lead the lives they value. Sen (1999, p. 87) emphasizes that well-being is determined by a person's freedom to choose between different life paths, thus highlighting the importance of agency, opportunity, and structural access.

In the Indonesian context, this approach is particularly relevant due to wide disparities in access to education, healthcare, and economic participation across provinces (BPS–Statistics Indonesia, 2016). By focusing on capabilities rather than commodities, the framework allows for a multidimensional understanding of poverty that aligns with the objectives of SDG 1.

Complementing the Capability Approach is the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) developed by Alkire and Foster (2011), which identifies overlapping deprivations in health, education, and living standards. This model has been instrumental in shifting global and national poverty metrics away from sole reliance on income thresholds.

In Indonesia, the MPI framework is essential in capturing the heterogeneity of poverty experiences, particularly in remote areas with limited statistical visibility (UNDP, 2016). It also facilitates targeted interventions, as policymakers can identify clusters of deprivations and tailor programs accordingly. The MPI framework serves as both an analytical and operational tool in this study.

In addition to these multidimensional models, this study incorporates Islamic economic principles, particularly those related to sharia-compliant finance. Islamic finance operates under a normative framework rooted in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* (the objectives of Islamic law), which prioritize social justice, equity, and communal well-being (Chapra, 2000, p. 71).

Instruments like *zakat*, *waqf*, and *qard al-ḥasan* are designed to redistribute wealth and eliminate exploitation, offering alternative pathways to financial inclusion. Obaidullah and Khan (2008, p. 109) argue that these tools are uniquely positioned to address poverty in Muslim-majority countries such as Indonesia, where religious institutions enjoy social legitimacy and community trust.

Digital governance theories also underpin this study, especially in examining how decentralized information and communication technologies can bridge gaps in service delivery and financial inclusion. Castells' (2010, p. 102) theory of the network society provides a lens through which to analyze the interplay between technology, institutions, and community empowerment.

In the Indonesian archipelago, where geographic dispersion impedes conventional governance, digital platforms offer new channels for data collection, resource allocation, and community participation. This study uses this theoretical perspective to explore how digital financial services, when combined with localized and religiously contextualized frameworks, can operationalize SDG 1 effectively.

Finally, this research draws on the participatory development model, which emphasizes the active involvement of communities in identifying needs, designing solutions, and monitoring outcomes. Chambers (1997, p. 134) posits that development should be people-centered, adaptive, and inclusive, particularly when addressing complex and deeply rooted challenges like poverty.

This approach resonates with Indonesia's decentralized political system, wherein local governments have considerable autonomy. When integrated with the Capability Approach and Islamic finance, participatory development provides a comprehensive theoretical scaffold to analyze both the systemic causes of poverty and the practical mechanisms for its eradication.

## Previous Research

One of the earliest relevant studies is Chambers (1997), who emphasized the importance of participatory development in addressing poverty. His research critiqued top-down policy implementation and advocated for community-led initiatives that empower local voices in decision-making (Chambers, 1997, p. 134). This perspective aligns well with Indonesia's decentralized governance system and highlights the need for inclusive frameworks that reflect diverse socio-economic realities. The participatory model he proposed remains foundational to contemporary rural development theory and continues to influence localized poverty reduction programs.

Sen's (1999) seminal work on the Capability Approach redefined poverty as the deprivation of basic freedoms rather than just income scarcity. His theoretical model shifted development discourse toward multidimensional poverty, inspiring the formulation of comprehensive indices like the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI). In Indonesia, where regional disparities affect access to health, education, and public services, Sen's theory is particularly relevant. It provides a conceptual lens to assess not only material deprivation but also agency, social participation, and dignity.

Building on this, Alkire and Foster (2011) introduced a robust methodology for measuring multidimensional poverty through the MPI. Their study developed a flexible framework that could be tailored to national contexts, offering detailed insights into overlapping deprivations. This methodology has been adopted by UNDP and BPS–Statistics Indonesia (2016), offering an alternative to monetary measures. In Indonesia, MPI analyses have revealed that poverty is often concentrated in regions with poor access to public services and infrastructure, supporting the need for spatially targeted interventions.

Obaidullah and Khan (2008) explored the role of Islamic microfinance in alleviating poverty, focusing on instruments like *zakat*, *waqf*, and *qard al-ḥasan*. Their study argued that sharia-compliant financial tools can promote ethical lending and enhance financial inclusion in Muslim-majority societies (Obaidullah & Khan, 2008, p. 106). In the Indonesian context, where religious institutions play a pivotal role in community life, their findings are significant. Despite the potential, these instruments are not yet fully integrated into national development strategies, suggesting a gap in both policy and research.

Hasan (2015) investigated the potential of digital zakat systems to streamline philanthropic contributions and enhance transparency. He highlighted how digital tools can improve outreach and accountability in zakat distribution (Hasan, 2015, p. 122). This research is particularly relevant as Indonesia seeks to digitize financial systems under its National Financial Inclusion Strategy. However, Hasan also cautioned that technological solutions must be accompanied by adequate digital literacy and trust-building measures, pointing to the necessity of a holistic implementation approach.

Finally, Ravallion (2016) critiqued global poverty measurement practices and stressed the importance of capturing regional and cultural nuances. He argued that international benchmarks often misrepresent the lived realities of the poor and called for context-specific indicators. His work reinforces the argument for localized approaches in poverty assessment and policy design, particularly in countries with significant geographical and socio-economic diversity like Indonesia.

Despite these valuable contributions, a notable research gap remains in the integrated application of multidimensional poverty theory, sharia-based microfinance, and digital governance in Indonesia's regional development. While previous studies have addressed these themes separately, few have synthesized them into a cohesive analytical model. This study aims to fill this gap by examining how these frameworks can be operationalized to localize SDG 1 effectively in Indonesia.

**RESEARCH METHODS**

This study is based on qualitative data comprising textual, descriptive, and conceptual materials. The nature of the data includes journal articles, books, institutional reports, and policy documents that discuss poverty reduction strategies, Islamic financial practices, and digital governance mechanisms. The focus is on analyzing existing knowledge to identify patterns, theoretical gaps, and practical applications within the Indonesian context (Creswell, 2013, p. 45).

The qualitative nature of the data allows for an in-depth exploration of socio-economic, religious, and technological dimensions of poverty. Such a method is suitable when seeking to understand complex, multifactorial phenomena, particularly in localized settings where statistical generalization is not the primary objective (Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña, 2014, p. 58).

The primary data sources used in this study are verified international journal articles, reputable institutional publications, and books published no later than 2016. These include works by Sen (1999), Alkire and Foster (2011), and Chambers (1997, p. 134), as well as reports from BPS–Statistics Indonesia (2016), World Bank (2016), and UNDP (2016).

These sources provide the necessary conceptual grounding and empirical data to analyze the intersections between multidimensional poverty, Islamic finance, and digital development. Indonesian Sinta-Garuda journals and policy documents are also included to ensure contextual relevance. All data are drawn from publicly traceable and academically credible sources.

Data collection was carried out through document analysis, involving systematic reading, coding, and interpretation of existing literature. The goal was to identify key themes, theoretical frameworks, and empirical trends relevant to the research questions. This method facilitates a critical understanding of how multidimensional poverty is defined and addressed in various contexts, particularly in Indonesia (Bowen, 2009). The document analysis process also involved extracting evidence of institutional practices and innovations that contribute to poverty reduction, especially in faith-based and digitally enabled contexts.

Data analysis employed thematic interpretation, identifying recurring patterns and linking them to theoretical constructs such as the Capability Approach, multidimensional poverty frameworks, and participatory development models. Thematic analysis allows the researcher to group findings under broader categories that reflect the core research questions.

This interpretive strategy ensures that the analysis remains focused on understanding complex social realities rather than quantifying variables (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The approach is particularly effective in examining how different paradigms—Islamic finance, governance, and community participation—interact to shape poverty dynamics.

Conclusion drawing was conducted by triangulating insights from multiple frameworks to formulate a coherent narrative on poverty eradication in Indonesia. The findings were interpreted in light of the theoretical and empirical literature, ensuring alignment between data and research objectives. This process involved critically engaging with diverse scholarly and institutional viewpoints, assessing the consistency of findings, and identifying areas of convergence and divergence. The aim was to develop nuanced policy implications that reflect Indonesia's unique socio-cultural and economic landscape while contributing to global SDG 1 discourse (Yin, 2011, p. 142).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal a complex and layered understanding of poverty in Indonesia that aligns with the theoretical frameworks outlined earlier. The Capability Approach and Multidimensional Poverty Index provide powerful tools for evaluating the various deprivations faced by individuals, while Islamic finance and digital governance offer alternative, context-sensitive solutions. These frameworks engage in a productive dialogue with empirical observations drawn from both national policy initiatives and localized community practices. This synthesis confirms the relevance of multidimensional thinking and culturally embedded strategies in the pursuit of SDG 1.

Previous research has generally treated poverty reduction from either a macroeconomic or sectoral perspective, often ignoring how different strategies interact within specific sociocultural environments. By integrating perspectives from Islamic economics and participatory governance, this study addresses the theoretical and empirical gaps identified earlier. For instance, while Sen's Capability Approach emphasizes agency, studies like Obaidullah and Khan (2008, p. 103) emphasize the ethical and communal dimensions of wealth redistribution—an area largely missing in Western economic discourse. In this study, such integration provides a more holistic foundation for interpreting Indonesia's poverty challenges.

Importantly, new expert perspectives not previously cited highlight the potential of technological democratization in enabling localized decision-making. The combination of digital zakat platforms and regionalized MPI analysis offers a unique pathway for targeting aid and measuring impact in real-time (Hasan, 2015, p. 122; UNCTAD, 2016). Such innovations challenge older paradigms that rely heavily on centralized bureaucracy and standardized indicators. Furthermore, the decentralization of governance in Indonesia post-1998 Reformasi has created institutional space for tailored policy experimentation, particularly in poverty-prone provinces (World Bank, 2016).

This research contributes to the academic and policy discourse by proposing a hybrid model of poverty eradication. This model integrates capability enhancement, faith-based financial tools, and participatory digital governance to create adaptive and localized solutions. It acknowledges that eradicating poverty in Indonesia requires more than financial resources; it demands structural change, cultural alignment, and grassroots empowerment. These findings thus not only validate the research questions but also open avenues for future interdisciplinary and action-oriented investigations.

### 1. Regional Policy and Multidimensional Poverty in Indonesia

This subsection addresses the first research question by analyzing how macroeconomic and regional development policies contribute to the eradication of multidimensional poverty in Indonesia. Indonesia has pursued various national poverty reduction programs since the 1970s, including rice subsidies, conditional cash transfers (PKH), and infrastructure development. While these programs have shown macro-level impact, they often fail to account for regional disparities in capability deprivation. For instance, while Java has benefitted from consistent policy implementation, eastern provinces like Papua and Maluku continue to exhibit high MPI scores (BPS–Statistics Indonesia, 2016).

From a theoretical perspective, Sen's Capability Approach underscores the importance of access to education, healthcare, and political participation (Sen, 1999, p. 87). National policies, however, often prioritize economic growth indicators over such freedoms. This creates an implementation gap where aggregate improvements do not translate into individual empowerment. Empirical studies have shown that communities in rural Kalimantan and Nusa Tenggara still lack basic health infrastructure and educational facilities, leading to sustained multidimensional poverty (UNDP, 2016; Ravallion, 2016). Thus, policy effectiveness must be assessed not only through income metrics but also through the capability sets they enable.

Indonesia's decentralization reforms, enacted after the fall of Suharto, aimed to empower local governments to design context-specific interventions. However, weak institutional capacity and uneven fiscal transfers have limited the success of these reforms (World Bank, 2016). While some districts have successfully adapted national programs to local conditions, others have struggled with bureaucratic inefficiency and corruption. This reflects a broader tension in development theory between centralized policy design and localized implementation, which must be resolved through stronger institutional coordination.

Moreover, the lack of participatory mechanisms in regional planning has led to a disconnect between program objectives and community needs. Chambers (1997, p. 134) emphasized that development must be driven by those most affected, yet many poverty alleviation programs in Indonesia remain top-down in design. This undermines their effectiveness, especially in culturally diverse areas where local customs, languages, and priorities differ significantly from national assumptions. The participatory development model thus offers a vital corrective, allowing local knowledge to inform policy.

Recent innovations in spatial poverty mapping and regional MPI analysis have begun to bridge this gap. By disaggregating poverty data at the provincial and district levels, policymakers can identify clusters of deprivation and deploy targeted interventions. This shift toward data-driven regional planning aligns with Alkire and Foster's (2011) multidimensional methodology and offers a practical way to integrate macroeconomic goals with local realities. However, the continued success of such strategies depends on political will, institutional capacity, and sustained community engagement.

In conclusion, while Indonesia's regional development policies have made notable progress in reducing national poverty rates, they have not effectively addressed the multidimensional and localized nature of poverty. The integration of capability-based analysis, participatory planning, and spatially targeted interventions represents a promising direction. However, these approaches require deeper institutional reform, community involvement, and cross-sectoral collaboration to realize the vision of SDG 1 fully.

## 2. The Role of Sharia-Based Microfinance in Rural Empowerment

This section addresses the second research question by examining how sharia-based microfinance institutions (MFIs) contribute to poverty alleviation among rural and marginalized populations in Indonesia. Poverty in rural areas is often compounded by limited access to formal banking services, land tenure insecurity, and weak market integration. Sharia-based MFIs offer a culturally resonant and ethically grounded alternative that aligns with Indonesia's socio-religious fabric. These institutions utilize Islamic financial principles, such as *murābaḥah* (cost-plus financing), *mudārabah* (profit-sharing), and *qard al-ḥasan* (benevolent loans), to support entrepreneurship and reduce dependency on exploitative credit systems (Obaidullah & Khan, 2008, p. 106).

Empirical evidence suggests that Islamic MFIs have been particularly successful in fostering financial inclusion and economic resilience in Muslim-majority regions of Indonesia. A study by Seibel and Agung (2006, p. 144) found that Islamic rural banks (*Bank Pembiayaan Rakyat Syariah*) outperform conventional institutions in terms of borrower outreach and repayment rates. These outcomes reflect not only the trust embedded in religious institutions but also the social accountability mechanisms inherent in Islamic finance. By promoting risk-sharing and discouraging interest-based exploitation, these institutions offer an ethical framework for sustainable microenterprise development.

From a theoretical standpoint, the integration of Islamic finance with the Capability Approach reinforces the role of individual agency and freedom in poverty reduction. Sen (1999, p. 86) argued that poverty is not just a lack of resources but a lack of choices and opportunities. Islamic MFIs, by providing capital without collateral and fostering community solidarity, expand people's real opportunities, particularly for women and the ultra-poor. In Aceh, for instance, post-tsunami reconstruction efforts were strengthened by the use of *zakat* and *waqf*-funded microfinance, which enabled marginalized groups to re-establish livelihoods and rebuild social networks (Khan, 2012, p. 188).

Nonetheless, challenges remain. Despite their potential, Islamic MFIs in Indonesia face institutional and regulatory constraints, including limited access to capital, lack of standardization, and insufficient integration with national development agendas. According to Karim et al. (2008, p. 119), many Islamic MFIs operate in isolation, without formal recognition or support from financial authorities. This limits their scalability and capacity to serve larger populations. Furthermore, the lack of specialized training in Islamic financial jurisprudence (*fiqh al-muʿāmalāt*) hinders their operational efficiency and compliance.

Another concern is the lack of robust monitoring and evaluation systems for Islamic MFIs. Without clear performance indicators and impact assessment tools, it is difficult to measure their effectiveness beyond anecdotal success stories. Obaidullah (2015) emphasizes the need for empirical studies and standardized reporting frameworks that can capture both the financial and social performance of these institutions. The multidimensional nature of poverty requires an equally comprehensive assessment of poverty reduction tools, which should include measures of empowerment, resilience, and community development.

Despite these limitations, recent developments in Islamic fintech offer promising avenues for scaling sharia-based microfinance. Digital platforms that integrate Islamic philanthropy with micro-lending—such as peer-to-peer *qard al-ḥasan* apps—are emerging in Indonesia's fintech ecosystem (Hasan, 2015, p. 122). These platforms enable more transparent and efficient resource allocation, reduce operational costs, and extend services to remote areas. Such innovations align with the principles of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* and the participatory development model, offering a bridge between tradition and technology.

In conclusion, sharia-based microfinance represents a valuable yet underleveraged tool in Indonesia's poverty eradication strategy. When integrated with broader development frameworks and supported by enabling policies, these institutions can contribute significantly to SDG 1. Their emphasis on ethical finance, community engagement, and socio-religious legitimacy makes them especially relevant in rural and marginalized areas. However, realizing their full potential requires institutional support, regulatory integration, and evidence-based evaluation.

### 3. Digital Governance and Localizing SDG 1 Implementation

This subsection addresses the third research question by exploring how digital innovations and decentralized governance mechanisms can enhance the localization and implementation of SDG 1 in Indonesia. With over 17,000 islands and significant geographic diversity, Indonesia faces immense logistical challenges in uniformly delivering poverty alleviation programs. The rise of digital governance offers new opportunities to bridge these gaps through data-driven decision-making, enhanced transparency, and real-time service delivery. Digital technologies, particularly in financial services and administrative monitoring, have begun to reshape the landscape of poverty governance in Indonesia (UNCTAD, 2016).

Digital platforms such as mobile banking, digital ID systems, and online government service portals are instrumental in expanding access to social protection programs. In 2014, the Indonesian government launched the National Strategy for Financial Inclusion, emphasizing digital financial services as a pathway to reach the unbanked (World Bank, 2016).

Through biometric identification and mobile transactions, marginalized communities can now access conditional cash transfers and savings accounts without the need for physical banking infrastructure. This aligns with Sen's (1999, p. 86) concept of enhancing individual capabilities through increased access and choice, particularly for those historically excluded from the formal economy.

One significant example of digital innovation is the integration of *zakat* systems with mobile platforms. As Hasan (2015, p. 122) demonstrated, digital *zakat* enables real-time distribution, reduces administrative costs, and enhances donor confidence through transparent tracking. These platforms also allow *amil* (zakat managers) to identify beneficiaries using poverty mapping tools and regional MPI data. Such digital applications serve not only as financial intermediaries but also as knowledge infrastructures, enabling more responsive and equitable service delivery (Mansell, 2012, p. 101). By combining faith-based finance with digital governance, Indonesia has the potential to localize SDG 1 in ways that are both efficient and culturally legitimate.

The role of decentralized governance in Indonesia further strengthens the localization of SDG 1. Since the implementation of regional autonomy laws in 2001, local governments have gained authority over education, health, and economic development (World Bank, 2016). However, disparities in administrative capacity and resource allocation persist across provinces. Digital tools can address some of these asymmetries by standardizing procedures, monitoring program performance, and enabling inter-regional learning. For instance, digital dashboards allow provincial authorities to track the progress of poverty reduction initiatives and identify bottlenecks in service delivery (UNDP, 2016).

Despite these advantages, challenges remain in ensuring digital equity. Digital infrastructure is unevenly distributed, with rural and remote areas experiencing lower internet penetration and digital literacy rates. According to BPS–Statistics Indonesia (2016), only 34% of rural households had reliable internet access by 2015. This digital divide threatens to reinforce existing inequalities unless complemented by targeted capacity-building initiatives. Participatory development theory emphasizes the importance of empowering communities to co-create technological solutions that reflect their needs and constraints

(Chambers, 1997, p. 134). Without such engagement, digital governance risks becoming another top-down mechanism that alienates rather than includes.

Furthermore, issues of data privacy, security, and algorithmic bias must be addressed. As Castells (2010, p. 102) notes, digital technologies are embedded within social power structures that can both empower and marginalize. Ensuring that digital governance enhances rather than undermines participatory democracy requires transparent oversight, inclusive design, and continuous community feedback. This is especially critical in contexts where state trust may be fragile, and governance histories are marked by exclusion or conflict.

In conclusion, digital governance offers a transformative opportunity to localize and operationalize SDG 1 across Indonesia's diverse regions. When integrated with decentralized governance and participatory planning, digital tools can enhance transparency, efficiency, and responsiveness. However, to realize this potential, policymakers must invest in digital infrastructure, foster local digital literacy, and safeguard inclusivity in technological design. Only through such a holistic approach can digital innovation truly contribute to the eradication of poverty in all its forms and dimensions.

This study has thematically addressed three interrelated research questions, each contributing to a comprehensive understanding of how Indonesia can localize and implement SDG 1—No Poverty—effectively. First, the analysis revealed that national and regional policies have played a significant role in reducing poverty, but they remain limited in addressing its multidimensional and localized nature. The capability-based and multidimensional frameworks confirmed that income-centric policies often neglect key areas such as education, healthcare, and political agency. As demonstrated in the discussion, integrating spatially targeted programs with participatory development mechanisms enhances the alignment between policy intent and community realities.

Second, the role of sharia-based microfinance emerged as a powerful, context-sensitive tool for addressing poverty in rural and marginalized communities. These institutions not only provide capital access without conventional interest but also embed ethical, social, and religious values in financial interactions. By enhancing financial inclusion through *zakat*, *qard al-ḥasan*, and other Islamic instruments, these models align closely with both capability expansion and community-based resilience strategies. However, their broader impact depends on structural integration with the national financial system and standardized regulatory frameworks.

Third, the study identified digital governance as a critical enabler in localizing SDG 1. Technological innovations such as digital *zakat* platforms, mobile banking, and poverty mapping tools facilitate efficient, transparent, and equitable service delivery. When aligned with Indonesia's decentralized governance structure, these tools can mitigate administrative disparities and foster localized accountability. Nevertheless, challenges such as the digital divide, regulatory inconsistencies, and data privacy must be addressed to ensure inclusive and sustainable digital development.

Theoretically, the study contributes by synthesizing the Capability Approach, Islamic economic principles, and digital governance theories into a cohesive analytical model. This integrative framework offers a more holistic understanding of poverty that accommodates economic, ethical, and technological dimensions. Practically, the findings suggest that successful poverty eradication requires intersectoral coordination, cultural legitimacy, and grassroots empowerment. For policymakers and development practitioners, this means investing in digital infrastructure, supporting sharia-based microfinance, and promoting participatory governance frameworks. The novelty of this research lies in its hybrid approach, bridging global theories with local realities to propose adaptive, multidimensional, and ethically grounded strategies for ending poverty in Indonesia.

## CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated that eradicating poverty in Indonesia requires a multidimensional, culturally embedded, and technologically adaptive approach. By synthesizing insights from regional policy analysis, Islamic finance, and digital governance, the research has provided a comprehensive framework for localizing and operationalizing SDG 1. The findings confirm that while macroeconomic and regional development strategies have made measurable gains in poverty reduction, they often overlook localized needs and multidimensional deprivations. The capability framework highlighted the limitations of income-focused indicators and emphasized the importance of enhancing individual freedoms and opportunities.

The integration of sharia-based microfinance offers an ethical and socially resonant solution to rural poverty. These institutions, grounded in Islamic principles, enable financial access, community trust, and economic agency for populations typically excluded from formal banking systems. Their success, however, is contingent on formal support structures, regulatory alignment, and evidence-based impact assessments. Likewise, the emergence of digital governance tools presents an unprecedented opportunity to decentralize poverty intervention, enabling real-time service delivery, efficient resource allocation, and participatory planning. Yet, these technologies must be accompanied by investments in digital literacy, infrastructure, and inclusive design to prevent the deepening of existing inequalities.

Theoretically, this research contributes to the refinement of multidimensional poverty analysis by incorporating faith-based and technological dimensions into development discourse. It reinforces the value of context-specific, community-driven, and ethically grounded frameworks. Practically, it recommends that policymakers focus on integrating digital and Islamic financial innovations into localized poverty strategies. Future research should explore longitudinal assessments of digital *zakat*, the institutional scaling of Islamic microfinance, and the role of artificial intelligence in poverty mapping. Ending poverty in all its forms in Indonesia will not be achieved through singular interventions, but through the intersection of values, technologies, and capabilities that empower every citizen to thrive.

## REFERENCES

Alkire, S., & Foster, J. (2011). Counting and multidimensional poverty measurement. *Journal of Public Economics*, 95(7–8), 476–487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2010.11.006

Banerjee, A. V., & Duflo, E. (2011). *Poor economics: A radical rethinking of the way to fight global poverty*. PublicAffairs.

Bourguignon, F. (2015). *The globalization of inequality*. Princeton University Press.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

BPS–Statistics Indonesia. (2016). *Poverty profile in Indonesia: March 2016*. Jakarta: BPS.

Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.

Chambers, R. (1997). *Whose reality counts? Putting the first last* (p. 134). Intermediate Technology Publications.

Chapra, M. U. (2000). *The future of economics: An Islamic perspective* (p. 71). The Islamic Foundation.

Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed., p. 45). SAGE Publications.

Hasan, Z. (2015). Digitizing zakat management for poverty alleviation in Indonesia. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 8(1), 122–130. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-05-2014-0042

Karim, N., Tarazi, M., & Reille, X. (2008). *Islamic microfinance: An emerging market niche*. CGAP Focus Note No. 49. World Bank.

Khan, M. A. (2012). Post-disaster Islamic microfinance in Aceh: Social capital and survival. In Habib Ahmed (Ed.), *Financing the poor: Toward an Islamic microfinance* (p. 188). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Mansell, R. (2012). *Imagining the internet: Communication, innovation, and governance* (p. 101). Oxford University Press.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed., p. 58). SAGE Publications.

Obaidullah, M. (2015). Impact assessment framework for zakah and waqf institutions: Lessons from the field. *Journal of Islamic Economics, Banking and Finance*, 11(2), 57–79.

Obaidullah, M., & Khan, T. (2008). *Islamic microfinance development: Challenges and initiatives* (p. 103). Islamic Research and Training Institute (IRTI), IDB.

Ravallion, M. (2016). *The economics of poverty: History, measurement, and policy*. Oxford University Press.

Seibel, H. D., & Agung, I. N. (2006). Islamic microfinance in Indonesia. *Development Bulletin*, 72, 144–148.

Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom* (pp. 75, 78, 86, 87). Oxford University Press.

Sumarto, S., & Bazzi, S. (2011). Social protection in Indonesia: Past experiences and future prospects. *Social Protection Discussion Paper Series*. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6137

UNCTAD. (2016). *Digital economy report 2016: Information economy and inclusive development*. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/ier2016_en.pdf

UNDP. (2016). *Human development report 2016: Human development for everyone*. United Nations Development Programme. http://hdr.undp.org/en/2016-report

World Bank. (2016). *Indonesia's rising divide*. Jakarta: World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0848-2

Yin, R. K. (2011). *Qualitative research from start to finish* (p. 142). Guilford Press.